

Shimura varieties modulo p with many compact factors

Oliver Bültel

May 11th, 2021
Istanbul

Plan I

- 1 Shimura Varieties
 - Theorems of Riemann and Mumford
 - Integral Models: Results and Conjectures
- 2 Construction of \mathfrak{M}_n^f and $K_{\infty,p}\mathcal{M}$
 - Hodge cycles
 - Poly-unitary moduli problems
 - Proof of the main theorem
- 3 Related results and questions

Plan

- 1 Shimura Varieties
 - Theorems of Riemann and Mumford
 - Integral Models: Results and Conjectures
- 2 Construction of \mathfrak{M}_n^f and $K_{\infty,p}\mathcal{M}$
 - Hodge cycles
 - Poly-unitary moduli problems
 - Proof of the main theorem
- 3 Related results and questions

Siegel space I

Fix an auxiliary integer $n \geq 3$. By a level n -structure on an abelian g -fold Y we mean a group isomorphism from $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ to $Y[n]$ taking the Weil pairing on $Y[n]$ to a multiple of the standard alternating pairing on $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ (i.e. $x^t J_g x$). The following result is basic to the study of moduli spaces of polarized abelian g -folds.

Theorem (Mumford)

The functor that takes a scheme S to the set of isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian schemes of relative dimension g with level- n structure is representable by a quasi-projective $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$ -scheme. This scheme is smooth of relative dimension $\frac{g(g+1)}{2}$, and traditionally denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}$.

Siegel space II

Historically the knowledge of the mere set $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}(\mathbb{C})$ preceded the above result, to describe it in the modern adelic language we need a little bit of notation:

- Let $K_{\infty} \subset \mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{R})$ be the centralizer of $\begin{pmatrix} aE_g & -bE_g \\ bE_g & aE_g \end{pmatrix}$ (Deligne torus).
- Let K^{∞} be the kernel of $\mathrm{GSp}(2g, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, where $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the profinite completion of \mathbb{Z} .

Siegel space III

K^∞ is a compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{A}^\infty)$, where $\mathbb{A}^\infty = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the ring of finite adeles, and $K_\infty K^\infty$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{A})$, where $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{A}^\infty$ is the ring of adeles.

Theorem (Riemann)

There exists a canonical bijection between $\mathcal{A}_{g,n}(\mathbb{C})$ and the complex orbifold $\mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{A}) / K_\infty K^\infty$ (which has $\phi(n)$ connected components, each of which look like $\Gamma_g(n) \backslash \mathfrak{h}_g$).

The above double quotient may also be written as

$$\mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{Q}) \backslash (\mathfrak{h}_g^\pm \times \mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{A}^\infty)) / K^\infty,$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_g^\pm := \mathrm{GSp}(2g, \mathbb{R}) / K_\infty$ is the so-called double half-space.

Plan

- 1 Shimura Varieties
 - Theorems of Riemann and Mumford
 - Integral Models: Results and Conjectures
- 2 Construction of \mathfrak{M}_n^f and $K_{\infty,p}\mathcal{M}$
 - Hodge cycles
 - Poly-unitary moduli problems
 - Proof of the main theorem
- 3 Related results and questions

Shimura data I

Deligne's notion of Shimura datum (G, X) axiomatizes the properties of $(\mathrm{GSp}(2g), \mathfrak{h}_g^{\pm})$. To any such pair he attaches a canonical number field (called the reflex field) and an adelic system of complex manifolds

$$K^{\infty}M(G, X) := G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (X \times G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty})) / K^{\infty}$$

as K^{∞} runs through the set of compact open subgroups of $G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty})$. The projective limit acquires a right $G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty})$ -action and is denoted $M(G, X)$.

Shimura data II

Theorem (Baily-Borel, Deligne, Milne, Borovoi)

If (G, X) and K^{∞} are as above, then $K^{\infty}M(G, X) = M(G, X)/K^{\infty}$ is a quasi-projective variety possessing a canonical model over the reflex field E .

Fix a prime number p . The group K^{∞} might allow a factorization into a hyperspecial subgroup $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and some other compact open $K^{\infty, p} \subset G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty, p})$. In this case p is unramified in E and one expects $K^{\infty}M(G, X)$ to have "good reduction" at all divisors $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_E \ni \mathfrak{p} \mid p$, more specifically we have:

Shimura data III

Conjecture (Langlands)

Assume that G^{ad} is anisotropic and that $K^\infty = K_p K^{\infty, p}$ holds for some hyperspecial group K_p . Then $_{K^\infty}M(G, X)$ can be extended to a smooth projective scheme \mathcal{M} over the semi-local number ring $(1 + p\mathcal{O}_E)^{-1}\mathcal{O}_E$.

One says that a Shimura datum (G, X) is of Hodge type if there exists an embedding $(G, X) \hookrightarrow (GSp(2g), \mathfrak{h}_g^\pm)$ for some g . For this class of Shimura data the conjecture is known to be true if $p \neq 2$, thanks to works of Mark Kisin and Adrian Vasiu, who proved a more specific statement, which was previously conjectured by James Milne.

main theorem

Theorem (Bültel)

Let $p \neq 2$ be a prime and let (G, X) be a Shimura datum satisfying:

- G^{der} is a simply connected almost simple group of type E_7 .
- $G_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{ad}}$ possesses more than four times as many compact factors than non-compact ones.
- $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ is quasisplit and G splits over the unramified extension $K(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})$ of degree f over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Fix an embedding $\iota : K(\mathbb{F}_{p^f}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. There exists a compact open subgroup $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, such that for any compact open subgroup $K^{\infty,p} \subset G(\mathbb{A}^{\infty,p})$ there exists a smooth projective $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})$ -scheme $K^{\infty,p}\mathcal{M}$ of which the complexification (via ι) is isomorphic to $K_p K^{\infty,p} M(G, X)$.

Plan

- 1 Shimura Varieties
 - Theorems of Riemann and Mumford
 - Integral Models: Results and Conjectures
- 2 Construction of \mathfrak{M}_n^f and $K_{\infty,p}\mathcal{M}$
 - Hodge cycles
 - Poly-unitary moduli problems
 - Proof of the main theorem
- 3 Related results and questions

absolute Hodge cycles I

Definition

Let n be an integer. A *pure Hodge structure of weight n* is a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q} -vector space V which is equipped with a decomposition $\mathbb{C} \otimes V = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} V^{p,q}$ such that $V^{q,p}$ is the complex conjugate of $V^{p,q}$.

If n is even, there exists a unique Hodge structure of weight n on the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $(2i\pi)^{n/2}$, which is denoted by $\mathbb{Q}(n/2)$.

Let Y be a smooth projective complex variety. It is known that for every non-negative integer n , the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $H^n(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ possesses a natural Hodge structure of weight n . A Hodge structure is just a direct sum of pure Hodge structures (of different weights), for example $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{2 \dim Y} H^n(Y, \mathbb{Q})$.

absolute Hodge cycles II

Conjecture (Hodge)

Every morphism from $H^{2p}(Y, \mathbb{Q})$ to $\mathbb{Q}(-p)$ (in the category of Hodge structures) arises from a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of p -dimensional subvarieties Z by means of $Z \rightsquigarrow (\eta \mapsto \int_Z \eta)$.

A strong evidence for this conjecture is the following result:

Theorem (Deligne)

Every Hodge cycle on an abelian variety Y over an algebraically closed subfield of \mathbb{C} is an absolute Hodge cycle: Automorphisms of the field of complex numbers preserve Hodgeness of p -cycles, when regarded as elements of

$$H_{dR}^{2p}(Y)(p) \times H_{\text{et}}^{2p}(Y, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(p)).$$

\mathcal{O}_L -linear tensor products of period lattices I

Let L be a CM field of finite degree over \mathbb{Q} , and suppose that \mathcal{O}_L acts on three principally polarized abelian varieties (Y_1, λ_1) , (Y_2, λ_2) and (Y_3, λ_3) , of dimension $\frac{n_1[L:\mathbb{Q}]}{2}$, $\frac{n_2[L:\mathbb{Q}]}{2}$ and $\frac{n_3[L:\mathbb{Q}]}{2}$ respectively.

The triple is called *multipliable* if for each embedding $\sigma : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at least one of the three σ -eigenspaces $\text{Lie}_\sigma Y_1$, $\text{Lie}_\sigma Y_2$ or $\text{Lie}_\sigma Y_3$ vanishes. In this case we obtain yet another principally polarized abelian variety

$$\left(\bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i, \lambda \right)$$

again with \mathcal{O}_L -action by decreeing its period lattice to be:

$$H_1\left(\bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i, \mathbb{Z}\right) = H_1(Y_1, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} H_1(Y_2, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} H_1(Y_3, \mathbb{Z})(-1).$$

\mathcal{O}_L -linear tensor products of period lattices II

This formula can be expressed by saying that a certain element in

$$H^4(Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Y_3 \times \bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i, \mathbb{Z}(2))$$

is a Hodge cycle, and therefore absolutely Hodge! In the algebraic context the assignment

$$(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) \mapsto \bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i$$

remains meaningful, provided that the characteristic of the ground field is odd and unramified in L .

Properties of \mathcal{O}_L -linear tensor products

Recall the ℓ -adic Tate module $T_\ell(Y) := \varprojlim_n Y[\ell^n]$ for any abelian variety Y over some fixed field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ and prime $\ell \neq p$.

Proposition

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(Y_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(Y_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(Y_3) \subset \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}\left(\bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i\right)$$

holds for every multipliable triple (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) , respecting:

$$T_\ell(Y_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} T_\ell(Y_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} T_\ell(Y_3)(1) \cong T_\ell\left(\bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i\right)$$

$$\mathbb{D}(Y_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathbb{D}(Y_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathbb{D}(Y_3)(1) \cong \mathbb{D}\left(\bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i\right)$$

Plan

- 1 Shimura Varieties
 - Theorems of Riemann and Mumford
 - Integral Models: Results and Conjectures
- 2 Construction of \mathfrak{M}_n^f and $K_{\infty,p}\mathcal{M}$
 - Hodge cycles
 - Poly-unitary moduli problems
 - Proof of the main theorem
- 3 Related results and questions

Poly-unitary Shimura data I

- a hyperspecial subgroup $U_p \subset G_0(K(\mathbb{F}_{p^f}))$, where G_0 is such that $G = \text{Res}_{L^+/\mathbb{Q}} G_0$
- a totally imaginary quadratic extension L of L^+ which is unramified at p
- a triple of homomorphisms $\rho_i : G_0 \rightarrow \text{GU}(V_i/L, \Psi_i)$ rendering each Ψ_i a skew-Hermitian polarization on V_i and such that G_0 is the intersection of the stabilizers of

$$\text{End}_{G_0}(V_1 \otimes_L V_2 \otimes_L V_3),$$

and $\text{End}_{G_0}(V_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

- U_p -invariant, self-dual \mathcal{O}_L -lattices $\mathfrak{A}_i \subset V_i$
- an ideal $\mathfrak{f} \subset (1 + p\mathcal{O}_{L^+})^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{L^+}$ and a non-negative integer n which is coprime to p

Poly-unitary Shimura data II

Let the $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})$ -scheme \mathfrak{M}_n^f be defined by the moduli problem that assigns to given $S/W(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})$ the following set of data:

- a multipliable triple of principally polarized abelian S -schemes $(Y_i, \lambda_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 3}$ with \mathcal{O}_L -operation
- Rosati-invariant and \mathcal{O}_L -linear homomorphisms

$$\iota_{\{1,2,3\}} : \mathcal{O}_L + \mathfrak{f} \operatorname{End}_{G_0}(\mathfrak{Y}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathfrak{Y}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathfrak{Y}_3) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \left(\bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i \right)$$

and $\iota_i : \mathcal{O}_L + \mathfrak{f} \operatorname{End}_{G_0}(\mathfrak{Y}_i) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(Y_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

- level n -structures $\eta_i : \mathfrak{Y}_i/n\mathfrak{Y}_i \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_i[n]$, compatible with $\iota_{\{1,2,3\}}$ and ι_i for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

N.B.: $\operatorname{End}_{G_0}(\mathfrak{Y}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathfrak{Y}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathfrak{Y}_3)$ (resp. $\operatorname{End}_{G_0}(\mathfrak{Y}_i)$) acts on $(\bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i)[n]$ (resp. $Y_i[n]$).

Plan

- 1 Shimura Varieties
 - Theorems of Riemann and Mumford
 - Integral Models: Results and Conjectures
- 2 Construction of \mathfrak{M}_n^f and $K_{\infty,p}\mathcal{M}$
 - Hodge cycles
 - Poly-unitary moduli problems
 - **Proof of the main theorem**
- 3 Related results and questions

A commutative diagram I

Fix a reductive group scheme \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{Z}_p and let μ be a cocharacter of $\mathcal{G}_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})}$ all of whose weights in $\text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$ are contained in the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. To this data one associates a canonical fpqc stack $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mu)$ over $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})$. Its points over an algebraically closed field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_{p^f}$ are given by the quotient $\mathcal{G}(W(k))_{\mu(p)} \mathcal{G}(W(k)) / \sim$ where $b_2 \sim b_1$ if and only if $b_2 = g^{-1} b_1^F g$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))$ (Bütlel, Hedayatzadeh, Lau, Pappas). The integral models of a Shimura variety $K^{\infty}M(G, X)$ are expected to allow a morphism to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mu_X)$, where μ_X arises from the Shimura datum (G, X) and \mathcal{G} is the reductive \mathbb{Z}_p -model of G whose \mathbb{Z}_p -points $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ yield the hyperspecial factor K_p of the level $K^{\infty} = K_p K^{\infty,p}$.

A commutative diagram II

Let \mathfrak{B}^f be the p -divisible group version of the moduli problem \mathfrak{M}_n^f . Spurred by the aforementioned expectation, one constructs a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K^{\infty,p}\overline{\mathcal{M}} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{G}, \mu_X) \\
 \downarrow & & \text{Flex}^T \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{M}_n^f & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{B}^f
 \end{array}$$

with horizontal arrows being formally étale and $K^{\infty,p}\overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n^f$ being radicial and universally closed.

A commutative diagram III

Theorem

The \mathbb{F}_p -variety $K_{\infty,p}\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is smooth and it possesses a lift $K_{\infty,p}\mathcal{M}$, of which the generic fiber is a finite union of quotients of X by congruence subgroups.

The lift is exhibited by requiring that the map to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}, \mu_X)$ extends to $K_{\infty,p}\mathcal{M}$. The characterization of the universal covering space follows works of Varshavsky (e.g. in: J. of Differential Geom. 49(1998), p.75-113). The congruence subgroups are obtained from applying a theorem of Prasad and Rapinchuk in: Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 84(1996), p.91-187.

Definition of Flex^T I

Recall that an F -crystal over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p is a pair (M, F) , where M is a finitely generated torsion free $W(k)$ -module and $F : M \rightarrow M$ is a Frobenius-linear injective map.

Lemma

Consider some $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -grading $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} M_i$ on some F -crystal (M, F) , satisfying $F(M_i) = M_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ and $p^w M_1 \subset F(M_0) \subset M_1$ for some $w \leq r$. Then $M'_i := F^i M_0 + p^{\max\{w-i, 0\}} M_i$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ defines a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Dieudonné module over k with $p^{w-1} M \subset M' \subset M$, i.e. $pM' \subset F(M') \subset M' = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r-1} M'_i$.

Definition of Flex^T II

Notice that giving a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on an F -crystal over k is equivalent to giving an action of $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ thereon, this is due to $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k) \cong W(k)^r$.

Corollary

Suppose that three $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -graded F -crystals K , L and M satisfy the assumptions of the previous lemma, but in addition assume that $3w \leq r$ holds. Moreover, let M' be the Dieudonné lattice as in the previous lemma, but in addition consider

$L'_i := F^i L_0 + p^{\max\{2w-i, 0\}} L_i$ and $K'_i := F^i K_0 + p^{\max\{3w-i, 0\}} K_i$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$. Then all of $K' \subset K$, $L' \subset L$, $M' \subset M$ and $K' \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} L' \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} M' \subset K \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} L \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} M$ are $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Dieudonné lattices.

Definition of Flex^T III

From now on:

- Assume that $G(\mathbb{R})$ has only one single non-compact factor, in particular G_0 is simple.
- Assume that p is inert in L^+ but splits in L , so that $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L^+} \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}_L \cong W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}) \oplus W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})$. Observe that this induces a splitting $\rho_i \cong \varrho_i \oplus \check{\varrho}_i$ for some representation ϱ_i of $G_{0, W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})}$
- Assume that the μ -weights of ϱ_i are contained in the set $\{0, \dots, w\}$

Definition of Flex^T IV

Sketch

- 1 Take some $b \in \mathcal{G}(W(k))_{\mu(p)} \mathcal{G}(W(k)) / \sim$
- 2 Using ϱ_1, ϱ_2 and ϱ_3 yields $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -graded F -crystals K, L and M over k , moreover $\text{End}_{G_0}(\mathfrak{Y}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathfrak{Y}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathfrak{Y}_3)$ (resp. $\text{End}_{G_0}(\mathfrak{Y}_i)$) acts on $K \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} L \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} M$ (resp. K, L and M).
- 3 Applying the corollary yields $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Dieudonné lattices K', L' and M' , moreover $p^{3w-1} \text{End}_{G_0}(\mathfrak{Y}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathfrak{Y}_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \mathfrak{Y}_3)$ (resp. $p^w \text{End}_{G_0}(\mathfrak{Y}_i)$) acts on $K' \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} L' \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_{p^r})} M'$ (resp. on K', L' and M').

Take $\mathfrak{f} = p^{3w-1}$. The triple K', L' and M' together with these four actions gives rise to: $\text{Flex}^T(b) \in \mathfrak{B}^{\mathfrak{f}}(k)$

p -adic Hodge theory

- 1 Can one use p -adic Hodge theory to describe $K_p K^{\infty,p}M(G, X)$ in terms of $K^{\infty,p}\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ as K_p varies?
- 2 Is the level structure K_p occurring in theorem 5 hyperspecial?
- 3 Are the adelic representations coming from the local systems on $K_p K^{\infty,p}M(G, X)$ crystalline? (They are deRham according to a theorem of Ruochuan Liu and Xinwen Zhu, Inv. Math. 207)

Rational Tate cycles

- 1 Can one use Deligne's theory of absolute Hodge cycles in positive characteristic ("Rational Tate cycles" in the sense of J. Milne)?
- 2 Could this give a less roundabout way to prove that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(Y_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(Y_2) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L} \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(Y_3)$ is contained in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_L}(\bigotimes_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} Y_i)$?