

ON THE INDEX OF REDUCIBILITY OF NOETHERIAN MODULES

Nguyen Tu Cuong

Institute of Mathematics - Vietnam Academy of Science and
Technology

Joint work with P. H. Quy and H. L. Truong

1. Index of reducibility

- R : a commutative Noetherian local ring with the unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , $\mathfrak{k} = R/\mathfrak{m}$ the residue field.
- I is a proper ideal of R , i.e. $I \neq R$.
- Primary ideal I : $a, b \in R, ab \in I$ and $a \notin I \implies b \in \sqrt{I} = \{x \in R \mid \exists n > 0, x^n \in I\}$.
- Irreducible ideal I : If $I = I_1 \cap I_2 \implies I = I_1$ or $I = I_2$.

Facts:

- I is primary $\implies \sqrt{I} = \mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal. Then we call that I is \mathfrak{p} -primary.
- I is irreducible $\implies I$ is primary. The converse is not true.

1. Index of reducibility

Theorem I (Noether's Theorem on primary decomposition, 1921).

Let I be an ideal of R . Then there exist finite primary ideals $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$, $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i \neq \mathfrak{p}_j = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_j}, \forall i \neq j$ such that

- i) $I = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$ is a reduced decomposition of I .*
- ii) The set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is independent of the choice of reduced primary decompositions of I , this set is called the set of *associated prime ideals* of I and denoted by $\text{Ass}(I)$.*

1. Index of reducibility

Theorem II (Noether's Theorem on irreducible decomposition, 1921).

Let I be an ideal of R . Then there exist finite irreducible ideals Q_1, \dots, Q_m of R such that

- i) $I = Q_1 \cap \dots, Q_m$ is a reduced decomposition of I .*
- ii) The number m is independent of the choice of reduced irreducible decompositions of I .*

This number of irreducible components in that a reduced irreducible decomposition of I is called the *index of reducibility* of I and denoted by $\text{ir}(I)$.

1. Index of reducibility

Facts:

- M. Brodmann (1979): The set $\text{Ass}(I^n)$ is asymptotic stability, i.e. $\text{Ass}(I^n) = \text{Ass}(I^{n+1})$ for all $n \gg 0$.
- Let $\text{Ass}(I) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ as in Theorem I. Noether proved that there exist irreducible ideals $\mathfrak{q}_{i1}, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_{ik_i}$ with $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_{ij}} = \mathfrak{p}_i, \forall j = 1, \dots, k_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $I = \mathfrak{q}_{11} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{1k_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{n1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{nk_n}$ is a reduced irreducible decomposition of I . Moreover, the number k_i is dependent only on the prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_i and therefore $\text{ir}(I) = k_1 + \dots + k_n$.

2. Questions

Let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R , i.e. $\ell_R(R/I) < \infty$. Then it is well-known that

$$\text{ir}(I) = \dim_{\mathfrak{k}}(\text{Soc}(R/I)) = \ell_R(I : \mathfrak{m} / I)$$

Question a. Find a generalization of above formula for $\text{ir}(I)$ without the request that $\ell_R(R/I) < \infty$.

Question b. Let $I = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$ be reduced primary decomposition of I as in Theorem I. When $\text{ir}(I) = \text{ir}(\mathfrak{q}_1) + \dots + \text{ir}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$?

Question c. Consider $\text{ir}(I^n)$ as a function in n . Is this function a polynomial?

3. To Question a

The following result is an answer for Question a

Theorem 1.

Let I be an ideal of R . Then

$$\text{ir}(I) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)} \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})}(\text{Soc}((R/I)_{\mathfrak{p}}))$$

where $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the residue field of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Proof. Passing to R/I we may assume that $I = 0$. Let $\text{Ass}(0) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$, $t_i = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p}_i)}(\text{Soc}(R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}))$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathfrak{p}_{11}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{1t_1}, \mathfrak{p}_{21}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{2t_2}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{n1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{nt_n}\}$ be a family of prime ideals of R such that $\mathfrak{p}_{i1} = \dots = \mathfrak{p}_{it_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Denote $E(R)$ the injective envelop of R . Then we can write

$$E(R) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n E(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)^{t_i} = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}} E(R/\mathfrak{p}_{ij}).$$

3. To Question a

Let

$$\pi_i : \bigoplus_{j=1}^n E(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)^{t_j} \rightarrow E(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)^{t_i} \text{ and } \pi_{ij} : \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}} E(R/\mathfrak{p}_{ij}) \rightarrow E(R/\mathfrak{p}_{ij})$$

be the canonical projections for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, t_i$. Set $I_i = R \cap \text{Ker } \pi_i \Rightarrow I_i$ is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary.

$\mathfrak{q}_{ij} = \bigcap \text{Ker } \pi_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, t_i. \Rightarrow \mathfrak{q}_{ij}$ is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary and irreducible. Then

$$I_i = \mathfrak{q}_{i1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{it_i}$$

and $0 = \bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i = \bigcap_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq t_i}} \mathfrak{q}_{ij}$ is a reduced irreducible decomposition

of I . Thus

$$\text{ir}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})}(\text{Soc}(R_{\mathfrak{p}_i})).$$

4. To Question b

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)$. We use $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)$ to denote the set of all \mathfrak{p} -primary ideals of R which appear in a primary decomposition of I .

Following Heinzer, Ratliff and Shah, an ideal $\mathfrak{q} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)$ is called \mathfrak{p} -*maximal embedded component* of I if \mathfrak{q} is a maximal element in $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)$.

Theorem 2.

Let $I = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n$ be a reduced primary decomposition of I with $\text{Ass}(I) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$. Then $\text{ir}(I) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{ir}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$ if and only if \mathfrak{q}_i is a \mathfrak{p}_i -maximal embedded component of I for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

4. To Question b

Combine Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we get the following characterization of maximal embedded components in terms of the index reducibility.

Corollary 1.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I)$ and $\mathfrak{q} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(I)$. Then \mathfrak{q} is a \mathfrak{p} -maximal embedded component of I if and only if $\text{ir}(\mathfrak{q}) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})}(\text{Soc}(R/I)_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

5. To Question c

By Brodmann's result: $\text{Ass}(I^n) = \text{Ass}(I^{n+1})$ for all $n \gg 0$. Denote this stable set by $A(I)$. Then by Theorem 1 we get for $n \gg 0$

$$\text{ir}(I^n) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in A(I)} \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})}(\text{Soc}(R/I^n)_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Thus $\text{ir}(I^n)$ is a polynomial for $n \gg 0$ if the following result true:

Lemma.

Let I be an ideal in the local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) . Then $\dim_{\mathfrak{k}}(\text{Soc}(R/I^n)) = \ell_R(I^n : \mathfrak{m} / I^n)$ is a polynomial for all $n \gg 0$.

5. To Question c

We can also estimate the degree of the polynomial $\text{ir}(I^n)$.

- $\text{bight}(I) = \max\{\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(I), \mathfrak{p} \text{ is minimal in } \text{Ass}(I)\}$.
This invariant is called the *big hight* of I .
- The *analytic spread* of I is defined by $\ell(I) = \dim F(I)$ where $F(I) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} I^i / \mathfrak{m} I^i$ is the ring of fiber cone w.r.t I .
- It should note that $\text{bight}(I) \leq \ell(I)$.

5. To Question c

The following theorem is an answer for Question 3.

Theorem 3.

Let I be an ideal of R . Then there exists a polynomial $l_I(n)$ with rational coefficients such that

$$\text{ir}(I^n) = l_I(n)$$

for all $n \gg 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\text{bight}(I) - 1 \leq \deg l_I(n) \leq \ell(I) - 1.$$

Corollary 2.

If I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal then $\deg l_I(n) = \dim R - 1$.

5. To Question c

There are examples to show that although the bounds in Theorem 3 are sharp, neither $\text{bight}(I) - 1$ nor $\ell(I) - 1$ equal to $\deg \text{lr}_I(n)$ in general:

- Let $I = (X^2, XY)$ be an ideal of the polynomial ring $R = K[X, Y]$. Then

$$\text{bight}(I) - 1 = 0 < 1 = \deg \text{lr}_I(n) = \ell(I) - 1.$$

- Let $I = (X_1X_2, X_2X_3, X_3X_4, X_4X_5, X_5X_6, X_6X_1)$ be an ideal of the polynomial ring $(K[X_1, \dots, X_6])_{(X_1, \dots, X_6)}$. Then

$$\text{bight}(I) - 1 = 3 = \deg \text{lr}_I(n) < 4 = \ell(I) - 1.$$

Open problem: Find a formula for $\deg \text{lr}_I(n)$ in terms of known invariants associated to I and R .

6. Application

- Let $\dim R = d$. A system of d elements $\underline{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ in \mathfrak{m} is called a *system of parameters* of R if $\ell_R(R/(a_1, \dots, a_d)) < \infty$, and ideal I is called a *parameter ideal* if I generated by a system of parameters of R .
- A system of parameters $\underline{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$ of element in \mathfrak{m} is called a *regular sequence* of R , if a_{i+1} is a nonzero divisor of $R/(a_1, \dots, a_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, t - 1$.
- R is called a *Cohen-Macaulay* ring if any system of parameters is a regular sequence of R .

6. Application

- D.G. Northcott (1957): Any parameter ideal in a Cohen-Macaulay has the same index of reducibility. But, S. Endo and M. Narita, (1964) showed that the converse is not true.

Theorem 3.

Let R be a local ring of dimension d . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) *R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.*
- (ii) *$\text{ir}(\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}) = \dim_k(\text{Soc}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R))) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1}$ for all parameter ideals \mathfrak{q} of R and $n \geq 0$.*
- (iii) *$\text{ir}(\mathfrak{q}) = \dim_k(\text{Soc}(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)))$ for all parameter ideal \mathfrak{q} of R .*
 $(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) = \varinjlim_n R/(a_1^n, \dots, a_d^n)$, where $\{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ is a system of parameters of R)

Thank you for your attention.