Mathematical models for cell movement Part III FABIO A. C. C. CHALUB

Centro de Matemática e Aplicações Fundamentais Universidade de Lisboa

Overview

Biological background
Keller-Segel model
Kinetic models
Scaling up and down

Overview – Today

Kinetic and Keller-Segel description of chemotaxis.
Formal and rigorous convergence of solutions.
Keller-Segel models after blow up time.

Consider a *kinetic model* $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}$ with a certain non-dimensional parameter $\varepsilon > 0$.

Consider a *kinetic model* $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}$ with a certain non-dimensional parameter $\varepsilon > 0$.

Consider the solution $\Psi_{\varepsilon} := (f_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon})$ (microscopic variables),

Consider a *kinetic model* $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}$ with a certain non-dimensional parameter $\varepsilon > 0$.

Consider the solution $\Psi_{\varepsilon} := (f_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon})$ (microscopic variables), and consider $\Phi_{\varepsilon} := (\rho_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}) := (\int_{V} f_{\varepsilon} dv, S_{\varepsilon})$ (macroscopic variables).

Consider a *kinetic model* $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}$ with a certain non-dimensional parameter $\varepsilon > 0$.

Consider the solution $\Psi_{\varepsilon} := (f_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon})$ (microscopic variables), and consider $\Phi_{\varepsilon} := (\rho_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}) := (\int_{V} f_{\varepsilon} dv, S_{\varepsilon})$ (macroscopic variables).

Let us define the limit

 $\Phi := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (\rho_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}) .$

Consider a *kinetic model* $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}$ with a certain non-dimensional parameter $\varepsilon > 0$.

Consider the solution $\Psi_{\varepsilon} := (f_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon})$ (microscopic variables), and consider $\Phi_{\varepsilon} := (\rho_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}) := (\int_{V} f_{\varepsilon} dv, S_{\varepsilon})$ (macroscopic variables).

Let us define the limit

 $\Phi := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (\rho_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}) .$

Question:

Which is the set of equations that Φ obey?



	Model $\varepsilon > 0$		Limit model $\varepsilon \to 0$
Initial conditions	$\Psi^{\mathrm{I}}_{arepsilon}$	\longrightarrow	$\Phi^{\mathrm{I}} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Phi^{\mathrm{I}}_{\varepsilon}$

	Model $\varepsilon > 0$	Limit model $\varepsilon \to 0$
Initial conditions	$\Psi^{\mathrm{I}}_arepsilon$	$\longrightarrow \Phi^{\mathrm{I}} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Phi^{\mathrm{I}}_{\varepsilon}$
	\downarrow	\downarrow
Time evolution	$\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}[\Psi_{\varepsilon}] = 0$	$\mathcal{M}[\Phi] = 0$

	$\left \text{ Model } \varepsilon > 0 \right $		Limit model $\varepsilon \to 0$
Initial conditions	$\Psi^{\mathrm{I}}_arepsilon$	\longrightarrow	$\Phi^{\mathrm{I}} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Phi^{\mathrm{I}}_{\varepsilon}$
	\downarrow		\downarrow
Time evolution	$\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}[\Psi_{\varepsilon}] = 0$		$\mathcal{M}[\Phi] = 0$
	\downarrow		\downarrow
Final state	$\Psi_{\varepsilon}(T)$?	$\Phi(T)$

	$\left \text{ Model } \varepsilon > 0 \right $		Limit model $\varepsilon \to 0$	
Initial conditions	$\Psi^{\mathrm{I}}_arepsilon$	\longrightarrow	$\Phi^{\mathrm{I}} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Phi^{\mathrm{I}}_{\varepsilon}$	
	\downarrow		\downarrow	
Time evolution	$\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}[\Psi_{\varepsilon}] = 0$		$\mathcal{M}[\Phi] = 0$	
	\downarrow		\downarrow	
Final state	$\Psi_{\varepsilon}(T)$?	$\Phi(T)$	
If				
	$\Phi(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(t) , \ t < T$			

(in some sense) then \mathcal{M} is the limit model of $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}$.

Let us go back to the Othmer-Dunbar-Alt model:

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla f = \int_V (T[S,\rho]f' - T^*[S,\rho])dv'$$
.

Let us go back to the Othmer-Dunbar-Alt model:

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla f = \int_V (T[S,\rho]f' - T^*[S,\rho])dv'$$
.

Re-scaling

$$ar{x} = x/x_0, \quad ar{t} = t/t_0, \quad ar{v} = v/v_0, \ ar{T} = T/T_0, \quad ar{S} = S/S_0, \quad ar{
ho} =
ho/
ho_0, \ ar{f} = f/f_0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{v_0}{x_0/t_0} v \cdot \nabla f &= T_0 v_0^n t_0 \int_V \left(Tf' - T^*f\right) dv' ,\\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} &= \frac{t_0}{x_0^2} D_0 \Delta S + \frac{\alpha_1 \rho_0 t_0}{S_0} \rho - \alpha_2 t_0 S ,\\ \rho &= \frac{f_0 v_0^n}{\rho_0} \int_V f \, dv . \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{v_0}{x_0/t_0} v \cdot \nabla f &= T_0 v_0^n t_0 \int_V (Tf' - T^*f) \, dv' ,\\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} &= \frac{t_0}{x_0^2} D_0 \Delta S + \frac{\alpha_1 \rho_0 t_0}{S_0} \rho - \alpha_2 t_0 S ,\\ \rho &= \frac{f_0 v_0^n}{\rho_0} \int_V f \, dv . \end{aligned}$$

We impose the *diffusive scaling*: $t_0 \approx x_0^2$, normalisations and

$$\varepsilon = \frac{x_0/t_0}{v_0}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v \cdot \nabla f &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_V \left(T_\varepsilon f' - T_\varepsilon^* f \right) dv' ,\\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} &= \Delta S + \rho - S ,\\ \rho &= \int_V f \, dv . \end{aligned}$$

The kernel T depends on ε ...

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v \cdot \nabla f_{\varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \int_V \left(T_{\varepsilon} f'_{\varepsilon} - T^*_{\varepsilon} f_{\varepsilon} \right) dv' ,\\ \frac{\partial S_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} &= \Delta S_{\varepsilon} + \rho_{\varepsilon} - S_{\varepsilon} ,\\ \rho_{\varepsilon} &= \int_V f_{\varepsilon} dv . \end{aligned}$$

The solution depends on ε ...

Kinetic Model

The previously defined turning kernels are written as

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = \lambda(S,\rho)F + \varepsilon a(S,\rho)v \cdot \nabla S ,$$

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = \psi(S(x + \varepsilon \mu(\rho)v,t) - S(x,t))F .$$

The previously defined turning kernels are written as

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = \lambda(S,\rho)F + \varepsilon a(S,\rho)v \cdot \nabla S,$$

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = \psi(S(x + \varepsilon \mu(\rho)v,t) - S(x,t))F.$$

Note that in both case the zeroth and first order terms in ε are the same.

The previously defined turning kernels are written as

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = \lambda(S,\rho)F + \varepsilon a(S,\rho)v \cdot \nabla S ,$$

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = \psi(S(x + \varepsilon \mu(\rho)v,t) - S(x,t))F .$$

Note that in both case the zeroth and first order terms in ε are the same.

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = T_0[S,\rho] + \varepsilon T_1[S,\rho] + \cdots$$

The previously defined turning kernels are written as

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = \lambda(S,\rho)F + \varepsilon a(S,\rho)v \cdot \nabla S ,$$

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = \psi(S(x + \varepsilon \mu(\rho)v,t) - S(x,t))F .$$

Note that in both case the zeroth and first order terms in ε are the same.

$$T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] = T_0[S,\rho] + \varepsilon T_1[S,\rho] + \cdots$$

We define

$$\mathcal{T}_{\kappa}[f] = \int_{V} (T_{\kappa}^{*}[S,\rho]f - T_{\kappa}[S,\rho]f')dv', \ \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

Kinetic Models

We also consider the ε expansion of the solutions

$$f_{\varepsilon} = f_0 + \varepsilon f_1 + \cdots,$$

$$S_{\varepsilon} = S_0 + \varepsilon S_1 + \cdots,$$

and define

$$\rho_k = \int_V f_\kappa dv \ , \ \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

We also consider the ε expansion of the solutions

$$f_{\varepsilon} = f_0 + \varepsilon f_1 + \cdots,$$

$$S_{\varepsilon} = S_0 + \varepsilon S_1 + \cdots,$$

and define

$$\rho_k = \int_V f_\kappa dv \ , \ \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

We put all these expansions in the kinetic model and solve for each order of ε .

Kinetic Models

To order ε^0 :

$$0 = \mathcal{T}_0(f_0) ,$$

 $ho_0 = \int_V f_0 dv ,$
 $-\Delta S_0 =
ho_0 .$

Kinetic Models

To order ε^0 :

$$0 = \mathcal{T}_0(f_0) ,$$

$$\rho_0 = \int_V f_0 dv ,$$

$$-\Delta S_0 = \rho_0 .$$

 $\overline{f_0(x,v,t)} = \rho_0(x,t)F(v) \ .$

To order ε^1

$$v \cdot \nabla f_0 = -(\mathcal{T}_1(f_0) + \mathcal{T}_0(f_1)) ,$$

$$\rho_1 = \int_V f_1 dv ,$$

$$-\Delta S_1 = \rho_1 .$$

To order ε^1

$$v \cdot \nabla f_0 = -(\mathcal{T}_1(f_0) + \mathcal{T}_0(f_1)),$$

$$\rho_1 = \int_V f_1 dv,$$

$$-\Delta S_1 = \rho_1.$$

We define:

$$D(S,\rho) = \frac{1}{n\lambda[S]} \int_{V} v^{2}F dv,$$

$$\Gamma(S,\rho)] = \chi(S,\rho)\nabla S,$$

$$\chi(S,\rho) = \frac{1}{n\lambda(S,\rho)} a(S,\rho) \int_{V} v^{2}F dv$$

We multiply the first equation by v and integrate over V

$$D(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla \rho_0 = \chi(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla S_0 \rho_0 - \int_V v f_1 dv .$$

We multiply the first equation by v and integrate over V

$$D(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla \rho_0 = \chi(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla S_0 \rho_0 - \int_V v f_1 dv .$$

So

$$\int_{v} v f_1 dv = \chi(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla S_0 \rho_0 - D(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla \rho_0 .$$

To order ε^2 and integrating over V we get

$$\partial_t \rho_0 + \nabla \cdot \int_V v f_1 dv = 0$$
.

To order ε^2 and integrating over V we get

$$\partial_t \rho_0 + \nabla \cdot \int_V v f_1 dv = 0 \,.$$

We rewrite as

 $\partial_t \rho_0 = \nabla \cdot \left(D(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla \rho_0 - \chi(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla S_0 \rho_0 \right) \,.$

To order ε^2 and integrating over V we get

$$\partial_t \rho_0 + \nabla \cdot \int_V v f_1 dv = 0 \,.$$

We rewrite as

$$\partial_t \rho_0 = \nabla \cdot \left(D(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla \rho_0 - \chi(S_0, \rho_0) \nabla S_0 \rho_0 \right) \,.$$

This equation with

$$-\Delta S_0 = \rho_0$$

is the Keller-Segel model.

General Picture (again...)

		Model $\varepsilon > 0$		Limit model $\varepsilon \to 0$
Initial o	conditions	$\Psi^{\rm I}_{\varepsilon}$	\longrightarrow	$\Phi^{\mathrm{I}} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Phi^{\mathrm{I}}_{\varepsilon}$
		\downarrow		\downarrow
Time e	volution	$\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}[\Psi_{\varepsilon}] = 0$		$\mathcal{M}[\Phi] = 0$
		\downarrow		\downarrow
Final st	tate	$\Psi_{\varepsilon}(T)$?	$\Phi(T)$
If				
	$\Phi(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Phi_{\varepsilon}(t) , \ t < T$			

(in some sense) then \mathcal{M} is the limit model of $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}$.

Rigorous results

Theorem. (C., Markowich, Perthame, Schmeiser, 2004; Hwang, Kang, Stevens, 2005, C., Rodrigues, 2005): Consider turning kernels T_{ε} depending on S_{ε} , ∇S_{ε} and ρ_{ε} under mild assumptions. Then, the solution of the kinetic model $(f_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon})$ is such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho_{\varepsilon} & \to & \rho_0 \ \ in \ L^2_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ , \\ S_{\varepsilon} & \to & S_0 \ \ in \ L^q_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ , 1 \leq q < \infty \ , \\ \nabla S_{\varepsilon} & \to & \nabla S_0 \ \ in \ L^q_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ , 1 \leq q < \infty. \end{array}$$

where (ρ_0, S_0) is the solution of the associated *Keller-Segel model*.
By *mild* assumptions we mean:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\varepsilon}^{S}[\rho,S] &\geq \gamma(1-\varepsilon\Lambda(||S||_{W^{1,\infty}}))FF',\\ \int_{V} \frac{\phi_{\varepsilon}^{A}[\rho,S]^{2}}{F\phi_{\varepsilon}^{S}[\rho,S]} dv' &\leq \varepsilon^{2}\Lambda(||S||_{W^{1,\infty}}), \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \phi_{\varepsilon}^{S} &:= \frac{T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho]F' + T_{\varepsilon}^{*}[\rho,S]F}{2} ,\\ \phi_{\varepsilon}^{A} &:= \frac{T_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho]F' - T_{\varepsilon}^{*}[\rho,S]F}{2} , \end{split}$$

and other more technical ones.

Proof: Rather long. We only outline it. We suppose n = 3, the case n = 2 is similar.

Proof: Rather long. We only outline it. We suppose n = 3, the case n = 2 is similar. We first prove that

 $||S||_{L^p} + ||S||_{C^{1,\alpha}} \le c \left(||\rho||_{L^1} + ||\rho||_{L^q} \right) .$

Proof: Rather long. We only outline it. We suppose n = 3, the case n = 2 is similar. We first prove that

 $||S||_{L^p} + ||S||_{C^{1,\alpha}} \le c \left(||\rho||_{L^1} + ||\rho||_{L^q} \right) .$

Then, we prove

$$\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \iint \frac{f_{\varepsilon}^{q}}{F} dv \, dx + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^{2}} \iint \phi_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho] \left(\frac{f_{\varepsilon}}{F} - \frac{f_{\varepsilon}'}{F'}\right) \left(\left(\frac{f_{\varepsilon}}{F}\right)^{q-1} - \left(\frac{f_{\varepsilon}'}{F'}\right)^{q-1}\right) dv' \, dv \, dx \\
\leq \frac{c_{q}}{2\varepsilon^{2}} \iint \frac{\phi_{\varepsilon}[S,\rho]^{2}}{F\phi_{\varepsilon}^{S}[S,\rho]} \frac{f_{\varepsilon}^{q}}{F^{q-1}} dv' \, dv \, dx .$$

This simplifies to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iint \frac{f_{\varepsilon}^q}{F^{q-1}} dv \, dx \le \frac{qc_q}{2} \Lambda \left(||S_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, t)||_{W^{1,\infty}} \right) \iint \frac{f_{\varepsilon}^q}{F^{q-1}} dv \, dx \; .$$

This simplifies to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iint \frac{f_{\varepsilon}^q}{F^{q-1}} dv \, dx \le \frac{qc_q}{2} \Lambda \left(||S_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, t)||_{W^{1,\infty}} \right) \iint \frac{f_{\varepsilon}^q}{F^{q-1}} dv \, dx \, .$$

We also have that

$$||S||_{C^{1,\alpha}} \le c \left(1 + ||\rho||_{L^q}\right) \le c \left(1 + \left(\iint \frac{f_{\varepsilon}^q}{F^{q-1}} dv \, dx\right)^{1/q}\right)$$

Now, we use Gronwall's inequality to prove that

$$f_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, t^*; L^1_+(\mathbb{R}^3 \times V) \cup L^q\left(\mathbb{R}^3 \times V; \frac{dx \, dv \, dt}{F}\right)\right) ,$$

$$S_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(0, t^*; L^p \cup C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)) ,$$

for certain α (that depends on the regularity of the initial condition), $p \in (3, \infty)$ and ε -independent $t^* > 0$.

We also define

$$r_{\varepsilon} = \frac{f_{\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon} F}{\varepsilon}$$

We also define

$$r_{\varepsilon} = \frac{f_{\varepsilon} - \rho_{\varepsilon} F}{\varepsilon}$$

and prove that

$$\int_0^{t^*} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times V} \frac{r_{\varepsilon}^2}{F} dv \, dx \, dt \le c \; .$$

This is enough to prove the weak convergence of f_{ε} , S_{ε} , and ∇S_{ε} .

This is enough to prove the weak convergence of f_{ε} , S_{ε} , and ∇S_{ε} . But, as the turning kernel depends on ρ , S and ∇S , we need to prove *strong* convergence of these functions (in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$).

Define

$$J_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{V} v f_{\varepsilon} dv = \int_{V} v r_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(0, t^{*}; L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) .$$

Define

$$J_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{V} v f_{\varepsilon} dv = \int_{V} v r_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(0, t^{*}; L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) .$$

Then

$$|J_{\varepsilon}|^2 \le \int_V |v|^2 F dv$$

Define

$$J_{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{V} v f_{\varepsilon} dv = \int_{V} v r_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(0, t^{*}; L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) .$$

Then

$$|J_{\varepsilon}|^2 \le \int_V |v|^2 F dv$$

 $\partial_t \rho_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \cdot J_{\varepsilon} = 0 \; .$

Define

 $S_{J,\varepsilon} = J_{\varepsilon} * \frac{1}{4\pi |x|} \, .$

Define

$$S_{J,\varepsilon} = J_{\varepsilon} * \frac{1}{4\pi |x|}$$
.

By elliptic regularity, $S_{J,\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, t^*; H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$

Define

$$S_{J,\varepsilon} = J_{\varepsilon} * \frac{1}{4\pi |x|}$$

By elliptic regularity, $S_{J,\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, t^*; H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and then, from

 $\partial_t \nabla S_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot S_{J,\varepsilon} \right) = 0 ,$ we conclude that $\partial_t \nabla S_{\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, t^*; L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$

Define

$$S_{J,\varepsilon} = J_{\varepsilon} * \frac{1}{4\pi |x|}$$

By elliptic regularity, $S_{J,\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, t^*; H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and then, from

$$\partial_t \nabla S_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot S_{J,\varepsilon} \right) = 0 \; ,$$

we conclude that $\partial_t \nabla S_{\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, t^*; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. This implies the strong convergence of ∇S_{ε} to ∇S_0 .

Define

$$S_{J,\varepsilon} = J_{\varepsilon} * \frac{1}{4\pi |x|}$$

By elliptic regularity, $S_{J,\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, t^*; H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and then, from

$$\partial_t \nabla S_{\varepsilon} + \nabla \left(\nabla \cdot S_{J,\varepsilon} \right) = 0$$

we conclude that $\partial_t \nabla S_{\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, t^*; L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. This implies the strong convergence of ∇S_{ε} to ∇S_0 . The strong convergence of S_{ε} to S_0 is proved analogously.

Now, we prove the strong convergence of ρ_{ε} .

Now, we prove the strong convergence of ρ_{ε} . We write

$$f_{\varepsilon} = \rho_{\varepsilon} F + \varepsilon r_{\varepsilon} \; .$$

This implies

$$egin{aligned} \lambda[S_0,
ho_0]D[S_0,
ho_0]
abla
ho_arepsilon &=
ho_arepsilon \int_V rac{\mathcal{T}_arepsilon[S_arepsilon,
ho_arepsilon](F)}{arepsilon}vdv \ &+ \int \int_{V imes V} (T_arepsilon[S_arepsilon,
ho_arepsilon]r_arepsilon - T_arepsilon^*[S_arepsilon,
ho_arepsilon]r_arepsilon)vdv\,dv' \ &- arepsilon
abla \cdot \int_V v \otimes vr_arepsilondv - arepsilon\partial_t \int_V vf_arepsilondv \,. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$egin{aligned} \lambda[S_0,
ho_0]D[S_0,
ho_0]
abla
ho_arepsilon &=
ho_arepsilon \int_V rac{\mathcal{T}_arepsilon[S_arepsilon,
ho_arepsilon](F)}{arepsilon}vdv \ &+ \int \int_{V imes V} (T_arepsilon[S_arepsilon,
ho_arepsilon]r_arepsilon - T_arepsilon^*[S_arepsilon,
ho_arepsilon]r_arepsilon)vdv dv' \ &-arepsilon
abla \cdot \int_V v \otimes vr_arepsilondv - arepsilon\partial_t \int_V vf_arepsilon dv \ . \end{aligned}$$

Using the previous estimates and Rellich's theorem, we conclude that $\lambda D \nabla \rho_{\varepsilon}$ is in a compact set of $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, t^*)).$

Using the fact that λ is bounded from below and that D is positive defined, we conclude that

 $\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, t^*)).$

Using the fact that λ is bounded from below and that D is positive defined, we conclude that

 $\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, t^*)).$ Finally, we consider

$$X_{\varepsilon} = (J_{\varepsilon}, \rho_{\varepsilon}), \quad Y_{\varepsilon} = (0, \rho_{\varepsilon}),$$

Using the fact that λ is bounded from below and that D is positive defined, we conclude that

 $\nabla \rho_{\varepsilon} \in H^{-1}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, t^*)).$ Finally, we consider

$$X_{\varepsilon} = (J_{\varepsilon}, \rho_{\varepsilon}), \quad Y_{\varepsilon} = (0, \rho_{\varepsilon}),$$

and then

$$\operatorname{div}_{(x,t)} X_{\varepsilon} = 0,$$

$$\operatorname{curl}_{(x,t)} Y_{\varepsilon} = -\operatorname{curl}_{x} \rho_{\varepsilon}.$$

The RHS of both equations lie in $H^{-1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, t^*))$.

The RHS of both equations lie in $H^{-1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, t^*))$. Now, we use the div-curl lemma and conclude the convergence of ρ_{ε} to ρ_0 .

Consequences

It is possible to give examples of kinetic models with global existence that converges to KS models with blow up:

 $T_{\varepsilon}[S](x,v,v',t) = F(v)\Psi(S(x+\varepsilon v,t) - S(x,t))$

with $0 < \Psi_{\min} \leq \Psi(y) \leq Ay + B$.

Consequences

It is possible to give examples of kinetic models with global existence that converges to KS models with blow up:

 $T_{\varepsilon}[S](x, v, v', t) = F(v)\Psi(S(x + \varepsilon v, t) - S(x, t))$

with $0 < \Psi_{\min} \le \Psi(y) \le Ay + B$.

For kinetic models with preventions of overcrowding it is possible to reproduce the HP results.

Theorem. (C., Kang) With

$$T_{\varepsilon,\mu}[S,\rho] = \psi \left(S \left(x + \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\mu\rho} v \right) - S \left(x, t \right) \right)$$

the solution exists globally and the drift-diffusion limit (globally in time) *is the Velazquez' model.*



Consider the Classical Keller-Segel model.

Consider the Classical Keller-Segel model.

If the initial conditions are given by two small aggregates, both with mass larger than the minimal required for blow up, and these aggregates are far enough, we can expect that both will converge (in finite time) to Dirac-delta distributions.



Mathematical models for cell movementPart III - p. 3




Question: How do these aggragates interact?

Question: How do these aggragates interact? Answer: Velázquez, 2004. Question: How do these aggragates interact?

Answer: Velázquez, 2004.

The final system of ODE (with some simplifications), for two aggregates, is given by

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t) = -\frac{\Gamma(m_{2})m_{1}}{2\pi} \frac{x_{1}(t) - x_{2}(t)}{|x_{1}(t) - x_{2}(t)|^{2}},$$

$$\dot{x}_{2}(t) = -\frac{\Gamma(m_{1})m_{2}}{2\pi} \frac{x_{2}(t) - x_{1}(t)}{|x_{2}(t) - x_{1}(t)|^{2}},$$

where $\Gamma(m) \in (0, 1)$ is a given function such that

 $\lim_{m \to 8\pi^+} \Gamma(m) = 1 \; ,$

Question: Can we use kinetic models to extend the Keller-Segel model after the blow up time?

Question: Can we use kinetic models to extend the Keller-Segel model after the blow up time?

???