

*IPM Logic Conference 2007,  
June 10 - 15, 2007, IPM, Tehran, Iran*

## Double Negation of Intermediate Value Theorem

**R. Ramezani**

*Sharif University of Technology  
Tehran, Iran*

In the context of intuitionistic analysis, we assume the set  $\mathcal{F}$  consisting of all continuous functions  $\phi$  from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(1) = 1$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_0$  the set of  $\phi$ 's in  $\mathcal{F}$  that there exists  $x \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ . It is well-known that there are *weak counterexamples* to the intermediate value theorem, and with the help of *Brouwer's continuity principle*  $\mathcal{I}_0 \neq \mathcal{F}$ . However, there exists no satisfying answer to  $\mathcal{I}_0^{\neg\neg} =? \mathcal{F}$ . We try to answer to this question by reducing it to some properties about intuitionistic decidable subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Using this reduction, it is shown that  $\mathcal{F}_{mon} \neq (\mathcal{I}_0)_{mon}^{\neg\neg}$  cannot be derived from some well known intuitionistic axioms, *Weak Continuity principle*, *Kripke Schema*, and *Fan principle*. Also, assuming an equivalent form of *Markov's principle*, i.e.,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}(x \neq 0 \rightarrow x \neq 0)$ , it is derived  $\mathcal{F}_{mon} = (\mathcal{I}_0)_{mon}^{\neg\neg}$ . It is proved that the converse does not hold, and the assumption  $\mathcal{F}_{mon} = (\mathcal{I}_0)_{mon}^{\neg\neg}$  does not imply  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}(x \neq 0 \rightarrow x \neq 0)$ . We also introduce the notion of *strong Specker double sequence*, and prove that existence of a *strong Specker double sequence* implies existence of  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\neg\exists x \in [0, 1]\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ .