

Generic Initial Ideals; Lecture 1

Jürgen Herzog
Universität Duisburg-Essen

Workshop on Computational Commutative Algebra,
July 2–7, 2011

University of Tehran and IPM

Outline

Zariski open sets and linear automorphisms

Definition of generic initial ideals

Existence

Outline

Zariski open sets and linear automorphisms

Definition of generic initial ideals

Existence

Outline

Zariski open sets and linear automorphisms

Definition of generic initial ideals

Existence

Zariski open sets

Let K be an infinite field. A subset of the affine space K^m is called **Zariski closed** if it is the set of common zeroes of a set of polynomials in m variables. A **Zariski open** subset of K^m is by definition the complement of a Zariski closed subset. The topology so defined on K^m is called the **Zariski topology**.

Zariski open sets

Let K be an infinite field. A subset of the affine space K^m is called **Zariski closed** if it is the set of common zeroes of a set of polynomials in m variables. A **Zariski open** subset of K^m is by definition the complement of a Zariski closed subset. The topology so defined on K^m is called the **Zariski topology**.

An important property of Zariski open sets is given in

Lemma 1: Let $U_1, \dots, U_r \subset K^m$ be nonempty Zariski open sets. Then $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_r \neq \emptyset$.

Zariski open sets

Let K be an infinite field. A subset of the affine space K^m is called **Zariski closed** if it is the set of common zeroes of a set of polynomials in m variables. A **Zariski open** subset of K^m is by definition the complement of a Zariski closed subset. The topology so defined on K^m is called the **Zariski topology**.

An important property of Zariski open sets is given in

Lemma 1: Let $U_1, \dots, U_r \subset K^m$ be nonempty Zariski open sets. Then $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_r \neq \emptyset$.

It is enough to show that $U \cap U' \neq \emptyset$, if U and U' are nonempty Zariski open sets of K^m . Let $A = K^m \setminus U$ and $A' = K^m \setminus U'$, and assume that A is the common set of zeroes of the polynomials f_1, \dots, f_r and A' is the common set of zeroes of the polynomials g_1, \dots, g_s . Let $\mathbf{x} \in U$ and $\mathbf{x}' \in U'$. Then there exist f_i and g_j with $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ and $g_j(\mathbf{x}') \neq 0$.

It follows that $f_i g_j \neq 0$. Since K is infinite, there exists $\mathbf{x}'' \in K^m$ such that $f_i g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. This implies $f_i(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$ and $g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. Hence $\mathbf{x}'' \in U \cap U'$. ✓

It follows that $f_i g_j \neq 0$. Since K is infinite, there exists $\mathbf{x}'' \in K^m$ such that $f_i g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. This implies $f_i(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$ and $g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. Hence $\mathbf{x}'' \in U \cap U'$. ✓

The Lemma implies that a non-empty Zariski open set U in K^n is a dense subsets of K^n . Thus if we choose a “random” point $\mathbf{x} \in K^n$ then it belongs most likely to U .

It follows that $f_i g_j \neq 0$. Since K is infinite, there exists $\mathbf{x}'' \in K^m$ such that $f_i g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. This implies $f_i(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$ and $g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. Hence $\mathbf{x}'' \in U \cap U'$. ✓

The Lemma implies that a non-empty Zariski open set U in K^n is a dense subsets of K^n . Thus if we choose a “random” point $\mathbf{x} \in K^n$ then it belongs most likely to U .

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables and let $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ denote the general linear group, that is, the group of all invertible $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in K .

It follows that $f_i g_j \neq 0$. Since K is infinite, there exists $\mathbf{x}'' \in K^m$ such that $f_i g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. This implies $f_i(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$ and $g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. Hence $\mathbf{x}'' \in U \cap U'$. ✓

The Lemma implies that a non-empty Zariski open set U in K^n is a dense subsets of K^n . Thus if we choose a “random” point $\mathbf{x} \in K^n$ then it belongs most likely to U .

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables and let $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ denote the general linear group, that is, the group of all invertible $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in K .

Any $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, $\alpha = (a_{ij})$ induces an automorphism

$$\alpha : S \rightarrow S, \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1} x_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} x_i\right).$$

It follows that $f_i g_j \neq 0$. Since K is infinite, there exists $\mathbf{x}'' \in K^m$ such that $f_i g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. This implies $f_i(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$ and $g_j(\mathbf{x}'') \neq 0$. Hence $\mathbf{x}'' \in U \cap U'$. ✓

The Lemma implies that a non-empty Zariski open set U in K^n is a dense subsets of K^n . Thus if we choose a “random” point $\mathbf{x} \in K^n$ then it belongs most likely to U .

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables and let $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ denote the general linear group, that is, the group of all invertible $n \times n$ -matrices with entries in K .

Any $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$, $\alpha = (a_{ij})$ induces an automorphism

$$\alpha : S \rightarrow S, \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1} x_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} x_i\right).$$

This type of automorphism of S is called a **linear automorphism**.

Definition of generic initial ideals

The set $M_n(K)$ of all $n \times n$ matrices may be identified with the points in $K^{n \times n}$, the coordinates of the points being the entries of the corresponding matrices.

Definition of generic initial ideals

The set $M_n(K)$ of all $n \times n$ matrices may be identified with the points in $K^{n \times n}$, the coordinates of the points being the entries of the corresponding matrices.

It is then clear that $GL_n(K)$ is a Zariski open subset of $M_n(K)$, because $\alpha \in M_n(K)$ belongs to $GL_n(K)$ if and only if $\det \alpha \neq 0$.

Definition of generic initial ideals

The set $M_n(K)$ of all $n \times n$ matrices may be identified with the points in $K^{n \times n}$, the coordinates of the points being the entries of the corresponding matrices.

It is then clear that $GL_n(K)$ is a Zariski open subset of $M_n(K)$, because $\alpha \in M_n(K)$ belongs to $GL_n(K)$ if and only if $\det \alpha \neq 0$.

This is the case if and only if α does not belong to the Zariski closed set which is defined as the set of zeroes of the polynomial $\det(x_{ij}) \in K[\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}]$.

Definition of generic initial ideals

The set $M_n(K)$ of all $n \times n$ matrices may be identified with the points in $K^{n \times n}$, the coordinates of the points being the entries of the corresponding matrices.

It is then clear that $GL_n(K)$ is a Zariski open subset of $M_n(K)$, because $\alpha \in M_n(K)$ belongs to $GL_n(K)$ if and only if $\det \alpha \neq 0$.

This is the case if and only if α does not belong to the Zariski closed set which is defined as the set of zeroes of the polynomial $\det(x_{ij}) \in K[\{x_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}]$.

Since $GL_n(K)$ itself is open, a subset of $GL_n(K)$ is open if and only if it is a Zariski open subset of $K^{n \times n}$.

Theorem 1: Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal and $<$ a monomial order on S with $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$. Then there exists a nonempty open subset $U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ such that

$$\mathrm{in}_<(\alpha I) = \mathrm{in}_<(\alpha' I)$$

for all $\alpha, \alpha' \in U$.

Theorem 1: Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal and $<$ a monomial order on S with $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$. Then there exists a nonempty open subset $U \subset GL_n(K)$ such that

$$\operatorname{in}_{<}(\alpha I) = \operatorname{in}_{<}(\alpha' I)$$

for all $\alpha, \alpha' \in U$.

Definition: The ideal $\operatorname{in}_{<}(\alpha I)$ with $\alpha \in U$ and $U \subset GL_n(K)$ as given in Theorem 1 is called the **generic initial ideal** of I with respect to the monomial order $<$.

Theorem 1: Let $I \subset S$ be a graded ideal and $<$ a monomial order on S with $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$. Then there exists a nonempty open subset $U \subset GL_n(K)$ such that

$$\operatorname{in}_{<}(\alpha I) = \operatorname{in}_{<}(\alpha' I)$$

for all $\alpha, \alpha' \in U$.

Definition: The ideal $\operatorname{in}_{<}(\alpha I)$ with $\alpha \in U$ and $U \subset GL_n(K)$ as given in Theorem 1 is called the **generic initial ideal** of I with respect to the monomial order $<$.

It is denoted $\operatorname{gin}_{<}(I)$.

Existence

Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.

Existence

Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $d, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t \leq \dim_K S_d$. We consider the t th exterior power $\bigwedge^t S_d$ of the K -vector space S_d .

Existence

Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $d, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t \leq \dim_K S_d$. We consider the t th exterior power $\bigwedge^t S_d$ of the K -vector space S_d .

Given a monomial order $<$ on S , an element $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_t$ where each u_j is a monomial of degree d and where $u_1 > u_2 > \cdots > u_t$, will be called a **standard exterior monomial**.

Existence

Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $d, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t \leq \dim_K S_d$. We consider the t th exterior power $\bigwedge^t S_d$ of the K -vector space S_d .

Given a monomial order $<$ on S , an element $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_t$ where each u_j is a monomial of degree d and where $u_1 > u_2 > \cdots > u_t$, will be called a **standard exterior monomial**.

It is clear that the standard exterior monomials form a K -basis of $\bigwedge^t S_d$. In particular, any element $f \in \bigwedge^t S_d$ is a unique linear combination of standard exterior monomials.

Existence

Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $d, t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t \leq \dim_K S_d$. We consider the t th exterior power $\bigwedge^t S_d$ of the K -vector space S_d .

Given a monomial order $<$ on S , an element $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_t$ where each u_i is a monomial of degree d and where $u_1 > u_2 > \cdots > u_t$, will be called a **standard exterior monomial**.

It is clear that the standard exterior monomials form a K -basis of $\bigwedge^t S_d$. In particular, any element $f \in \bigwedge^t S_d$ is a unique linear combination of standard exterior monomials.

The **support** of f is the set $\text{supp}(f)$ of standard exterior monomials which appear in f with a nonzero coefficient.

We order the standard exterior monomials lexicographically by setting

$$u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_t > v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_t,$$

if $u_i > v_i$ for the smallest index i with $u_i \neq v_i$.

We order the standard exterior monomials lexicographically by setting

$$u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_t > v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_t,$$

if $u_i > v_i$ for the smallest index i with $u_i \neq v_i$.

This allows us to define the **initial monomial** $\text{in}_{<}(f)$ of a nonzero element $f \in \bigwedge^t S_d$ as the largest standard exterior monomial in the support of f .

We order the standard exterior monomials lexicographically by setting

$$u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_t > v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_t,$$

if $u_i > v_i$ for the smallest index i with $u_i \neq v_i$.

This allows us to define the **initial monomial** $\text{in}_{<}(f)$ of a nonzero element $f \in \bigwedge^t S_d$ as the largest standard exterior monomial in the support of f .

Now let $\alpha \in \text{GL}_n(K)$ be a linear automorphism of S , $V \subset S_d$ a t -dimensional subspace of S_d and f_1, f_2, \dots, f_t a K -basis of V . Then $\alpha(f_1), \alpha(f_2), \dots, \alpha(f_t)$ is a K -basis of the vector subspace $\alpha V \subset S_d$.

Lemma 2: Let $w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_t$ be the largest standard exterior monomial of $\bigwedge^t S_d$ with the property that there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ with

$$\mathrm{in}_{<}(\alpha(f_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(f_t)) = w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_t.$$

Then the set

$$U = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K) : \mathrm{in}_{<}(\alpha(f_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(f_t)) = w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_t\}$$

is a nonempty Zariski open subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$.

Lemma 2: Let $w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_t$ be the largest standard exterior monomial of $\bigwedge^t S_d$ with the property that there exists $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ with

$$\mathrm{in}_{<}(\alpha(f_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(f_t)) = w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_t.$$

Then the set

$$U = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K) : \mathrm{in}_{<}(\alpha(f_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(f_t)) = w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_t\}$$

is a nonempty Zariski open subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$.

We observe that if $\mathrm{in}_{<}(\alpha(f_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(f_t)) = w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_t$, then $\mathrm{in}_{<}(\alpha V)$ has the K -basis w_1, \dots, w_t . In particular, $\mathrm{in}_{<}(\alpha V)$ does not depend on $\alpha \in U$.

The following example demonstrates the lemma.

The following example demonstrates the lemma.

Let $S = K[x_1, x_2]$, and $<$ the lexicographic monomial order on S . Then the standard exterior monomials in $\bigwedge^2 S_2$ are:

$$x_1^2 \wedge x_1 x_2 > x_1^2 \wedge x_2^2 > x_1 x_2 \wedge x_2^2.$$

Let $f_1 = x_1^2$, $f_2 = x_2^2$ and $\alpha \in GL_2(K)$. Then

$$\alpha(f_1) = \alpha_{11}^2 x_1^2 + 2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21} x_1 x_2 + \alpha_{21}^2 x_2^2$$

and

$$\alpha(f_2) = \alpha_{12}^2 x_1^2 + 2\alpha_{12}\alpha_{22} x_1 x_2 + \alpha_{22}^2 x_2^2$$

. Therefore,

$$\alpha(f_1) \wedge \alpha(f_2) = (2\alpha_{11}^2\alpha_{12}\alpha_{22} - 2\alpha_{12}^2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21})x_1^2 \wedge x_1 x_2 + \cdots,$$

and so $p(\alpha) = 2(\alpha_{11}^2\alpha_{12}\alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12}^2\alpha_{11}\alpha_{21})$.

The proof of Theorem 1 can now be completed:

The proof of Theorem 1 can now be completed:

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $I_d \neq 0$. We define the nonempty Zariski open subset $U_d \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for the linear subspace $I_d \subset S_d$ similarly to how we defined in Lemma 2 the Zariski open subset $U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for $V \subset S_d$. For those $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $I_d = 0$, we set $U_d = \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$.

The proof of Theorem 1 can now be completed:

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $I_d \neq 0$. We define the nonempty Zariski open subset $U_d \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for the linear subspace $I_d \subset S_d$ similarly to how we defined in Lemma 2 the Zariski open subset $U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for $V \subset S_d$. For those $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $I_d = 0$, we set $U_d = \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$.

Let $\alpha \in U_d$ and set $J_d = \mathrm{in}_<(\alpha I_d)$. By the definition of U_d , the vector space J_d does not depend on the particular choice of $\alpha \in U_d$. We claim that $J = \bigoplus_d J_d$ is an ideal.

The proof of Theorem 1 can now be completed:

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $I_d \neq 0$. We define the nonempty Zariski open subset $U_d \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for the linear subspace $I_d \subset S_d$ similarly to how we defined in Lemma 2 the Zariski open subset $U \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ for $V \subset S_d$. For those $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $I_d = 0$, we set $U_d = \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$.

Let $\alpha \in U_d$ and set $J_d = \mathrm{in}_<(\alpha I_d)$. By the definition of U_d , the vector space J_d does not depend on the particular choice of $\alpha \in U_d$. We claim that $J = \bigoplus_d J_d$ is an ideal.

In fact, for a given $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $U_d \cap U_{d+1} \neq \emptyset$. Then for any $\alpha \in U_d \cap U_{d+1}$ it follows that

$$S_1 J_d = S_1 \mathrm{in}_<(\alpha I_d) \subset \mathrm{in}_<(\alpha I_{d+1}) = J_{d+1},$$

which shows that J is indeed an ideal.

Let c be the highest degree of a generator of J , and let $U = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \cdots \cap U_c$. For any $\alpha \in U$ we will show that $J_d = \text{in}_<(\alpha I_d)$ for all d .

Let c be the highest degree of a generator of J , and let $U = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \cdots \cap U_c$. For any $\alpha \in U$ we will show that $J_d = \text{in}_<(\alpha I_d)$ for all d .

This is obviously the case for $d \leq c$, because $\alpha \in U_d$ for all $d \leq c$.

Let c be the highest degree of a generator of J , and let $U = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \cdots \cap U_c$. For any $\alpha \in U$ we will show that $J_d = \text{in}_<(\alpha I_d)$ for all d .

This is obviously the case for $d \leq c$, because $\alpha \in U_d$ for all $d \leq c$.

Now let $d \geq c$. We show by induction on d , that $J_d = \text{in}_<(\alpha I_d)$. For $d = c$, there is nothing to prove. Now let $d > c$. Applying the induction hypothesis we get

$$J_d = S_1 J_{d-1} = S_1 \text{in}_<(\alpha I_{d-1}) \subset \text{in}_<(\alpha I_d).$$

Let c be the highest degree of a generator of J , and let $U = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \cdots \cap U_c$. For any $\alpha \in U$ we will show that $J_d = \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d)$ for all d .

This is obviously the case for $d \leq c$, because $\alpha \in U_d$ for all $d \leq c$.

Now let $d \geq c$. We show by induction on d , that $J_d = \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d)$. For $d = c$, there is nothing to prove. Now let $d > c$. Applying the induction hypothesis we get

$$J_d = S_1 J_{d-1} = S_1 \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_{d-1}) \subset \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d).$$

Since $\dim_K J_d = \dim_K \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d)$ we conclude that $J_d = \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d)$.

Let c be the highest degree of a generator of J , and let $U = U_1 \cap U_2 \cap \cdots \cap U_c$. For any $\alpha \in U$ we will show that $J_d = \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d)$ for all d .

This is obviously the case for $d \leq c$, because $\alpha \in U_d$ for all $d \leq c$.

Now let $d \geq c$. We show by induction on d , that $J_d = \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d)$. For $d = c$, there is nothing to prove. Now let $d > c$. Applying the induction hypothesis we get

$$J_d = S_1 J_{d-1} = S_1 \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_{d-1}) \subset \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d).$$

Since $\dim_K J_d = \dim_K \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d)$ we conclude that $J_d = \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I_d)$.

The (nonempty) Zariski open set U just defined, has the desired property. ✓

It can be shown that the Zariski open set $U \subset GL(n)$ with $\text{gin}_<(I) = \text{in}_<(\alpha I)$ meets non-trivially the group \mathcal{U} of upper triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal. Thus in practice we may choose a “random” $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ to compute $\text{gin}_<(I)$ as $\text{in}_<(\alpha I)$.

It can be shown that the Zariski open set $U \subset GL(n)$ with $\text{gin}_{<}(I) = \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I)$ meets non-trivially the group \mathcal{U} of upper triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal. Thus in practice we may choose a “random” $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ to compute $\text{gin}_{<}(I)$ as $\text{in}_{<}(\alpha I)$.

We compute $\text{gin}_{<}(I)$ for $I = (x^2, y^2, z^2)$ and the lexicographic order induced by $x > y > z$. Let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be shown that the Zariski open set $U \subset GL(n)$ with $\text{gin}_{<}(I) = \text{in}_{<}(\alpha I)$ meets non-trivially the group \mathcal{U} of upper triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal. Thus in practice we may choose a “random” $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ to compute $\text{gin}_{<}(I)$ as $\text{in}_{<}(\alpha I)$.

We compute $\text{gin}_{<}(I)$ for $I = (x^2, y^2, z^2)$ and the lexicographic order induced by $x > y > z$. Let

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\alpha(I) = (x^2, (ax + y)^2, (bx + cy + z)^2)$$

and we get

$$\operatorname{gin}_{<}(I) = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3, y^2z, yz^2, z^4), \quad \text{if}$$

$$\operatorname{char} K \neq 2, 3 \quad \text{and} \quad abc(ac - b) \neq 0,$$

and we get

$$\operatorname{gin}_{<}(I) = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3, y^2z, yz^2, z^4), \quad \text{if}$$

$$\operatorname{char} K \neq 2, 3 \quad \text{and} \quad abc(ac - b) \neq 0,$$

and

$$\operatorname{gin}_{<}(I) = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3, y^2z, z^3), \quad \text{if}$$

$$\operatorname{char} K = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad ab(ac + b) \neq 0$$

and we get

$$\operatorname{gin}_{<}(I) = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3, y^2z, yz^2, z^4), \quad \text{if}$$

$$\operatorname{char} K \neq 2, 3 \quad \text{and} \quad abc(ac - b) \neq 0,$$

and

$$\operatorname{gin}_{<}(I) = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3, y^2z, z^3), \quad \text{if}$$

$$\operatorname{char} K = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad ab(ac + b) \neq 0$$

and finally I if $\operatorname{char} K = 2$.

and we get

$$\operatorname{gin}_{<}(I) = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3, y^2z, yz^2, z^4), \quad \text{if}$$

$$\operatorname{char} K \neq 2, 3 \quad \text{and} \quad abc(ac - b) \neq 0,$$

and

$$\operatorname{gin}_{<}(I) = (x^2, xy, xz, y^3, y^2z, z^3), \quad \text{if}$$

$$\operatorname{char} K = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad ab(ac + b) \neq 0$$

and finally I if $\operatorname{char} K = 2$.

One might ask whether the gin of a complete intersection does depend on the specific complete intersection. Not surprisingly it does.

In the case $d = 3$ and $n = 4$ the monomial and the generic complete intersection have distinct gins but the two ideals have the same Betti numbers.

In the case $d = 3$ and $n = 4$ the monomial and the generic complete intersection have distinct gins but the two ideals have the same Betti numbers.

With CoCoA we get:

$$\text{gin}(a^3, b^3, c^3, d^3) = (a^3, a^2b, ab^2, b^3, a^2c^2, abc^2, \textcolor{red}{ac}^3, bc^4, c^5, \textcolor{red}{b}^2\textcolor{red}{c}^3, \textcolor{red}{b}^2\textcolor{red}{c}^2d, bc^3d, c^4d, a^2cd^3, abcd^3, b^2cd^3, ac^2d^3, bc^2d^3, c^3d^3, a^2d^5, b^2d^5, abd^5, acd^5, bcd^5, c^2d^5, ad^7, bd^7, cd^7, d^9),$$

In the case $d = 3$ and $n = 4$ the monomial and the generic complete intersection have distinct gins but the two ideals have the same Betti numbers.

With CoCoA we get:

$$\text{gin}(a^3, b^3, c^3, d^3) = (a^3, a^2b, ab^2, b^3, a^2c^2, abc^2, \textcolor{red}{ac}^3, bc^4, c^5, \textcolor{red}{b}^2\textcolor{red}{c}^3, \textcolor{red}{b}^2\textcolor{red}{c}^2d, bc^3d, c^4d, a^2cd^3, abcd^3, b^2cd^3, ac^2d^3, bc^2d^3, c^3d^3, a^2d^5, b^2d^5, abd^5, acd^5, bcd^5, c^2d^5, ad^7, bd^7, cd^7, d^9),$$

while for a generic complete intersection I we have

$$\text{gin}(I) = (a^3, a^2b, ab^2, b^3, a^2c^2, abc^2, \textcolor{red}{b}^2\textcolor{red}{c}^2, \textcolor{red}{ac}^4, bc^4, c^5, \textcolor{red}{ac}^3d, bc^3d, c^4d, a^2cd^3, abcd^3, b^2cd^3, ac^2d^3, bc^2d^3, c^3d^3, a^2d^5, abd^5, b^2d^5, acd^5, bcd^5, c^2d^5, ad^7, bd^7, cd^7, d^9)$$

The number of generators of the generic initial ideal of a 0-dimensional **generic** complete intersection in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ generated in degree d .

		$n \rightarrow$			
		2	3	4	5
$d \downarrow$	2	3	6	12	21
	3	4	11	29	76
	4	5	17	60	206
	5	6	25	108	473

The same diagram for $\text{gin}_{<}(x_1^d, \dots, x_n^d)$.

		$n \rightarrow$			
		2	3	4	5
$d \downarrow$	2	3	6	12	21
	3	4	11	29	77
	4	5	17	60	207
	5	6	25	108	474

The same diagram for $\text{gin}_{<}(x_1^d, \dots, x_n^d)$.

		$n \rightarrow$			
		2	3	4	5
d \downarrow	2	3	6	12	21
	3	4	11	29	77
	4	5	17	60	207
	5	6	25	108	474

Problem: Give an explicit formula for the minimal number of generators $\mu(n, d)$ of $\text{gin}_{<}(x_1^d, \dots, x_n^d)$.

I asked the **Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences**

<http://oeis.org/>

I asked the **Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences**

<http://oeis.org/>

Interpretation of the second column:

Index of 5^n within the sequence of numbers of form $3^i 5^j$.

1, 3, **5**, 9, 15, **25**, 27, 45, 75, 81, **125**, \dots

I asked the **Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences**

<http://oeis.org/>

Interpretation of the second column:

Index of 5^n within the sequence of numbers of form $3^i 5^j$.

1, 3, **5**, 9, 15, **25**, 27, 45, 75, 81, **125**, ...

Interpretation of the third column:

I'm sorry, but your terms do **not** match anything in the table.