#### Generic Initial Ideals; Lecture 5

Jürgen Herzog Universität Duisburg-Essen

Workshop on Computational Commutative Algebra,

July 2–7, 2011

University of Tehran and IPM

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

# Outline

Shifting operations

Kalai's squarefree operator

Symmetric algebraic shifting

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

## Outline

Shifting operations

Kalai's squarefree operator

Symmetric algebraic shifting

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

## Outline

Shifting operations

Kalai's squarefree operator

Symmetric algebraic shifting



**Definition:** A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on [n] is shifted if, for  $F \in \Delta$ ,  $i \in F$  and  $j \in [n]$  with j > i, one has  $(F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\} \in \Delta$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

**Definition:** A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on [n] is shifted if, for  $F \in \Delta$ ,  $i \in F$  and  $j \in [n]$  with j > i, one has  $(F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\} \in \Delta$ .

Note that  $\Delta$  is shifted if and only if  $I_{\Delta}$  is squarefree strongly stable.



**Definition:** A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on [n] is shifted if, for  $F \in \Delta$ ,  $i \in F$  and  $j \in [n]$  with j > i, one has  $(F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\} \in \Delta$ .

Note that  $\Delta$  is shifted if and only if  $I_{\Delta}$  is squarefree strongly stable.

**Definition:** A shifting operation on [n] is a map which associates each simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on [n] with a simplicial complex Shift( $\Delta$ ) on [n] and which satisfies the following conditions:

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

 $(S_1)$  Shift( $\Delta$ ) is shifted;

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

- $(S_1)$  Shift( $\Delta$ ) is shifted;
- $(S_2)$  Shift( $\Delta$ ) =  $\Delta$ , if  $\Delta$  is shifted;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

- $(S_1)$  Shift( $\Delta$ ) is shifted;
- $(S_2)$  Shift( $\Delta$ ) =  $\Delta$ , if  $\Delta$  is shifted;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

 $(S_3) f(\Delta) = f(\mathsf{Shift}(\Delta));$ 

- $(S_1)$  Shift( $\Delta$ ) is shifted;
- $(S_2)$  Shift( $\Delta$ ) =  $\Delta$ , if  $\Delta$  is shifted;
- $(S_3) f(\Delta) = f(\text{Shift}(\Delta));$
- $(S_4)$  Shift $(\Delta') \subset$  Shift $(\Delta)$ , if  $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ .

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- $(S_1)$  Shift( $\Delta$ ) is shifted;
- $(S_2)$  Shift( $\Delta$ ) =  $\Delta$ , if  $\Delta$  is shifted;
- $(S_3) f(\Delta) = f(\text{Shift}(\Delta));$
- $(S_4)$  Shift $(\Delta') \subset$  Shift $(\Delta)$ , if  $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ .

In classical combinatorics of finite sets, Erdös, Ko and Rado introduced combinatorial shifting.

 $C_{ij}(F) = \begin{cases} (F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\}, & \text{if } i \in F, \ j \notin F \text{ and } (F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\} \notin \Delta, \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

 $C_{ij}(F) = \begin{cases} (F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\}, & \text{if } i \in F, \ j \notin F \text{ and } (F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\} \notin \Delta, \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

<日 > < 同 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 0 < 0</p>

**Proposition 1:** (a)  $\text{Shift}_{ij}(\Delta)$  is a simplicial complex on [n], and the operation  $\Delta \rightarrow \text{Shift}_{ij}(\Delta)$  satisfies the conditions  $(S_2)$ ,  $(S_3)$  and  $(S_4)$ .

 $C_{ij}(F) = \begin{cases} (F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\}, & \text{if } i \in F, \ j \notin F \text{ and } (F \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{j\} \notin \Delta, \\ F, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

**Proposition 1:** (a)  $\text{Shift}_{ij}(\Delta)$  is a simplicial complex on [n], and the operation  $\Delta \rightarrow \text{Shift}_{ij}(\Delta)$  satisfies the conditions  $(S_2)$ ,  $(S_3)$  and  $(S_4)$ .

(b) There exists a finite sequence of pairs of integers  $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \ldots, (i_q, j_q)$  with each  $1 \le i_k < j_k \le n$  such that

 $\text{Shift}_{i_q j_q}(\text{Shift}_{i_{q-1} j_{q-1}}(\cdots(\text{Shift}_{i_1 j_1}(\Delta))\cdots))$ 

is shifted.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

**Example:** Let  $\Delta$  be the simplicial complex with facets  $\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3, 4\}.$ 

**Example:** Let  $\Delta$  be the simplicial complex with facets  $\{1,2\}, \{2,3,4\}.$ 

 $\Delta$  is not shifted because  $\{1,4\} \notin \Delta$ . We apply the operator Shift<sub>2,4</sub>. Then Shift<sub>2,4</sub>( $\Delta$ ) has the facets  $\{1,4\}, \{2,3,4\}$ . Since Shift<sub>2,4</sub>( $\Delta$ ) is already shifted, we see that  $\Delta^c = \text{Shift}_{2,4}(\Delta)$ .

**Example:** Let  $\Delta$  be the simplicial complex with facets  $\{1,2\}, \{2,3,4\}.$ 

 $\Delta$  is not shifted because  $\{1,4\} \notin \Delta$ . We apply the operator Shift<sub>2,4</sub>. Then Shift<sub>2,4</sub>( $\Delta$ ) has the facets  $\{1,4\}, \{2,3,4\}$ . Since Shift<sub>2,4</sub>( $\Delta$ ) is already shifted, we see that  $\Delta^c = \text{Shift}_{2,4}(\Delta)$ .

In general,  $\Delta^c$  depends on its construction by the sequence of the operators Shift<sub>ij</sub>.

# Kalai's squarefree operator

Let *K* be a field of characteristic 0 and  $S = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$  the polynomial ring in *n* variables over *K*. We work with the reverse lexicographic order  $<_{rev}$  on *S* induced by the ordering  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n$ .

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

# Kalai's squarefree operator

Let *K* be a field of characteristic 0 and  $S = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$  the polynomial ring in *n* variables over *K*. We work with the reverse lexicographic order  $<_{rev}$  on *S* induced by the ordering  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n$ .

Let  $I \subset S$  be a squarefree monomial ideal and  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  its generic initial ideal with respect to  $<_{rev}$ . Since K is of characteristic 0, it follows that  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  is strongly stable. However,  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  is no longer squarefree.

# Kalai's squarefree operator

Let *K* be a field of characteristic 0 and  $S = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$  the polynomial ring in *n* variables over *K*. We work with the reverse lexicographic order  $<_{rev}$  on *S* induced by the ordering  $x_1 > \cdots > x_n$ .

Let  $I \subset S$  be a squarefree monomial ideal and  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  its generic initial ideal with respect to  $<_{rev}$ . Since K is of characteristic 0, it follows that  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  is strongly stable. However,  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  is no longer squarefree.

**Lemma 1:** Let  $I \subset S$  be a squarefree monomial ideal. Then

 $m(u) + \deg u \le n+1$ 

for all monomials u belonging to  $G(gin_{< rev}(I))$ .

・ロト・西ト・モート 一回・クタウ

**Proof:** Since  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  is strongly stable, the Eliahou-Kervaire formulas yield

$$\beta_{ii+j}(I) = \sum_{u \in G(gin_{< rev}(I))_j} \binom{m(u) - 1}{i},$$

where  $G(gin_{<_{rev}}(I))_j$  is the set of monomials  $u \in G(gin_{<_{rev}}(I))$  of degree *j*.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

**Proof:** Since  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  is strongly stable, the Eliahou-Kervaire formulas yield

$$\beta_{ii+j}(I) = \sum_{u \in G(gin_{< rev}(I))_j} \binom{m(u) - 1}{i},$$

where  $G(gin_{<_{rev}}(I))_j$  is the set of monomials  $u \in G(gin_{<_{rev}}(I))$  of degree *j*.

Thus in particular

 $\max\{m(u) + \deg u - 1 : u \in G(gin_{<_{rev}}(I))\}$ 

is the highest shift in the resolution of  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$ . Since *I* is a squarefree monomial ideal, and since by Hochster the resolutions of squarefree ideals have only squarefee shifts it follows that the highest shift in the resolution of *I* is at most *n*.

Since the Betti number with the highest shift in the resolution on l is extremal, it follows from the theorem of Bayer-Charalambous-Popescu that the highest shift in the resolution of l and that of  $gin_{< rev}(l)$  coincides.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Since the Betti number with the highest shift in the resolution on l is extremal, it follows from the theorem of Bayer-Charalambous-Popescu that the highest shift in the resolution of l and that of  $gin_{< rev}(l)$  coincides.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Hence  $m(u) + \deg u - 1 \le n$  for all  $u \in G(gin_{< rev}(I))$ .

・ロト・日本・モト・モー しょうくぐ

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let  $u = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d}$  be a monomial of *S*, where  $i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_d$ .

Let  $u = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d}$  be a monomial of *S*, where  $i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_d$ .

We set

$$\boldsymbol{u}^{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{x}_{i_1} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_2+1} \cdots \boldsymbol{x}_{i_j+(j-1)} \cdots \boldsymbol{x}_{i_d+(d-1)}.$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let  $u = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d}$  be a monomial of *S*, where  $i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_d$ .

We set

$$\boldsymbol{u}^{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{x}_{i_1} \boldsymbol{x}_{i_2+1} \cdots \boldsymbol{x}_{i_j+(j-1)} \cdots \boldsymbol{x}_{i_d+(d-1)}.$$

One has

$$m(u^{\sigma}) - \deg u^{\sigma} = m(u) - 1. \tag{1}$$

The operator  $u \rightarrow u^{\sigma}$  is called squarefree operator.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

**Proof:** Since  $m(u^{\sigma}) - \deg u^{\sigma} = m(u) - 1$  and  $m(u) + \deg u - 1 \le n$  for all  $u \in G(gin_{<_{rev}}(I))$ , the assertion follows.

**Proof:** Since  $m(u^{\sigma}) - \deg u^{\sigma} = m(u) - 1$  and  $m(u) + \deg u - 1 \le n$  for all  $u \in G(gin_{<_{rev}}(I))$ , the assertion follows.

Let  $I \subset S$  be strongly stable ideal with  $G(I) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_s\}$ . We write  $I^{\sigma}$  for the squarefree monomial ideal generated by the monomials  $u_1^{\sigma}, \ldots, u_s^{\sigma}$ .

A D > 4 回 > 4 回 > 4 回 > 1 の Q Q

**Proof:** Since  $m(u^{\sigma}) - \deg u^{\sigma} = m(u) - 1$  and  $m(u) + \deg u - 1 \le n$  for all  $u \in G(gin_{<_{rev}}(I))$ , the assertion follows.

Let  $I \subset S$  be strongly stable ideal with  $G(I) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_s\}$ . We write  $I^{\sigma}$  for the squarefree monomial ideal generated by the monomials  $u_1^{\sigma}, \ldots, u_s^{\sigma}$ .

**Lemma 2:** If  $I \subset S$  is strongly stable with  $G(I) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_s\}$ , then  $I^{\sigma}$  is squarefree strongly stable with  $G(I^{\sigma}) = \{u_1^{\sigma}, \ldots, u_s^{\sigma}\}$ .

**Proof:** First one shows that  $G(I^{\sigma}) = \{u_1^{\sigma}, \dots, u_s^{\sigma}\}$ .

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @
**Proof:** First one shows that  $G(I^{\sigma}) = \{u_1^{\sigma}, \dots, u_s^{\sigma}\}.$ 

Next we show why  $I^{\sigma}$  is squarefree strongly stable. We take a monomial  $u = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d} \in G(I)$  together with  $u_0 = (x_b u^{\sigma})/x_{i_a+(a-1)}$ , where  $x_b$  does not divide  $u^{\sigma}$  and where  $b < i_a + (a - 1)$  and  $a \in [d]$ . We claim  $u_0 \in I^{\sigma}$ .

**Proof:** First one shows that  $G(I^{\sigma}) = \{u_1^{\sigma}, \dots, u_s^{\sigma}\}$ .

Next we show why  $I^{\sigma}$  is squarefree strongly stable. We take a monomial  $u = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d} \in G(I)$  together with  $u_0 = (x_b u^{\sigma})/x_{i_a+(a-1)}$ , where  $x_b$  does not divide  $u^{\sigma}$  and where  $b < i_a + (a - 1)$  and  $a \in [d]$ . We claim  $u_0 \in I^{\sigma}$ .

Choose p < a such that  $i_p + (p - 1) < b < i_{p+1} + p$ . (Here  $i_0 = 1$ ). Let

$$v = (\prod_{j=1}^{p} x_{i_j}) x_{b-p} (\prod_{j=p+1}^{a-1} x_{i_j-1}) (\prod_{j=a+1}^{d} x_{i_j}).$$

**Proof:** First one shows that  $G(I^{\sigma}) = \{u_1^{\sigma}, \dots, u_s^{\sigma}\}$ .

Next we show why  $I^{\sigma}$  is squarefree strongly stable. We take a monomial  $u = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_d} \in G(I)$  together with  $u_0 = (x_b u^{\sigma})/x_{i_a+(a-1)}$ , where  $x_b$  does not divide  $u^{\sigma}$  and where  $b < i_a + (a - 1)$  and  $a \in [d]$ . We claim  $u_0 \in I^{\sigma}$ .

Choose p < a such that  $i_p + (p - 1) < b < i_{p+1} + p$ . (Here  $i_0 = 1$ ). Let

$$v = (\prod_{j=1}^{p} x_{i_j}) x_{b-p} (\prod_{j=p+1}^{a-1} x_{i_j-1}) (\prod_{j=a+1}^{d} x_{i_j})$$

Since  $b - p < i_{p+1} \le i_a$  and since *I* is strongly stable, the monomial *v* belongs to *I*. One has  $v^{\sigma} = (x_b u^{\sigma})/x_{i_a+(a-1)} = u_0$ . Let, say,  $v = x_{\ell_1} \cdots x_{\ell_d}$  with  $\ell_1 \le \cdots \le \ell_d$ .

Again, since *I* is strongly stable, it follows that  $w = x_{\ell_1} \cdots x_{\ell_c} \in G(I)$  for some  $c \leq d$ . Since  $w^{\sigma}$  divides  $v^{\sigma} = u_0$ , one has  $u_0 \in I^{\sigma}$ , as desired.  $\checkmark$ 

Again, since *I* is strongly stable, it follows that  $w = x_{\ell_1} \cdots x_{\ell_c} \in G(I)$  for some  $c \leq d$ . Since  $w^{\sigma}$  divides  $v^{\sigma} = u_0$ , one has  $u_0 \in I^{\sigma}$ , as desired.  $\checkmark$ 

Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex on [*n*]. Since the base field *K* is of characteristic 0, we have that  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I_{\Delta})$  is strongly stable. Thus  $(gin_{<_{rev}}(I_{\Delta}))^{\sigma}$  is a squarefree strongly stable ideal of *S*.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Again, since *I* is strongly stable, it follows that  $w = x_{\ell_1} \cdots x_{\ell_c} \in G(I)$  for some  $c \leq d$ . Since  $w^{\sigma}$  divides  $v^{\sigma} = u_0$ , one has  $u_0 \in I^{\sigma}$ , as desired.  $\checkmark$ 

Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex on [*n*]. Since the base field *K* is of characteristic 0, we have that  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I_{\Delta})$  is strongly stable. Thus  $(gin_{<_{rev}}(I_{\Delta}))^{\sigma}$  is a squarefree strongly stable ideal of *S*.

**Definition:** The symmetric algebraic shifted complex of  $\Delta$  is defined to be the shifted complex  $\Delta^s$  on [*n*] with

 $I_{\Delta^s} = (\operatorname{gin}_{<_{\operatorname{rev}}}(I_{\Delta}))^{\sigma}.$ 



**Proof:** The formula follows from the identity  $m(u^{\sigma}) - \deg u^{\sigma} = m(u) - 1$  and the formulas for the Betti numbers of strongly stable and squarefree strongly stable ideals.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

**Proof:** The formula follows from the identity  $m(u^{\sigma}) - \deg u^{\sigma} = m(u) - 1$  and the formulas for the Betti numbers of strongly stable and squarefree strongly stable ideals.

It is clear that the operation  $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta^s$  satisfies condition  $(S_1)$ . Lemma 3 implies that it satisfies also condition  $(S_3)$ . Condition  $(S_4)$  is easy to see. That condition  $(S_2)$  is satisfied follows from

**Proof:** The formula follows from the identity  $m(u^{\sigma}) - \deg u^{\sigma} = m(u) - 1$  and the formulas for the Betti numbers of strongly stable and squarefree strongly stable ideals.

It is clear that the operation  $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta^s$  satisfies condition  $(S_1)$ . Lemma 3 implies that it satisfies also condition  $(S_3)$ . Condition  $(S_4)$  is easy to see. That condition  $(S_2)$  is satisfied follows from

**Theorem 1:** Let  $I \subset S$  be a squarefree strongly stable ideal. Then

 $I = \operatorname{gin}_{<_{\operatorname{rev}}}(I)^{\sigma}.$ 

**Proof:** Let  $J = gin_{\leq_{rev}}(I^{\sigma})$ . Then *J* is strongly stable and by Theorem 1 one has  $J^{\sigma} = I^{\sigma}$ . Therefore  $G(J^{\sigma}) = G(I^{\sigma})$ . By Lemma 2 it follows that G(J) = G(I).

**Proof:** Let  $J = gin_{<_{rev}}(l^{\sigma})$ . Then *J* is strongly stable and by Theorem 1 one has  $J^{\sigma} = l^{\sigma}$ . Therefore  $G(J^{\sigma}) = G(l^{\sigma})$ . By Lemma 2 it follows that G(J) = G(l).

**Theorem 2:** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex and  $I_{\Delta} \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its Stanley–Reisner ideal, where *K* is a field of characteristic 0. Then:

**Proof:** Let  $J = gin_{<_{rev}}(l^{\sigma})$ . Then *J* is strongly stable and by Theorem 1 one has  $J^{\sigma} = l^{\sigma}$ . Therefore  $G(J^{\sigma}) = G(l^{\sigma})$ . By Lemma 2 it follows that G(J) = G(l).

**Theorem 2:** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex and  $I_{\Delta} \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its Stanley–Reisner ideal, where *K* is a field of characteristic 0. Then:

(a) the *ij*th Betti number of  $I_{\Delta}$  is extremal if and only if the *ij*th Betti number of  $I_{\Delta^s}$  is extremal;

**Proof:** Let  $J = gin_{<_{rev}}(l^{\sigma})$ . Then *J* is strongly stable and by Theorem 1 one has  $J^{\sigma} = l^{\sigma}$ . Therefore  $G(J^{\sigma}) = G(l^{\sigma})$ . By Lemma 2 it follows that G(J) = G(l).

**Theorem 2:** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex and  $I_{\Delta} \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  its Stanley–Reisner ideal, where *K* is a field of characteristic 0. Then:

(a) the *ij*th Betti number of  $I_{\Delta}$  is extremal if and only if the *ij*th Betti number of  $I_{\Delta^s}$  is extremal;

(b) The corresponding extremal Betti numbers of  $I_{\Delta}$  and  $I_{\Delta^s}$  are equal.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

**Corollary 2:** Let  $\triangle$  be a simplicial complex and let *K* be a field of characteristic 0. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(日)

**Corollary 2:** Let  $\triangle$  be a simplicial complex and let *K* be a field of characteristic 0. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

(a)  $\Delta$  is Cohen–Macaulay over K;

**Corollary 2:** Let  $\triangle$  be a simplicial complex and let *K* be a field of characteristic 0. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\Delta$  is Cohen–Macaulay over K;
- (b)  $\Delta^{s}$  is Cohen–Macaulay;

**Corollary 2:** Let  $\triangle$  be a simplicial complex and let *K* be a field of characteristic 0. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\Delta$  is Cohen–Macaulay over K;
- (b)  $\Delta^{s}$  is Cohen–Macaulay;
- (c)  $\Delta^s$  is pure.

**Corollary 2:** Let  $\triangle$  be a simplicial complex and let *K* be a field of characteristic 0. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\Delta$  is Cohen–Macaulay over K;
- (b)  $\Delta^{s}$  is Cohen–Macaulay;

(c)  $\Delta^{s}$  is pure.

.

**Proof:** Since shifting operators preserve *f*-vectors, it follows that dim  $K[\Delta] = \dim K[\Delta^s]$ . Now Theorem 2 implies that

proj dim  $K[\Delta] = \operatorname{proj} \operatorname{dim} K[\Delta^s]$ 

**Corollary 2:** Let  $\triangle$  be a simplicial complex and let *K* be a field of characteristic 0. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $\Delta$  is Cohen–Macaulay over K;

(b)  $\Delta^{s}$  is Cohen–Macaulay;

(c)  $\Delta^{s}$  is pure.

.

**Proof:** Since shifting operators preserve *f*-vectors, it follows that dim  $K[\Delta] = \dim K[\Delta^s]$ . Now Theorem 2 implies that

proj dim  $K[\Delta] = \operatorname{proj} \dim K[\Delta^s]$ 

Thus depth  $K[\Delta] = \text{depth } K[\Delta^s]$  by the Auslander–Buchbaum theorem. This shows the equivalence of statements (a) and (b).

<日 > < 同 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 0 < 0</p>

The ideal *I* is the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex  $\Gamma$ . We denote by  $I^{\vee}$  the Stanley–Reisner ideal of Alexander dual  $\Gamma^{\vee}$  of  $\Gamma$ .

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

The ideal *I* is the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex  $\Gamma$ . We denote by  $I^{\vee}$  the Stanley–Reisner ideal of Alexander dual  $\Gamma^{\vee}$  of  $\Gamma$ .

It is easily seen that  $I^{\vee}$  is again squarefree strongly stable. All minimal prime ideals of *I* have the same height if and only if  $I^{\vee}$  is generated in one degree.

The ideal *I* is the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex  $\Gamma$ . We denote by  $I^{\vee}$  the Stanley–Reisner ideal of Alexander dual  $\Gamma^{\vee}$  of  $\Gamma$ .

It is easily seen that  $I^{\vee}$  is again squarefree strongly stable. All minimal prime ideals of *I* have the same height if and only if  $I^{\vee}$  is generated in one degree.

Since  $I^{\vee}$  is squarefree strongly stable, this is the case if and only if  $I^{\vee}$  has linear resolution. By the Theorem of Eagon–Reiner this is equivalent to saying that I is a Cohen–Macaulay ideal.



(b) In the definition of  $\Delta^s$  we must assume that char K = 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

(b) In the definition of  $\Delta^s$  we must assume that char K = 0. Really?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

(b) In the definition of  $\Delta^s$  we must assume that char K = 0. Really?

<日 > < 同 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 0 < 0</p>

char K = 0 was required to make sure that  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I_{\Delta})$  is strongly stable.

(b) In the definition of  $\Delta^s$  we must assume that char K = 0. Really?

char K = 0 was required to make sure that  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I_{\Delta})$  is strongly stable.

Here is my question: Let *I* be a monomial ideal and let *a* be the highest exponent appearing among the generators of *I*. Is it true that  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  is independent of char *K* for char K > a?

(b) In the definition of  $\Delta^s$  we must assume that char K = 0. Really?

char K = 0 was required to make sure that  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I_{\Delta})$  is strongly stable.

Here is my question: Let *I* be a monomial ideal and let *a* be the highest exponent appearing among the generators of *I*. Is it true that  $gin_{<_{rev}}(I)$  is independent of char *K* for char K > a?

If yes, then  $\Delta^s$  is defined in all characteristics.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

For a given simplicial complex  $\Delta$  one defines the face ideal  $J_{\Delta} \subset E$  in the same way as one defines the Stanley-Reisner ideal of  $\Delta$ .

For a given simplicial complex  $\Delta$  one defines the face ideal  $J_{\Delta} \subset E$  in the same way as one defines the Stanley-Reisner ideal of  $\Delta$ .

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

One defines generic initial ideals for ideals in the exterior algebra similarly as for ideals in the symmetric algebra.

For a given simplicial complex  $\Delta$  one defines the face ideal  $J_{\Delta} \subset E$  in the same way as one defines the Stanley-Reisner ideal of  $\Delta$ .

One defines generic initial ideals for ideals in the exterior algebra similarly as for ideals in the symmetric algebra.

The exterior shifted simplicial complex  $\Delta^e$  is defined by the equation

 $J_{\Delta^e} = \operatorname{gin}_{<_{\operatorname{rev}}}(J_\Delta).$ 

<ロト < @ ト < E ト < E ト E の < @</p>
One expects the following inequalities

 $\beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^s}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^e}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^c}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^{lex}}),$ 

where  $\Delta^{lex}$  is the simplicial complex whose Stanley–Reisner ideal is the unique squarefree lexsegment ideal with the same Hilbert function as  $l_{\Delta}$ .

<日 > < 同 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 0 < 0</p>

One expects the following inequalities

 $\beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^s}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^e}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^c}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^{lex}}),$ 

where  $\Delta^{lex}$  is the simplicial complex whose Stanley–Reisner ideal is the unique squarefree lexsegment ideal with the same Hilbert function as  $I_{\Delta}$ .

In this chain of inequalities, the inequality  $\beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^s}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^e})$  and even the inequality  $\beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta}) \leq \beta_{ij}(I_{\Delta^e})$  is not known.