

Computational Commutative Algebra

Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

Maria Evelina Rossi

Università di Genova
Dipartimento di Matematica

Tehran, 2-7 July 2011



- 1 Castelnuovo Mumford Regularity via minimal free resolutions and Hilbert functions
- 2 Castelnuovo Mumford Regularity and its behavior relative to Hyperplane sections, Sums, Products, Intersections of ideals
- 3 Castelnuovo Mumford regularity: computational aspects
- 4 Finiteness of Hilbert Functions and Regularity
- 5 Bounds on the regularity and Open Problems

References

Contents

1 Finiteness of Hilbert Functions and Regularity

Historical Notes

Castelnuovo (1893): The germ of the idea of regularity as a special case of "Base-point free pencil trick" (exercises 17.18 and 20.21 in Eisenbud's book).

Zarisky (1960) taught to his students (included Mumford and Kleiman) Castelnuovo's idea.

Mumford (1966): gave a definition of regularity for sheaves in \mathbf{P}^n which is related to the notion of weakly m -regularity given in Lesson 2.

Kleiman's thesis (1965), see also Grothendieck's volume SGA 6 (1970): the notion of regularity is used in the construction of bounded families of ideals with given Hilbert polynomial, a crucial point in the construction of Hilbert or Picard scheme.

Historical Notes

Castelnuovo (1893): The germ of the idea of regularity as a special case of "Base-point free pencil trick" (exercises 17.18 and 20.21 in Eisenbud's book).

Zarisky (1960) taught to his students (included Mumford and Kleiman) Castelnuovo's idea.

Mumford (1966): gave a definition of regularity for sheaves in \mathbf{P}^n which is related to the notion of weakly m -regularity given in Lesson 2.

Kleiman's thesis (1965), see also Grothendieck's volume SGA 6 (1970): the notion of regularity is used in the construction of bounded families of ideals with given Hilbert polynomial, a crucial point in the construction of Hilbert or Picard scheme.

Historical Notes

Castelnuovo (1893): The germ of the idea of regularity as a special case of "Base-point free pencil trick" (exercises 17.18 and 20.21 in Eisenbud's book).

Zarisky (1960) taught to his students (included Mumford and Kleiman) Castelnuovo's idea.

Mumford (1966): gave a definition of regularity for sheaves in \mathbf{P}^n which is related to the notion of weakly m -regularity given in Lesson 2.

Kleiman's thesis (1965), see also Grothendieck's volume SGA 6 (1970): the notion of regularity is used in the construction of bounded families of ideals with given Hilbert polynomial, a crucial point in the construction of Hilbert or Picard scheme.

Historical Notes

Castelnuovo (1893): The germ of the idea of regularity as a special case of "Base-point free pencil trick" (exercises 17.18 and 20.21 in Eisenbud's book).

Zarisky (1960) taught to his students (included Mumford and Kleiman) Castelnuovo's idea.

Mumford (1966): gave a definition of regularity for sheaves in \mathbf{P}^n which is related to the notion of weakly m -regularity given in Lesson 2.

Kleiman's thesis (1965), see also Grothendieck's volume SGA 6 (1970): the notion of **regularity is used in the construction of bounded families of ideals with given Hilbert polynomial**, a crucial point in the construction of Hilbert or Picard scheme.

Reg-limited

Let $I \subseteq P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an homogeneous ideal.

The most important invariants from the Hilbert polynomial are:

d =Krull dimension, e =multiplicity

- If $A = P/I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have seen that $\text{reg}(A) = \text{degree of the } h\text{-polynomial } h_A(z)$. Hence (we may assume $I \subseteq m^2$)

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq e - n + d$$

In particular = holds $\iff h_A(z) = 1 + (n - d)z + \dots + z^{e-n+d}$.

- The following example shows that in general the regularity cannot be bounded by a function $F(e, d, n)$.

Example. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and consider $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy^r)$ which is 1-dimensional non C-M. In this case $e(A) = 1$ but $\text{reg}(A) = r$.

Geometric information can produce better situations.

Reg-limited

Let $I \subseteq P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an homogeneous ideal.

The most important invariants from the Hilbert polynomial are:

d =Krull dimension, e =multiplicity

- If $A = P/I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have seen that $\text{reg}(A) = \text{degree of the } h\text{-polynomial } h_A(z)$. Hence (we may assume $I \subseteq m^2$)

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq e - n + d$$

In particular = holds $\iff h_A(z) = 1 + (n - d)z + \dots + z^{e-n+d}$.

- The following example shows that in general the regularity cannot be bounded by a function $F(e, d, n)$.

Example. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and consider $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy^r)$ which is 1-dimensional non C-M. In this case $e(A) = 1$ but $\text{reg}(A) = r$.

Geometric information can produce better situations.

Reg-limited

Let $I \subseteq P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an homogeneous ideal.

The most important invariants from the Hilbert polynomial are:

d =Krull dimension, e =multiplicity

- If $A = P/I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have seen that $\text{reg}(A) = \text{degree of the } h\text{-polynomial } h_A(z)$. Hence (we may assume $I \subseteq m^2$)

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq e - n + d$$

In particular = holds $\iff h_A(z) = 1 + (n - d)z + \dots + z^{e-n+d}$.

- The following example shows that in general the regularity cannot be bounded by a function $F(e, d, n)$.

Example. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and consider $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy^r)$ which is 1-dimensional non C-M. In this case $e(A) = 1$ but $\text{reg}(A) = r$.

Geometric information can produce better situations.

Reg-limited

Let $I \subseteq P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an homogeneous ideal.

The most important invariants from the Hilbert polynomial are:

d =Krull dimension, e =multiplicity

- If $A = P/I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have seen that $\text{reg}(A)$ = degree of the h -polynomial $h_A(z)$. Hence (we may assume $I \subseteq m^2$)

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq e - n + d$$

In particular = holds $\iff h_A(z) = 1 + (n - d)z + \dots + z^{e-n+d}$.

- The following example shows that in general the regularity cannot be bounded by a function $F(e, d, n)$.

Example. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and consider $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy^r)$ which is 1-dimensional non C-M. In this case $e(A) = 1$ but $\text{reg}(A) = r$.

Geometric information can produce better situations.

Reg-limited

Let $I \subseteq P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an homogeneous ideal.

The most important invariants from the Hilbert polynomial are:

d =Krull dimension, e =multiplicity

- If $A = P/I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have seen that $\text{reg}(A)$ = degree of the h -polynomial $h_A(z)$. Hence (we may assume $I \subseteq m^2$)

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq e - n + d$$

In particular = holds $\iff h_A(z) = 1 + (n - d)z + \dots + z^{e-n+d}$.

- The following example shows that in general the regularity cannot be bounded by a function $F(e, d, n)$.

Example. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and consider $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy^r)$ which is 1-dimensional non C-M. In this case $e(A) = 1$ but $\text{reg}(A) = r$.

Geometric information can produce better situations.

Reg-limited

Let $I \subseteq P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an homogeneous ideal.

The most important invariants from the Hilbert polynomial are:

d =Krull dimension, e =multiplicity

- If $A = P/I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have seen that $\text{reg}(A)$ = degree of the h -polynomial $h_A(z)$. Hence (we may assume $I \subseteq m^2$)

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq e - n + d$$

In particular = holds $\iff h_A(z) = 1 + (n - d)z + \dots + z^{e-n+d}$.

- The following example shows that in general the regularity cannot be bounded by a function $F(e, d, n)$.

Example. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and consider $A = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy^r)$ which is 1-dimensional non C-M. In this case $e(A) = 1$ but $\text{reg}(A) = r$.

Geometric information can produce better situations.

Reg-limited

- (Castelnuovo) $I = I(C)$ where C is a smooth curve:

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq e - 1$$

More in general

- (Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine) $k = \overline{k}$, $I = I(C)$ where C is a reduced irreducible curve in \mathbb{P}^n :

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq e - n + 2$$

Here we will present an algebraic version of a result by Kleiman (1971) in the case of **equidimensional reduced schemes**.

The problem is related to the finiteness of Hilbert functions for classes of graded k -algebras with given multiplicity.

Reg-limited

- (Castelnuovo) $I = I(C)$ where C is a smooth curve:

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq e - 1$$

More in general

- (Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine) $k = \overline{k}$, $I = I(C)$ where C is a reduced irreducible curve in \mathbb{P}^n :

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq e - n + 2$$

Here we will present an algebraic version of a result by Kleiman (1971) in the case of equidimensional reduced schemes.

The problem is related to the finiteness of Hilbert functions for classes of graded k -algebras with given multiplicity.

Reg-limited

- (Castelnuovo) $I = I(C)$ where C is a smooth curve:

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq e - 1$$

More in general

- (Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine) $k = \overline{k}$, $I = I(C)$ where C is a reduced irreducible curve in \mathbb{P}^n :

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq e - n + 2$$

Here we will present an algebraic version of a result by Kleiman (1971) in the case of **equidimensional reduced schemes**.

The problem is related to the finiteness of Hilbert functions for classes of graded k -algebras with given multiplicity.

Reg-limited

- (Castelnuovo) $I = I(C)$ where C is a smooth curve:

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq e - 1$$

More in general

- (Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine) $k = \bar{k}$, $I = I(C)$ where C is a reduced irreducible curve in \mathbb{P}^n :

$$\operatorname{reg}(I) \leq e - n + 2$$

Here we will present an algebraic version of a result by Kleiman (1971) in the case of **equidimensional reduced schemes**.

The problem is related to the finiteness of Hilbert functions for classes of graded k -algebras with given multiplicity.

Reg-limited

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then we say:

- \mathcal{C} is **HF-finite** if the number of numerical functions which arise as the Hilbert functions of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **HP-finite** if the number of polynomials which arise as the Hilbert polynomials of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\text{reg}(P/I) \leq t$,
- \mathcal{C} is **g-reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $g\text{-reg}(P/I) \leq t$
 $(g\text{-reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/I^{\text{sat}})$ called the geometric regularity).

Reg-limited

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then we say:

- \mathcal{C} is **HF-finite** if the number of numerical functions which arise as the Hilbert functions of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **HP-finite** if the number of polynomials which arise as the Hilbert polynomials of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\text{reg}(P/I) \leq t$,
- \mathcal{C} is **g-reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $g\text{-reg}(P/I) \leq t$
 ($g\text{-reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/I^{\text{sat}})$ called the geometric regularity).

Reg-limited

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then we say:

- \mathcal{C} is **HF-finite** if the number of numerical functions which arise as the Hilbert functions of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **HP-finite** if the number of polynomials which arise as the Hilbert polynomials of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\text{reg}(P/I) \leq t$,
- \mathcal{C} is **g-reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $g\text{-reg}(P/I) \leq t$
 ($g\text{-reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/I^{\text{sat}})$ called the geometric regularity).

Reg-limited

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then we say:

- \mathcal{C} is **HF-finite** if the number of numerical functions which arise as the Hilbert functions of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **HP-finite** if the number of polynomials which arise as the Hilbert polynomials of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\text{reg}(P/I) \leq t$,
- \mathcal{C} is **g-reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $g\text{-reg}(P/I) \leq t$
 ($g\text{-reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/I^{\text{sat}})$ called the geometric regularity).

Reg-limited

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then we say:

- \mathcal{C} is **HF-finite** if the number of numerical functions which arise as the Hilbert functions of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **HP-finite** if the number of polynomials which arise as the Hilbert polynomials of P/I , $I \in \mathcal{C}$, is finite,
- \mathcal{C} is **reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\text{reg}(P/I) \leq t$,
- \mathcal{C} is **g-reg-limited** if for some integer t and all $I \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $g\text{-reg}(P/I) \leq t$
 $(g\text{-reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/I^{\text{sat}})$ called the geometric regularity).

Reg-limited

Fix $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in P :

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited} \iff \mathcal{C} \text{ HF-finite}$$

- (\implies) Assume

$$t \geq \text{reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)) \geq m - 1$$

where $m =$ maximum degree of the generators of $\text{gin}(I)$. Since $\text{HF}_{P/I}(n) = \text{HF}_{P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)}(n)$ and the monomials of degree $\leq t + 1$ in P are a finite number, the conclusion follows.

- (\impliedby) For the converse, since $\text{HF}_{P/I}(n) = \text{HF}_{P/\text{Lex}(I)}(n)$, if \mathcal{C} is HF-finite, there are only a finite number of lexicographic ideals in P associated to \mathcal{C} . Then the result follows because

$$\text{reg}(P/I) \leq \text{reg}(P/\text{Lex}(I)).$$

Reg-limited

Fix $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in P

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited} \iff \mathcal{C} \text{ HF-finite}$$

- (\implies) Assume

$$t \geq \text{reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)) \geq m - 1$$

where $m = \text{maximum degree of the generators of } \text{gin}(I)$. Since $\text{HF}_{P/I}(n) = \text{HF}_{P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)}(n)$ and the monomials of degree $\leq t + 1$ in P are a finite number, the conclusion follows.

- (\impliedby) For the converse, since $\text{HF}_{P/I}(n) = \text{HF}_{P/\text{Lex}(I)}(n)$, if \mathcal{C} is HF-finite, there are only a finite number of lexicographic ideals in P associated to \mathcal{C} . Then the result follows because

$$\text{reg}(P/I) \leq \text{reg}(P/\text{Lex}(I)).$$

Reg-limited

Fix $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in P

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited} \iff \mathcal{C} \text{ HF-finite}$$

- (\implies) Assume

$$t \geq \text{reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)) \geq m - 1$$

where m = maximum degree of the generators of $\text{gin}(I)$. Since $HF_{P/I}(n) = HF_{P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)}(n)$ and the monomials of degree $\leq t+1$ in P are a finite number, the conclusion follows.

- (\impliedby) For the converse, since $HF_{P/I}(n) = HF_{P/\text{Lex}(I)}(n)$, if \mathcal{C} is HF-finite, there are only a finite number of lexicographic ideals in P associated to \mathcal{C} . Then the result follows because

$$\text{reg}(P/I) \leq \text{reg}(P/\text{Lex}(I)).$$

Reg-limited

Fix $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in P

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited} \iff \mathcal{C} \text{ HF-finite}$$

- (\implies) Assume

$$t \geq \text{reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)) \geq m - 1$$

where m = maximum degree of the generators of $\text{gin}(I)$. Since $\text{HF}_{P/I}(n) = \text{HF}_{P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)}(n)$ and the monomials of degree $\leq t + 1$ in P are a finite number, the conclusion follows.

- (\impliedby) For the converse, since $\text{HF}_{P/I}(n) = \text{HF}_{P/\text{Lex}(I)}(n)$, if \mathcal{C} is HF-finite, there are only a finite number of lexicographic ideals in P associated to \mathcal{C} . Then the result follows because

$$\text{reg}(P/I) \leq \text{reg}(P/\text{Lex}(I)).$$

Reg-limited

Fix $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in P

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited} \iff \mathcal{C} \text{ HF-finite}$$

- (\implies) Assume

$$t \geq \text{reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)) \geq m - 1$$

where m = maximum degree of the generators of $\text{gin}(I)$. Since $\text{HF}_{P/I}(n) = \text{HF}_{P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)}(n)$ and the monomials of degree $\leq t + 1$ in P are a finite number, the conclusion follows.

- (\impliedby) For the converse, since $\text{HF}_{P/I}(n) = \text{HF}_{P/\text{Lex}(I)}(n)$, if \mathcal{C} is HF-finite, there are only a finite number of lexicographic ideals in P associated to \mathcal{C} . Then the result follows because

$$\text{reg}(P/I) \leq \text{reg}(P/\text{Lex}(I)).$$

Reg-limited

Fix $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and let \mathcal{C} be a class of homogeneous ideals in P

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited} \iff \mathcal{C} \text{ HF-finite}$$

- (\implies) Assume

$$t \geq \text{reg}(P/I) = \text{reg}(P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)) \geq m - 1$$

where $m =$ maximum degree of the generators of $\text{gin}(I)$. Since $HF_{P/I}(n) = HF_{P/\text{gin}_{\text{revlex}}(I)}(n)$ and the monomials of degree $\leq t + 1$ in P are a finite number, the conclusion follows.

- (\impliedby) For the converse, since $HF_{P/I}(n) = HF_{P/\text{Lex}(I)}(n)$, if \mathcal{C} is HF-finite, there are only a finite number of lexicographic ideals in P associated to \mathcal{C} . Then the result follows because

$$\text{reg}(P/I) \leq \text{reg}(P/\text{Lex}(I)).$$

g-reg-limited

We have seen (example) that

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ HP-finite} \not\Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited}$$

If \mathcal{C} is HP-finite, then we have a uniform upper bound for the geometric regularity of P/I in \mathcal{C} .

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ HP-finite} \implies \mathcal{C} \text{ g-reg-limited}$$

It is a consequence of **Gotzmann's result** which says:

Let s be a positive integer such that

$$HP_A(X) = \binom{X + a_1}{a_1} + \binom{X + a_2 - 1}{a_2} + \cdots + \binom{X + a_s - (s - 1)}{a_s}$$

with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_s \geq 0$. Then

$$\text{reg}(P/I^{\text{sat}}) \leq s - 1.$$

g-reg-limited

We have seen (example) that

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ HP-finite} \not\Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited}$$

If \mathcal{C} is HP-finite, then we have a uniform upper bound for the geometric regularity of P/I in \mathcal{C} .

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ HP-finite} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ g-reg-limited}$$

It is a consequence of Gotzmann's result which says:

Let s be a positive integer such that

$$HP_A(X) = \binom{X + a_1}{a_1} + \binom{X + a_2 - 1}{a_2} + \cdots + \binom{X + a_s - (s - 1)}{a_s}$$

with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_s \geq 0$. Then

$$\text{reg}(P/I^{\text{sat}}) \leq s - 1.$$

g-reg-limited

We have seen (example) that

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ HP-finite} \not\Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited}$$

If \mathcal{C} is HP-finite, then we have a uniform upper bound for the geometric regularity of P/I in \mathcal{C} .

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ HP-finite} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ g-reg-limited}$$

It is a consequence of Gotzmann's result which says:

Let s be a positive integer such that

$$HP_A(X) = \binom{X+a_1}{a_1} + \binom{X+a_2-1}{a_2} + \cdots + \binom{X+a_s-(s-1)}{a_s}$$

with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_s \geq 0$. Then

$$\text{reg}(P/I^{\text{sat}}) \leq s-1.$$

g-reg-limited

We have seen (example) that

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ HP-finite} \not\Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ reg-limited}$$

If \mathcal{C} is HP-finite, then we have a uniform upper bound for the geometric regularity of P/I in \mathcal{C} .

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ HP-finite} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \text{ g-reg-limited}$$

It is a consequence of **Gotzmann's result** which says:

Let s be a positive integer such that

$$HP_A(X) = \binom{X + a_1}{a_1} + \binom{X + a_2 - 1}{a_2} + \cdots + \binom{X + a_s - (s - 1)}{a_s}$$

with $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_s \geq 0$. Then

$$\text{reg}(P/I^{\text{sat}}) \leq s - 1.$$

For example, if A has dimension 1 and multiplicity e , then its Hilbert polynomial is

$$HP_A(n) = e = \binom{n}{0} + \binom{n-1}{0} + \cdots + \binom{n-(e-1)}{0}$$

so that $g - \text{reg}(R) \leq e - 1$.

In particular, if A is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 1 and multiplicity e , then $\text{reg}(A) \leq e - 1$.

For example, if A has dimension 1 and multiplicity e , then its Hilbert polynomial is

$$HP_A(n) = e = \binom{n}{0} + \binom{n-1}{0} + \cdots + \binom{n-(e-1)}{0}$$

so that $g - \text{reg}(R) \leq e - 1$.

In particular, if A is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 1 and multiplicity e , then $\text{reg}(A) \leq e - 1$.

For example, if A has dimension 1 and multiplicity e , then its Hilbert polynomial is

$$HP_A(n) = e = \binom{n}{0} + \binom{n-1}{0} + \cdots + \binom{n-(e-1)}{0}$$

so that $g - \text{reg}(R) \leq e - 1$.

In particular, if A is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 1 and multiplicity e , then $\text{reg}(A) \leq e - 1$.

Kleiman's theorem: an algebraic proof

For every $d \geq 1$ we define recursively the following polynomials $F_d(X)$ with rational coefficients. We let

$$F_1(X) = X - 1, \quad F_2(X) = X^2 + X - 1$$

and if $d \geq 3$ then we let

$$F_d(X) = F_{d-1}(X) + X \binom{F_{d-1}(X) + d - 1}{d - 1}.$$

Assume $k = \bar{k}$, $\text{char } k = 0$.

Theorem

Let $A = P/I$ be a *reduced equidimensional graded algebra* of dimension d and multiplicity e . Then

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq F_d(e).$$

We can list the main steps of an algebraic proof (by Rossi, Trung and Valla).

Kleiman's theorem: an algebraic proof

For every $d \geq 1$ we define recursively the following polynomials $F_d(X)$ with rational coefficients. We let

$$F_1(X) = X - 1, \quad F_2(X) = X^2 + X - 1$$

and if $d \geq 3$ then we let

$$F_d(X) = F_{d-1}(X) + X \binom{F_{d-1}(X) + d - 1}{d - 1}.$$

Assume $k = \bar{k}$, $\text{char } k = 0$.

Theorem

Let $A = P/I$ be a *reduced equidimensional graded algebra* of dimension d and multiplicity e . Then

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq F_d(e).$$

We can list the main steps of an algebraic proof (by Rossi, Trung and Valla).

Kleiman's theorem: an algebraic proof

For every $d \geq 1$ we define recursively the following polynomials $F_d(X)$ with rational coefficients. We let

$$F_1(X) = X - 1, \quad F_2(X) = X^2 + X - 1$$

and if $d \geq 3$ then we let

$$F_d(X) = F_{d-1}(X) + X \binom{F_{d-1}(X) + d - 1}{d - 1}.$$

Assume $k = \bar{k}$, $\text{char } k = 0$.

Theorem

Let $A = P/I$ be a *reduced equidimensional graded algebra* of dimension d and multiplicity e . Then

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq F_d(e).$$

We can list the main steps of an algebraic proof (by Rossi, Trung and Valla).

Kleiman's theorem: an algebraic proof

We need k algebraically closed and of characteristic zero in order to use Bertini-type theorem on the generic hyperplane section of a reduced and non degenerate variety (see Flenner's result).

- The proof works by induction on the dimension $d \geq 2$ of $A = P/I$. We choose a generic element $z \in P_1$ and we consider

$$B := P/(I + zP)^{\text{sat}}.$$

It is clear that $\dim(B) = d - 1$ and

$$e(A) = e(A/zA) = e(P/(I + zP)) = e(B) := e.$$

If we assume that A is reduced and equidimensional, then B (actually a flat extension) is reduced equidimensional too (Flenner's result).

- Hence we need to relate $\text{reg}(A) = g\text{-reg}(A)$ in terms of $\text{reg}(B) = g\text{-reg}(A/zA) \leq F_{d-1}(e)$.

Kleiman's theorem: an algebraic proof

We need k **algebraically closed and of characteristic zero** in order to use Bertini-type theorem on the generic hyperplane section of a reduced and non degenerate variety (see Flenner's result).

- The proof works by induction on the dimension $d \geq 2$ of $A = P/I$. We choose a generic element $z \in P_1$ and we consider

$$B := P/(I + zP)^{\text{sat}}.$$

It is clear that $\dim(B) = d - 1$ and

$$e(A) = e(A/zA) = e(P/(I + zP)) = e(B) := e.$$

If we assume that A is reduced and equidimensional, then B (actually a flat extension) is **reduced equidimensional** too (Flenner's result).

- Hence we need to relate $\text{reg}(A) = g\text{-reg}(A)$ in terms of $\text{reg}(B) = g\text{-reg}(A/zA) \leq F_{d-1}(e)$.

Kleiman's theorem: an algebraic proof

We need k **algebraically closed and of characteristic zero** in order to use Bertini-type theorem on the generic hyperplane section of a reduced and non degenerate variety (see Flenner's result).

- The proof works by induction on the dimension $d \geq 2$ of $A = P/I$. We choose a generic element $z \in P_1$ and we consider

$$B := P/(I + zP)^{\text{sat}}.$$

It is clear that $\dim(B) = d - 1$ and

$$e(A) = e(A/zA) = e(P/(I + zP)) = e(B) := e.$$

If we assume that A is reduced and equidimensional, then B (actually a flat extension) is **reduced equidimensional** too (Flenner's result).

- Hence we need to relate $\text{reg}(A) = g\text{-reg}(A)$ in terms of $\text{reg}(B) = g\text{-reg}(A/zA) \leq F_{d-1}(e)$.

Kleiman's theorem: an algebraic proof

We need k **algebraically closed and of characteristic zero** in order to use Bertini-type theorem on the generic hyperplane section of a reduced and non degenerate variety (see Flenner's result).

- The proof works by induction on the dimension $d \geq 2$ of $A = P/I$. We choose a generic element $z \in P_1$ and we consider

$$B := P/(I + zP)^{\text{sat}}.$$

It is clear that $\dim(B) = d - 1$ and

$$e(A) = e(A/zA) = e(P/(I + zP)) = e(B) := e.$$

If we assume that A is reduced and equidimensional, then B (actually a flat extension) is **reduced equidimensional** too (Flenner's result).

- Hence we need to relate $\text{reg}(A) = g\text{-reg}(A)$ in terms of $\text{reg}(B) = g\text{-reg}(A/zA) \leq F_{d-1}(e)$.

Kleiman's theorem: an algebraic proof

We need k **algebraically closed and of characteristic zero** in order to use Bertini-type theorem on the generic hyperplane section of a reduced and non degenerate variety (see Flenner's result).

- The proof works by induction on the dimension $d \geq 2$ of $A = P/I$. We choose a generic element $z \in P_1$ and we consider

$$B := P/(I + zP)^{\text{sat}}.$$

It is clear that $\dim(B) = d - 1$ and

$$e(A) = e(A/zA) = e(P/(I + zP)) = e(B) := e.$$

If we assume that A is reduced and equidimensional, then B (actually a flat extension) **is reduced equidimensional** too (Flenner's result).

- Hence we need to relate $\text{reg}(A) = g\text{-reg}(A)$ in terms of $\text{reg}(B) = g\text{-reg}(A/zA) \leq F_{d-1}(e)$.

Kleiman's theorem: an algebraic proof

We need k **algebraically closed and of characteristic zero** in order to use Bertini-type theorem on the generic hyperplane section of a reduced and non degenerate variety (see Flenner's result).

- The proof works by induction on the dimension $d \geq 2$ of $A = P/I$. We choose a generic element $z \in P_1$ and we consider

$$B := P/(I + zP)^{\text{sat}}.$$

It is clear that $\dim(B) = d - 1$ and

$$e(A) = e(A/zA) = e(P/(I + zP)) = e(B) := e.$$

If we assume that A is reduced and equidimensional, then B (actually a flat extension) **is reduced equidimensional** too (Flenner's result).

- Hence we need **to relate $\text{reg}(A) = g\text{-reg}(A)$ in terms of $\text{reg}(B) = g\text{-reg}(A/zA) \leq F_{d-1}(e)$.**

Mumford's theorem: an algebraic approach

- Unlike the regularity, **the geometric regularity does not behave well under generic (and regular) hyperplane sections**. Take for example the standard graded algebras

$$A = k[x, y, z]/(x^2, xy), \quad T = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy).$$

Then $g\text{-reg}(A) = \text{reg}(A) = 1$ while $g\text{-reg}(T) = 0$, $\text{reg}(T) = 1$.

However the following crucial result gives us the opportunity to control this bad behaviour.

Theorem (An algebraic version of Mumford's theorem)

Let $A = P/I$ be a standard graded algebra and $z \in A_1$ a regular linear form in A . If $g\text{-reg}(A/zA) \leq m$, then

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq m + \dim(H^1(A)_m) = m + HP_A(m) - HF_A(m)$$

Mumford's theorem: an algebraic approach

- Unlike the regularity, **the geometric regularity does not behave well under generic (and regular) hyperplane sections**. Take for example the standard graded algebras

$$A = k[x, y, z]/(x^2, xy), \quad T = k[x, y]/(x^2, xy).$$

Then $g\text{-reg}(A) = \text{reg}(A) = 1$ while $g\text{-reg}(T) = 0$, $\text{reg}(T) = 1$.

However the following crucial result gives us the opportunity to control this bad behaviour.

Theorem (An algebraic version of Mumford's theorem)

Let $A = P/I$ be a standard graded algebra and $z \in A_1$ a regular linear form in A . If $g\text{-reg}(A/zA) \leq m$, then

$$\text{reg}(A) \leq m + \dim(H^1(A)_m) = m + HP_A(m) - HF_A(m)$$

HF-finite

Now $HP_A(m)$ can be bounded in terms of the multiplicity and the dimension d . We can prove Kleiman's result because, by induction, we have $m = F_{d-1}(e)$.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of reduced equidimensional graded algebras with given multiplicity and dimension. Then \mathcal{C} is HF-finite.

We need only to remark that if $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $A = P/I$ has dimension d and multiplicity e , then $n - d + 1 \leq e$. The conclusion follows by Kleiman's theorem.

The theorem does not hold even if we consider reduced graded algebras not necessarily equidimensional. Take for example the graded rings

$$A_r := k[x, y, z, t, w]/(x) \cap (w, xz^r - yt^r).$$

All the elements of the family have dimension four, multiplicity one, but the regularity and the Hilbert function depends on r .

HF-finite

Now $HP_A(m)$ can be bounded in terms of the multiplicity and the dimension d . We can prove Kleiman's result because, by induction, we have $m = F_{d-1}(e)$.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of reduced equidimensional graded algebras with given multiplicity and dimension. Then \mathcal{C} is HF-finite.

We need only to remark that if $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $A = P/I$ has dimension d and multiplicity e , then $n - d + 1 \leq e$. The conclusion follows by Kleiman's theorem.

The theorem does not hold even if we consider reduced graded algebras not necessarily equidimensional. Take for example the graded rings

$$A_r := k[x, y, z, t, w]/(x) \cap (w, xz^r - yt^r).$$

All the elements of the family have dimension four, multiplicity one, but the regularity and the Hilbert function depends on r .

HF-finite

Now $HP_A(m)$ can be bounded in terms of the multiplicity and the dimension d . We can prove Kleiman's result because, by induction, we have $m = F_{d-1}(e)$.

Corollary

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of reduced equidimensional graded algebras with given multiplicity and dimension. Then \mathcal{C} is HF-finite.

We need only to remark that if $P = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $A = P/I$ has dimension d and multiplicity e , then $n - d + 1 \leq e$. The conclusion follows by Kleiman's theorem.

The theorem does not hold even if we consider reduced graded algebras not necessarily equidimensional. Take for example the graded rings

$$A_r := k[x, y, z, t, w]/(x) \cap (w, xz^r - yt^r).$$

All the elements of the family have dimension four, multiplicity one, but the regularity and the Hilbert function depends on r .

HF-finite

Kleiman's theorem does not hold if we delete the assumption that every element of the family is reduced.

Take for example the graded rings

$$A_r := k[x, y, z, t]/(y^2, xy, x^2, xz^r - yt^r).$$

This is the coordinate ring of a curve in \mathbf{P}^3 which can be described as the divisor $2L$ (L is a line) on a smooth surface of degree $r+1$. The Hilbert series of A_r is

$$HS_{A_r}(z) = \frac{1 + 2z - z^{r+1}}{(1 - z)^2}$$

so that

$$\dim(A_r) = 2, \quad e(A_r) = 2$$

but $\text{reg}(A_r) = r$ and we do not have a finite number of Hilbert functions.

HF-finite

Kleiman's theorem does not hold if we delete the assumption that every element of the family is reduced.

Take for example the graded rings

$$A_r := k[x, y, z, t]/(y^2, xy, x^2, xz^r - yt^r).$$

This is the coordinate ring of a curve in \mathbf{P}^3 which can be described as the divisor $2L$ (L is a line) on a smooth surface of degree $r + 1$. The Hilbert series of A_r is

$$HS_{A_r}(z) = \frac{1 + 2z - z^{r+1}}{(1 - z)^2}$$

so that

$$\dim(A_r) = 2, \quad e(A_r) = 2$$

but $\text{reg}(A_r) = r$ and we do not have a finite number of Hilbert functions.