

# Gorenstein Homology, Relative Pure Homology and Virtually Gorenstein Rings

**Fatemeh Zareh-Khoshchereh**

The 11th Seminar on  
Commutative Algebra and Related Topics

IPM, School of Mathematics, Tehran  
November 10-11, 2014

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 Gorenstein and Relative Purity
- 3 Virtually Gorenstein Rings



## Def. (Warfield)

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules. An exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules and  $R$ -homomorphisms is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact if for all  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(U, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(U, C)$  is surjective.

## Def. (Warfield)

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules. An exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules and  $R$ -homomorphisms is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact if for all  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(U, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(U, C)$  is surjective.

## Def.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules. An exact sequence

$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules and  $R$ -homomorphisms is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact if for all  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(B, U) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, U)$  is surjective.

Def.

An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure projective (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure projective) if for any  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact) sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ , the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, C)$  is surjective.

## Def.

An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure projective (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure projective) if for any  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact) sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ , the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, C)$  is surjective.

An  $R$ -module  $E$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure injective (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure injective) if for any  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact) sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ , the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(B, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, E)$  is surjective.

## Def.

An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure projective (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure projective) if for any  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact) sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ , the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(P, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, C)$  is surjective.

An  $R$ -module  $E$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure injective (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure injective) if for any  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact) sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ , the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(B, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, E)$  is surjective.

Also, an  $R$ -module  $F$  is called  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure flat (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure flat) if for any  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact) sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ , the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $F \otimes_R A \rightarrow F \otimes_R B$  is injective.



## Def.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) be a class of  $R$ -modules and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. An  $R$ -homomorphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow M$  (resp.  $\phi : M \rightarrow G$ ) where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ) is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -precover (resp. a  $\mathcal{G}$ -preenvelope) of  $M$  if for any  $F' \in \mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $G' \in \mathcal{G}$ ), the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(F', F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F', M)$  (resp.  $\text{Hom}_R(G, G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, G')$ ) is surjective.

## Def.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) be a class of  $R$ -modules and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. An  $R$ -homomorphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow M$  (resp.  $\phi : M \rightarrow G$ ) where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ) is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -precover (resp. a  $\mathcal{G}$ -preenvelope) of  $M$  if for any  $F' \in \mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $G' \in \mathcal{G}$ ), the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(F', F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F', M)$  (resp.  $\text{Hom}_R(G, G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, G')$ ) is surjective.

If  $\phi : F \rightarrow M$  (resp.  $\phi : M \rightarrow G$ ) is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -precover (resp. a  $\mathcal{G}$ -preenvelope) of  $M$  and any  $R$ -homomorphism  $f : F \rightarrow F$  (resp.  $f : G \rightarrow G$ ) such that  $\phi f = \phi$  (resp.  $f\phi = \phi$ ) is an automorphism, then  $\phi$  is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -cover (resp. a  $\mathcal{G}$ -envelope) of  $M$ .

## Def.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) be a class of  $R$ -modules and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. An  $R$ -homomorphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow M$  (resp.  $\phi : M \rightarrow G$ ) where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ) is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -precover (resp. a  $\mathcal{G}$ -preenvelope) of  $M$  if for any  $F' \in \mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $G' \in \mathcal{G}$ ), the induced  $R$ -homomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(F', F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F', M)$  (resp.  $\text{Hom}_R(G, G') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, G')$ ) is surjective.

If  $\phi : F \rightarrow M$  (resp.  $\phi : M \rightarrow G$ ) is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -precover (resp. a  $\mathcal{G}$ -preenvelope) of  $M$  and any  $R$ -homomorphism  $f : F \rightarrow F$  (resp.  $f : G \rightarrow G$ ) such that  $\phi f = \phi$  (resp.  $f \phi = \phi$ ) is an automorphism, then  $\phi$  is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -cover (resp. a  $\mathcal{G}$ -envelope) of  $M$ .

The class  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) is called (pre)covering (resp. (pre)enveloping) if every  $R$ -module admits an  $\mathcal{F}$ -(pre)cover (resp. a  $\mathcal{G}$ -(pre)envelope).

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules and

$\mathbf{X} = \cdots \rightarrow X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} X_i \xrightarrow{d_i} X_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots$  an exact complex of  $R$ -modules. For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set

$\mathbf{X}_i := 0 \rightarrow \text{Im } d_{i+1} \hookrightarrow X_i \rightarrow \text{Im } d_i \rightarrow 0$ . Then

- ①  $\text{Hom}_R(U, \mathbf{X})$  is exact for all  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{X}_i$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- ②  $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, V)$  is exact for all  $V \in \mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{X}_i$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules and

$\mathbf{X} = \cdots \rightarrow X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} X_i \xrightarrow{d_i} X_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots$  an exact complex of  $R$ -modules. For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , set

$\mathbf{X}_i := 0 \rightarrow \text{Im } d_{i+1} \hookrightarrow X_i \rightarrow \text{Im } d_i \rightarrow 0$ . Then

- ①  $\text{Hom}_R(U, \mathbf{X})$  is exact for all  $U \in \mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{X}_i$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- ②  $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, V)$  is exact for all  $V \in \mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{X}_i$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Def.

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules. An exact complex  $\mathbf{X}$  of  $R$ -modules is said to be  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure exact (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -copure exact) if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of part (1) (resp. (2)) of the above Lemma.

## Def.

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) a class of  $R$ -modules. A left  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp. right  $\mathcal{G}$ )-resolution of  $M$  is a  $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, -)$  (resp.  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{G})$ ) exact (not necessarily exact) complex

$$\mathbf{F}_\bullet = \cdots \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

(resp.

$$\mathbf{G}_\bullet = 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G^n \longrightarrow G^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots)$$

with  $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $G^n \in \mathcal{G}$ ) for all  $n \geq 0$ .

## Def.

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) a class of  $R$ -modules. A left  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp. right  $\mathcal{G}$ )-resolution of  $M$  is a  $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, -)$  (resp.  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{G})$ ) exact (not necessarily exact) complex

$$\mathbf{F}_\bullet = \cdots \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

(resp.

$$\mathbf{G}^\bullet = 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G^n \longrightarrow G^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots)$$

with  $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $G^n \in \mathcal{G}$ ) for all  $n \geq 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a precovering (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$  be a preenveloping) class of  $R$ -modules. Then every  $R$ -module has a left  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp. right  $\mathcal{G}$ )-resolution.

## Def.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be two classes of  $R$ -modules. The functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \sim)$  is called **right balanced by  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$** , if for each  $R$ -module  $M$  there exists a  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{G})$  exact complex

$$\mathbf{F}_\bullet = \cdots \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with  $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and a  $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{F}, \sim)$  exact complex

$$\mathbf{G}^\bullet = 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G^n \longrightarrow G^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

with  $G^n \in \mathcal{G}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .



Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a **precovering** class and  $\mathcal{G}$  a **preenveloping** class of  $R$ -modules. Assume that

$$\mathbf{F}_\bullet = \cdots \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

is a **left  $\mathcal{F}$ -resolution** of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and

$$\mathbf{G}^\bullet = 0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow G^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G^n \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is a **right  $\mathcal{G}$ -resolution** of an  $R$  module  $N$ . Set:

$$\mathbf{F}_\circ := \cdots \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$\mathbf{G}^\circ := 0 \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow G^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G^n \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a **precovering** class and  $\mathcal{G}$  a **preenveloping** class of  $R$ -modules. Assume that

$$\mathbf{F}_\bullet = \cdots \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

is a **left  $\mathcal{F}$ -resolution** of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and

$$\mathbf{G}^\bullet = 0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow G^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G^n \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is a **right  $\mathcal{G}$ -resolution** of an  $R$  module  $N$ . Set:

$$\mathbf{F}_\circ := \cdots \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$\mathbf{G}^\circ := 0 \longrightarrow G^0 \longrightarrow G^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G^n \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Define  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{F}}^i(M, N) := H^i(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{F}_\circ, N))$  and

$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{G}}^i(M, N) := H^i(\text{Hom}_R(M, \mathbf{G}^\circ))$  for all  $i \geq 0$ .

## Def.

We say a homology theory  $\mathcal{T}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure homology if an  $R$ -module  $M$  is projective (resp. injective; flat) in  $\mathcal{T}$  if and only if it is  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure projective (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure injective;  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure flat).

## Def.

We say a homology theory  $\mathcal{T}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure homology if an  $R$ -module  $M$  is projective (resp. injective; flat) in  $\mathcal{T}$  if and only if it is  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure projective (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure injective;  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure flat).

## Question

Is Gorenstein homology an  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure homology for an appropriate class  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $R$ -modules?

## Def.

We say a homology theory  $\mathcal{T}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure homology if an  $R$ -module  $M$  is projective (resp. injective; flat) in  $\mathcal{T}$  if and only if it is  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure projective (resp.  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure injective;  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure flat).

## Question

Is Gorenstein homology an  $\mathcal{S}$ -pure homology for an appropriate class  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $R$ -modules?

In what follows, we denote the class of Gorenstein projective  $R$ -modules, the class of Gorenstein injective  $R$ -modules and the class of Gorenstein flat  $R$ -modules by  $\mathcal{GP}$ ,  $\mathcal{GI}$  and  $\mathcal{GF}$ , respectively.

- Every Gorenstein projective  $R$ -module is  $\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective.

- Every Gorenstein projective  $R$ -module is  $\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective.
- Assume that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring. Then every  $\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective  $R$ -module is Gorenstein flat.

- Every Gorenstein projective  $R$ -module is  $\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective.
- Assume that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring. Then every  $\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective  $R$ -module is Gorenstein flat.
- Every  $\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein projective dimension is Gorenstein projective.



- Every **Gorenstein projective**  $R$ -module is  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**.
- Assume that  $R$  is a **Noetherian** ring. Then every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**  $R$ -module is **Gorenstein flat**.
- Every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein projective dimension is **Gorenstein projective**.
- Every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure injective**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension is **Gorenstein injective**.

- Every **Gorenstein projective**  $R$ -module is  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**.
- Assume that  $R$  is a **Noetherian** ring. Then every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**  $R$ -module is **Gorenstein flat**.
- Every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein projective dimension is **Gorenstein projective**.
- Every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure injective**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension is **Gorenstein injective**.
- Let  $R$  be a **coherent** ring. Then every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure flat**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein flat dimension is **Gorenstein flat**.

- Every **Gorenstein projective**  $R$ -module is  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**.
- Assume that  $R$  is a **Noetherian** ring. Then every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**  $R$ -module is **Gorenstein flat**.
- Every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein projective dimension is **Gorenstein projective**.
- Every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure injective**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension is **Gorenstein injective**.
- Let  $R$  be a **coherent** ring. Then every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure flat**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein flat dimension is **Gorenstein flat**.

- Every **Gorenstein projective**  $R$ -module is  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**.
- Assume that  $R$  is a **Noetherian** ring. Then every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**  $R$ -module is **Gorenstein flat**.
- Every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure projective**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein projective dimension is **Gorenstein projective**.
- Every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure injective**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension is **Gorenstein injective**.
- Let  $R$  be a **coherent** ring. Then every  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure flat**  $R$ -module of finite Gorenstein flat dimension is **Gorenstein flat**.

So, Our candidate for such class  $\mathcal{S}$  is  **$\mathcal{GP}$**

**Def.**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) be a class of  $R$ -modules and  $\mathcal{F}^\perp$  (resp.  ${}^\perp\mathcal{G}$ ) denote the class of  $R$ -modules  $M$  with the property that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$  (resp.  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, G) = 0$ ) for all  $R$ -modules  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ).

Thm.

Assume that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring of finite dimension. Then

$${}^{\perp}GI \subseteq GP^{\perp}.$$

Thm.

Assume that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring of finite dimension. Then  ${}^{\perp}GI \subseteq GP^{\perp}$ .

Cor.

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of finite dimension. Then every  $R$ -module  $M$  admits a  $GP$ -pure right  $GI$ -resolution.

Thm.

Assume that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring of finite dimension. Then  ${}^{\perp}GI \subseteq GP^{\perp}$ .

Cor.

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of finite dimension. Then every  $R$ -module  $M$  admits a  $GP$ -pure right  $GI$ -resolution.

Def.

A Noetherian ring  $R$  of finite dimension is called virtually Gorenstein if  $GP^{\perp} = {}^{\perp}GI$



Thm.

Assume that  $R$  is a Noetherian ring of finite dimension. Then  ${}^{\perp}GI \subseteq GP^{\perp}$ .

Cor.

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of finite dimension. Then every  $R$ -module  $M$  admits a  $GP$ -pure right  $GI$ -resolution.

Def.

A Noetherian ring  $R$  of finite dimension is called virtually Gorenstein if  $GP^{\perp} = {}^{\perp}GI$

This generalizes the notion of virtually Gorenstein Artin algebras which was introduced by Beligiannis and Reiten (2007).

**Thm.**

Let  $R$  be a **Noetherian** ring of **finite dimension**. The following are equivalent:

- 1  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \sim)$  is **right balanced** by  $\mathcal{GP} \times \mathcal{GI}$ .
- 2 A short exact sequence  $\mathbf{X} = 0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules is  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure exact** if and only if it is  **$\mathcal{GI}$ -copure exact**.
- 3  $R$  is **virtually Gorenstein**.

## Thm.

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of finite dimension. The following are equivalent:

- 1  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \sim)$  is right balanced by  $\mathcal{GP} \times \mathcal{GI}$ .
- 2 A short exact sequence  $\mathbf{X} = 0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow 0$  of  $R$ -modules is  $\mathcal{GP}$ -pure exact if and only if it is  $\mathcal{GI}$ -copure exact.
- 3  $R$  is virtually Gorenstein.

## Cor.

Let  $R$  be a virtually Gorenstein ring. Then

$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{GP}}^i(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{GI}}^i(M, N)$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  and all  $i \geq 0$ .

### Thm.

Let  $R$  be a **Noetherian** ring of **finite dimension**. The following are equivalent:

- 1  $R$  is **virtually Gorenstein**.
- 2 The classes of **Gorenstein injective** and  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure injective**  $R$ -modules are the same.
- 3 **Gorenstein homology** is a  **$\mathcal{GP}$ -pure homology**.

- Every Gorenstein local ring is virtually Gorenstein.

- Every Gorenstein local ring is virtually Gorenstein.
- Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be any local ring with  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ . Then  $R$  is a virtually Gorenstein ring which is not Gorenstein. So, we have plenty examples of virtually Gorenstein rings which are not Gorenstein.

- Every **Gorenstein local** ring is **virtually Gorenstein**.
- Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be any local ring with  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ . Then  $R$  is a **virtually Gorenstein** ring which is **not Gorenstein**. So, we have plenty examples of **virtually Gorenstein** rings which are **not Gorenstein**.
- Let  $k$  be a field. Then  $R := k[x, y, z]/\langle x^2, yz, y^2 - xz, z^2 - yx \rangle$  is **not** **virtually Gorenstein**. Hence,  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \sim)$  is **not** right balanced by  $\mathcal{GP} \times \mathcal{GI}$ .

- Every **Gorenstein local** ring is **virtually Gorenstein**.
- Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be any local ring with  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ . Then  $R$  is a **virtually Gorenstein** ring which is **not Gorenstein**. So, we have plenty examples of **virtually Gorenstein** rings which are **not Gorenstein**.
- Let  $k$  be a field. Then  $R := k[x, y, z]/\langle x^2, yz, y^2 - xz, z^2 - yx \rangle$  is **not virtually Gorenstein**. Hence,  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \sim)$  is **not right balanced** by  $\mathcal{GP} \times \mathcal{GI}$ .



- Every **Gorenstein local** ring is **virtually Gorenstein**.
- Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be any local ring with  $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ . Then  $R$  is a **virtually Gorenstein** ring which is **not Gorenstein**. So, we have plenty examples of **virtually Gorenstein** rings which are **not Gorenstein**.
- Let  $k$  be a field. Then  $R := k[x, y, z]/\langle x^2, yz, y^2 - xz, z^2 - yx \rangle$  is **not virtually Gorenstein**. Hence,  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \sim)$  is **not right balanced** by  $\mathcal{GP} \times \mathcal{GI}$ .

*Thank You*