

Group operator systems

Ivan Todorov

(joint work with D. Farenick, A. Kavruk, V. I. Paulsen and M.
Tomforde)

8 January 2014

Tehran

Content

- The C^* -algebra of a discrete group

Content

- The C^* -algebra of a discrete group
- Operator systems from discrete groups

Content

- The C^* -algebra of a discrete group
- Operator systems from discrete groups
- Particular cases of interest

Content

- The C^* -algebra of a discrete group
- Operator systems from discrete groups
- Particular cases of interest
- Tensor products of operator systems

Content

- The C^* -algebra of a discrete group
- Operator systems from discrete groups
- Particular cases of interest
- Tensor products of operator systems
- Connections with the Connes Embedding Problem

Content

- The C^* -algebra of a discrete group
- Operator systems from discrete groups
- Particular cases of interest
- Tensor products of operator systems
- Connections with the Connes Embedding Problem
- Quantum correlation boxes

Content

- The C^* -algebra of a discrete group
- Operator systems from discrete groups
- Particular cases of interest
- Tensor products of operator systems
- Connections with the Connes Embedding Problem
- Quantum correlation boxes
- Chromatic numbers of graphs

The C^* -algebra of a discrete group

Let G be a discrete group. The group C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ of G is the (unique up to a $*$ -isomorphism) unital C^* -algebra with the properties:

The C^* -algebra of a discrete group

Let G be a discrete group. The group C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ of G is the (unique up to a $*$ -isomorphism) unital C^* -algebra with the properties:

- G is a subgroup of the unitary group of $C^*(G)$;

The C^* -algebra of a discrete group

Let G be a discrete group. The group C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ of G is the (unique up to a $*$ -isomorphism) unital C^* -algebra with the properties:

- G is a subgroup of the unitary group of $C^*(G)$;
- For every unitary representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ there exists a unique unital $*$ -representation $\tilde{\pi} : C^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\tilde{\pi}(g) = \pi(g)$ for every $g \in G$.

The C^* -algebra of a discrete group

Let G be a discrete group. The group C^* -algebra $C^*(G)$ of G is the (unique up to a $*$ -isomorphism) unital C^* -algebra with the properties:

- G is a subgroup of the unitary group of $C^*(G)$;
- For every unitary representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ there exists a unique unital $*$ -representation $\tilde{\pi} : C^*(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\tilde{\pi}(g) = \pi(g)$ for every $g \in G$.

To see that $C^*(G)$ exists, consider first the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ of G : it consists of all complex linear combinations $p = \sum_{\text{finite}} \lambda_g g$. Define a norm on $\mathbb{C}[G]$ by letting

$$\|p\| = \sup_{\pi} \left\| \sum \lambda_g \pi(g) \right\|,$$

and complete to obtain $C^*(G)$.

Operator systems

An *operator system* is a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $I \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow T^* \in \mathcal{S}$.

Operator systems

An *operator system* is a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $I \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow T^* \in \mathcal{S}$.

“Matricial cones”:

$$\mathcal{B}(H)^+ = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : T \geq 0\} \quad ((T\xi, \xi) \geq 0, \forall \xi \in H).$$

$$M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) = \mathcal{B}(H^n), \quad \text{hence, we have a cone } M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))^+.$$

$$M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ = M_n(\mathcal{S}) \cap M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))^+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Operator systems

An *operator system* is a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $I \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow T^* \in \mathcal{S}$.

“Matricial cones”:

$$\mathcal{B}(H)^+ = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : T \geq 0\} \quad ((T\xi, \xi) \geq 0, \forall \xi \in H).$$

$$M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) = \mathcal{B}(H^n), \quad \text{hence, we have a cone } M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))^+.$$

$$M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ = M_n(\mathcal{S}) \cap M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))^+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The family $(M_n(\mathcal{S})^+)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of cones satisfies the condition

- $A \in M_{n,k}, X \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ \Rightarrow A^*XA \in M_k(\mathcal{S})^+.$

Operator systems

An *operator system* is a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $I \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow T^* \in \mathcal{S}$.

“Matricial cones”:

$$\mathcal{B}(H)^+ = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : T \geq 0\} \quad ((T\xi, \xi) \geq 0, \forall \xi \in H).$$

$$M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) = \mathcal{B}(H^n), \quad \text{hence, we have a cone } M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))^+.$$

$$M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ = M_n(\mathcal{S}) \cap M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))^+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The family $(M_n(\mathcal{S})^+)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of cones satisfies the condition

- $A \in M_{n,k}, X \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ \Rightarrow A^*XA \in M_k(\mathcal{S})^+.$

Effros - Choi: Conversely, if $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of matricial cones $(\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{S}))$ satisfying the above condition then \mathcal{S} can be “faithfully represented” as an operator system on some Hilbert space.

Operator systems

An *operator system* is a subspace $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $I \in \mathcal{S}$ and $T \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow T^* \in \mathcal{S}$.

“Matricial cones”:

$$\mathcal{B}(H)^+ = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) : T \geq 0\} \quad ((T\xi, \xi) \geq 0, \forall \xi \in H).$$

$$M_n(\mathcal{B}(H)) = \mathcal{B}(H^n), \quad \text{hence, we have a cone } M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))^+.$$

$$M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ = M_n(\mathcal{S}) \cap M_n(\mathcal{B}(H))^+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The family $(M_n(\mathcal{S})^+)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of cones satisfies the condition

- $A \in M_{n,k}, X \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^+ \Rightarrow A^*XA \in M_k(\mathcal{S})^+.$

Effros - Choi: Conversely, if $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of matricial cones $(\mathcal{C}_n \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{S}))$ satisfying the above condition then \mathcal{S} can be “faithfully represented” as an operator system on some Hilbert space.

Alternatively: operator systems are selfadjoint unital subspaces of C^* -algebras.

Completely positive maps

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operator systems, and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a linear map.

Completely positive maps

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operator systems, and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a linear map. Set $\phi^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{T})$ by $\phi^{(n)}((x_{i,j})_{i,j}) = (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j}$.

Completely positive maps

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operator systems, and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a linear map.

Set $\phi^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{T})$ by $\phi^{(n)}((x_{i,j})_{i,j}) = (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j}$.

The map ϕ is called *completely positive* if

$\phi^{(n)}(M_n(\mathcal{S})^+) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{T})^+, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Completely positive maps

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operator systems, and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a linear map.

Set $\phi^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{T})$ by $\phi^{(n)}((x_{i,j})_{i,j}) = (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j}$.

The map ϕ is called *completely positive* if

$$\phi^{(n)}(M_n(\mathcal{S})^+) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{T})^+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

ϕ is called a *complete order isomorphism* if it is bijective and both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are completely positive.

Completely positive maps

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operator systems, and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a linear map.

Set $\phi^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{T})$ by $\phi^{(n)}((x_{i,j})_{i,j}) = (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j}$.

The map ϕ is called *completely positive* if

$$\phi^{(n)}(M_n(\mathcal{S})^+) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{T})^+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

ϕ is called a *complete order isomorphism* if it is bijective and both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are completely positive.

-homomorphisms between C-algebras are completely positive.

Completely positive maps

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be operator systems, and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a linear map.

Set $\phi^{(n)} : M_n(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{T})$ by $\phi^{(n)}((x_{i,j})_{i,j}) = (\phi(x_{i,j}))_{i,j}$.

The map ϕ is called *completely positive* if

$$\phi^{(n)}(M_n(\mathcal{S})^+) \subseteq M_n(\mathcal{T})^+, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

ϕ is called a *complete order isomorphism* if it is bijective and both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} are completely positive.

-homomorphisms between C-algebras are completely positive.

Stinespring's Theorem

If \mathcal{A} is a C*-algebra and $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a completely positive map then there exists a *-homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$ and an operator $V : H \rightarrow K$ such that

$$\phi(a) = V^* \pi(a) V, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Operator systems from discrete groups

Let G be a (discrete) group, generated by a subset u . Let

$$\mathcal{S}(u) = \text{span}\{e, u, u^* : u \in u\} \subseteq C^*(G).$$

Operator systems from discrete groups

Let G be a (discrete) group, generated by a subset u . Let

$$\mathcal{S}(u) = \text{span}\{e, u, u^* : u \in u\} \subseteq C^*(G).$$

A convenient fact:

Proposition

The C^* -envelope $C_e^*(\mathcal{S}(u))$ coincides with $C^*(G)$.

Operator systems from discrete groups

Let G be a (discrete) group, generated by a subset u . Let

$$\mathcal{S}(u) = \text{span}\{e, u, u^* : u \in u\} \subseteq C^*(G).$$

A convenient fact:

Proposition

The C^* -envelope $C_e^*(\mathcal{S}(u))$ coincides with $C^*(G)$.

The C^* -envelope of an operator system \mathcal{S} is a C^* -algebra $C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$ together with an embedding $\iota : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$ such that if $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a complete order embedding then there exists a $*$ -epimorphism $\pi : C^*(\phi(\mathcal{S})) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$ with $\pi(\phi(x)) = \iota(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{S}$.

Operator systems from discrete groups

Let G be a (discrete) group, generated by a subset u . Let

$$\mathcal{S}(u) = \text{span}\{e, u, u^* : u \in u\} \subseteq C^*(G).$$

A convenient fact:

Proposition

The C^* -envelope $C_e^*(\mathcal{S}(u))$ coincides with $C^*(G)$.

The C^* -envelope of an operator system \mathcal{S} is a C^* -algebra $C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$ together with an embedding $\iota : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$ such that if $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a complete order embedding then there exists a $*$ -epimorphism $\pi : C^*(\phi(\mathcal{S})) \rightarrow C_e^*(\mathcal{S})$ with $\pi(\phi(x)) = \iota(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{S}$.

The C^* -envelope of an operator system \mathcal{S} is, heuristically, the smallest C^* -algebra generated by \mathcal{S} .

A particular cases of interest: \mathbb{F}_n

\mathbb{F}_n : the free group on n generators u_1, \dots, u_n

The operator system of \mathbb{F}_n will be denoted by \mathcal{S}_n . It does not depend on the choice of a particular set of n generators.

We have $\mathcal{S}_n \subseteq C^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$.

A particular cases of interest: \mathbb{F}_n

\mathbb{F}_n : the free group on n generators u_1, \dots, u_n

The operator system of \mathbb{F}_n will be denoted by \mathcal{S}_n . It does not depend on the choice of a particular set of n generators.

We have $\mathcal{S}_n \subseteq C^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$.

The operator system \mathcal{S}_n is characterised by the following universal property: *If T_1, \dots, T_n are contractions on a Hilbert space H then there exists a (unique) unital completely positive map $\phi : \mathcal{S}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\phi(u_i) = T_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Idea of proof: dilate the contractions to unitaries acting on a common Hilbert space, and use the universal property of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_n)$.

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$

Recall the *free product* $G * H$ of groups G and H : the units of G and H are “glued” together in the unit of $G * H$ while no additional relations are imposed between the elements of G and H .

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$

Recall the *free product* $G * H$ of groups G and H : the units of G and H are “glued” together in the unit of $G * H$ while no additional relations are imposed between the elements of G and H . Elements in $G * H$ are of the form $g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2 \dots$, with $g_i \in G$ and $h_i \in H$.

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$

Recall the *free product* $G * H$ of groups G and H : the units of G and H are “glued” together in the unit of $G * H$ while no additional relations are imposed between the elements of G and H .

Elements in $G * H$ are of the form $g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2 \dots$, with $g_i \in G$ and $h_i \in H$.

Thus, $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$ (n copies) has elements of the form $h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \dots h_{i_k}$, where h_i is the generator of the i th copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 in G , and $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_k$.

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$

Recall the *free product* $G * H$ of groups G and H : the units of G and H are “glued” together in the unit of $G * H$ while no additional relations are imposed between the elements of G and H . Elements in $G * H$ are of the form $g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2 \dots$, with $g_i \in G$ and $h_i \in H$.

Thus, $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$ (n copies) has elements of the form $h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \dots h_{i_k}$, where h_i is the generator of the i th copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 in G , and $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \dots \neq i_k$.

$NC(n) = \text{span}\{1, h_1, \dots, h_n\}$: the operator system of G ; it will be called the *operator system of the non-commutative n -cube*.

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$

Note that $NC(n) \subseteq C^*(G) = C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2) * \cdots * C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. After Fourier transform, we may consider $NC(n)$ as the operator subsystem of $\ell_2^\infty * \cdots * \ell_2^\infty$ spanned by the copies of ℓ_2^∞ .

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$

Note that $NC(n) \subseteq C^*(G) = C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2) * \cdots * C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. After Fourier transform, we may consider $NC(n)$ as the operator subsystem of $\ell_2^\infty * \cdots * \ell_2^\infty$ spanned by the copies of ℓ_2^∞ .

$NC(n)$ is characterised by the following universal property: *If T_1, \dots, T_n are self-adjoint contractions on a Hilbert space H then there exists a (unique) unital completely positive map $\phi : NC(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\phi(u_i) = T_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_2$

Note that $NC(n) \subseteq C^*(G) = C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2) * \cdots * C^*(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. After Fourier transform, we may consider $NC(n)$ as the operator subsystem of $\ell_2^\infty * \cdots * \ell_2^\infty$ spanned by the copies of ℓ_2^∞ .

$NC(n)$ is characterised by the following universal property: *If T_1, \dots, T_n are self-adjoint contractions on a Hilbert space H then there exists a (unique) unital completely positive map $\phi : NC(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $\phi(u_i) = T_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Note that there also exists an operator system of the commutative n -cube: the above universal property is fulfilled for pairwise commuting selfadjoint contractions.

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$

A worthwhile generalisation of $NC(n)$ is the operator system $\mathcal{S}(n, c)$ of the group $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$ (n copies).

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$

A worthwhile generalisation of $NC(n)$ is the operator system $\mathcal{S}(n, c)$ of the group $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$ (n copies).

As before,

$$\mathcal{S}(n, c) \subseteq \ell_c^\infty * \cdots * \ell_c^\infty$$

and

$$C_e^*(\mathcal{S}(n, c)) = \ell_c^\infty * \cdots * \ell_c^\infty.$$

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$

A worthwhile generalisation of $NC(n)$ is the operator system $\mathcal{S}(n, c)$ of the group $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$ (n copies).

As before,

$$\mathcal{S}(n, c) \subseteq \ell_c^\infty * \cdots * \ell_c^\infty$$

and

$$C_e^*(\mathcal{S}(n, c)) = \ell_c^\infty * \cdots * \ell_c^\infty.$$

Let $\{e_{v,i} : i = 1, \dots, c\}$ be the canonical basis of the v -th copy of ℓ_c^∞ in the free product, $v = 1, \dots, n$.

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$

A worthwhile generalisation of $NC(n)$ is the operator system $\mathcal{S}(n, c)$ of the group $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$ (n copies).

As before,

$$\mathcal{S}(n, c) \subseteq \ell_c^\infty * \cdots * \ell_c^\infty$$

and

$$C_e^*(\mathcal{S}(n, c)) = \ell_c^\infty * \cdots * \ell_c^\infty.$$

Let $\{e_{v,i} : i = 1, \dots, c\}$ be the canonical basis of the v -th copy of ℓ_c^∞ in the free product, $v = 1, \dots, n$.

Then $\mathcal{S}(n, c) = \text{span}\{e_{v,i} : v = 1, \dots, n, i = 1, \dots, c\}$.

A particular cases of interest: $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$

A worthwhile generalisation of $NC(n)$ is the operator system $\mathcal{S}(n, c)$ of the group $\mathbb{Z}_c * \cdots * \mathbb{Z}_c$ (n copies).

As before,

$$\mathcal{S}(n, c) \subseteq \ell_c^\infty * \cdots * \ell_c^\infty$$

and

$$C_e^*(\mathcal{S}(n, c)) = \ell_c^\infty * \cdots * \ell_c^\infty.$$

Let $\{e_{v,i} : i = 1, \dots, c\}$ be the canonical basis of the v -th copy of ℓ_c^∞ in the free product, $v = 1, \dots, n$.

Then $\mathcal{S}(n, c) = \text{span}\{e_{v,i} : v = 1, \dots, n, i = 1, \dots, c\}$.

Note the relations $\sum_{i=1}^c e_{v,i} = 1$, for all $v = 1, \dots, n$.

Tensor products

An *operator system tensor product* is an operator system structure α on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ of every pair of operator systems \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} , such that

Tensor products

An *operator system tensor product* is an operator system structure α on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ of every pair of operator systems \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} , such that

$$A \otimes B \in M_{nm}(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T})^{+} \text{ whenever } A \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^{+}, B \in M_m(\mathcal{T})^{+};$$

Tensor products

An *operator system tensor product* is an operator system structure α on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ of every pair of operator systems \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} , such that

$$A \otimes B \in M_{nm}(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T})^{+} \text{ whenever } A \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^{+}, B \in M_m(\mathcal{T})^{+};$$

$$f \in CP(\mathcal{S}, M_k), g \in CP(\mathcal{T}, M_l) \Rightarrow f \otimes g \in CP(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T}, M_{kl}).$$

Tensor products

An *operator system tensor product* is an operator system structure α on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ of every pair of operator systems \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} , such that

$$A \otimes B \in M_{nm}(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T})^{+} \text{ whenever } A \in M_n(\mathcal{S})^{+}, B \in M_m(\mathcal{T})^{+};$$

$$f \in CP(\mathcal{S}, M_k), g \in CP(\mathcal{T}, M_l) \Rightarrow f \otimes g \in CP(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T}, M_{kl}).$$

α is *functorial* if

$$\phi \in CP(\mathcal{S}, c\mathcal{S}_1), \psi \in CP(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_1) \Rightarrow$$

$$\phi \otimes \psi \in CP(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}_1 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{T}_1).$$

Three tensor products

The *minimal* tensor product: if $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$, represent $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$; the resulting operator system is denoted $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}$.

Three tensor products

The *minimal* tensor product: if $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$, represent $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$; the resulting operator system is denoted $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}$.

The minimal tensor product has the largest possible cones satisfying the axioms of the definition.

Three tensor products

The *minimal* tensor product: if $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$, represent $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$; the resulting operator system is denoted $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}$.

The minimal tensor product has the largest possible cones satisfying the axioms of the definition.

The *maximal* tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T}$:

take $A \in M_k(\mathcal{S})^+$, $B \in M_m(\mathcal{T})^+$, $X \in M_{n,km}$; then $X(A \otimes B)X^*$ is a typical element of $M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T})^+$.

Three tensor products

The *minimal* tensor product: if $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$, represent $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$; the resulting operator system is denoted $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}$.

The minimal tensor product has the largest possible cones satisfying the axioms of the definition.

The *maximal* tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T}$:

take $A \in M_k(\mathcal{S})^+$, $B \in M_m(\mathcal{T})^+$, $X \in M_{n,km}$; then $X(A \otimes B)X^*$ is a typical element of $M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T})^+$.

The maximal tensor product has the largest possible cones satisfying the axioms of the definition.

Three tensor products

The *minimal* tensor product: if $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$, represent $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$; the resulting operator system is denoted $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}$.

The minimal tensor product has the largest possible cones satisfying the axioms of the definition.

The *maximal* tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T}$:

take $A \in M_k(\mathcal{S})^+$, $B \in M_m(\mathcal{T})^+$, $X \in M_{n,km}$; then $X(A \otimes B)X^*$ is a typical element of $M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T})^+$.

The maximal tensor product has the largest possible cones satisfying the axioms of the definition.

The *commuting* tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes_c \mathcal{T}$:

$u \in (\mathcal{S} \otimes_c \mathcal{T})^+$ if $(\phi \cdot \psi)(u) \geq 0$ whenever $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\psi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ have commuting ranges.

Here $\phi \cdot \psi(x \otimes y) = \phi(x)\psi(y)$.

Three tensor products

The *minimal* tensor product: if $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$, represent $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$; the resulting operator system is denoted $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}$.

The minimal tensor product has the largest possible cones satisfying the axioms of the definition.

The *maximal* tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T}$:

take $A \in M_k(\mathcal{S})^+$, $B \in M_m(\mathcal{T})^+$, $X \in M_{n,km}$; then $X(A \otimes B)X^*$ is a typical element of $M_n(\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T})^+$.

The maximal tensor product has the largest possible cones satisfying the axioms of the definition.

The *commuting* tensor product $\mathcal{S} \otimes_c \mathcal{T}$:

$u \in (\mathcal{S} \otimes_c \mathcal{T})^+$ if $(\phi \cdot \psi)(u) \geq 0$ whenever $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\psi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ have commuting ranges.

Here $\phi \cdot \psi(x \otimes y) = \phi(x)\psi(y)$.

$\mathcal{S} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \otimes_c \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{T}$.

The Kirchberg Conjecture (KC)

This is a reformulation of the Connes Embedding Problem; it states that

$$C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes_{\min} C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) = C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes_{\max} C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty).$$

The Kirchberg Conjecture (KC)

This is a reformulation of the Connes Embedding Problem; it states that

$$C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes_{\min} C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) = C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes_{\max} C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty).$$

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (i) (KC) holds true;
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_m = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_c \mathcal{S}_m$ for every $n, m \geq 2$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_2 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2 \otimes_c \mathcal{S}_2$.

The Kirchberg Conjecture (KC)

This is a reformulation of the Connes Embedding Problem; it states that

$$C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes_{\min} C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) = C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \otimes_{\max} C^*(\mathbb{F}_\infty).$$

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (i) (KC) holds true;
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_m = \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_c \mathcal{S}_m$ for every $n, m \geq 2$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{S}_2 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2 \otimes_c \mathcal{S}_2$.

Theorem

$\mathcal{S}_n \otimes_c \mathcal{S}_m \neq \mathcal{S}_n \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_m$. In fact, the identity is not 2-positive as a map from $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_1$ into $\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{S}_1$.

Note that $\mathcal{S}_1 = \text{span}\{1, z, \bar{z}\}$, where z is the identity function on \mathbb{T} .

Further equivalences

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (i) (KC) holds true;
- (ii) $\mathcal{NC}(n) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{NC}(m) = \mathcal{NC}(n) \otimes_c \mathcal{NC}(m)$ for every $n, m \geq 3$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{NC}(3) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{NC}(3) = \mathcal{NC}(3) \otimes_c \mathcal{NC}(3)$.

Further equivalences

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- (i) (KC) holds true;
- (ii) $\mathcal{NC}(n) \otimes_{\min} NC(m) = NC(n) \otimes_c NC(m)$ for every $n, m \geq 3$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{NC}(3) \otimes_{\min} NC(3) = NC(3) \otimes_c NC(3)$.

Theorem (Tsirelson)

- (ii) $\mathcal{NC}(n) \otimes_c NC(m) \neq NC(n) \otimes_{\max} NC(m)$ for every $n, m \geq 2$.

Local experiments

Suppose that Alice and Bob perform an experiment in which Alice is given an input value x and produces an output value a , while Bob is given an input value y and produces an output value b . Assume that the possible values of x, y, a, b are 0 and 1.

Local experiments

Suppose that Alice and Bob perform an experiment in which Alice is given an input value x and produces an output value a , while Bob is given an input value y and produces an output value b . Assume that the possible values of x, y, a, b are 0 and 1.

Let $p_{a|x}^1$ be the probability that Alice returns the value a provided she is given the input x and $p_{b|y}^2$ be the probability that Bob returns the value b provided he is given the input y .

Local experiments

Suppose that Alice and Bob perform an experiment in which Alice is given an input value x and produces an output value a , while Bob is given an input value y and produces an output value b . Assume that the possible values of x, y, a, b are 0 and 1.

Let $p_{a|x}^1$ be the probability that Alice returns the value a provided she is given the input x and $p_{b|y}^2$ be the probability that Bob returns the value b provided he is given the input y .

- $p_{a|x}^1 \geq 0, p_{b|y}^2 \geq 0$, for all $a, b, x, y \in \{0, 1\}$,
- $p_{0|x}^1 + p_{1|x}^1 = 1$ for $x = 0, 1$, and
- $p_{0|y}^2 + p_{1|y}^2 = 1$ for $y = 0, 1$.

Local experiments

Suppose that Alice and Bob perform an experiment in which Alice is given an input value x and produces an output value a , while Bob is given an input value y and produces an output value b . Assume that the possible values of x, y, a, b are 0 and 1.

Let $p_{a|x}^1$ be the probability that Alice returns the value a provided she is given the input x and $p_{b|y}^2$ be the probability that Bob returns the value b provided he is given the input y .

- $p_{a|x}^1 \geq 0, p_{b|y}^2 \geq 0$, for all $a, b, x, y \in \{0, 1\}$,
- $p_{0|x}^1 + p_{1|x}^1 = 1$ for $x = 0, 1$, and
- $p_{0|y}^2 + p_{1|y}^2 = 1$ for $y = 0, 1$.

Let $\mathcal{V} = \{(u, v, w, t) : u + v = w + t\} \subseteq \ell_4^\infty$.

Quantum correlation boxes

Let $p_{a,b|x,y}$ be the probability that the pair (a, b) is produced as an output by Alice and Bob, provided that Alice is given an input x and Bob is given an input y .

Quantum correlation boxes

Let $p_{a,b|x,y}$ be the probability that the pair (a, b) is produced as an output by Alice and Bob, provided that Alice is given an input x and Bob is given an input y .

A *bipartite correlation box* (or simply a *box*) is a table of probabilities of the form $(p_{a,b|x,y})_{a,b,x,y}$, viewed as an element of ℓ_{16}^{∞} .

Quantum correlation boxes

Let $p_{a,b|x,y}$ be the probability that the pair (a, b) is produced as an output by Alice and Bob, provided that Alice is given an input x and Bob is given an input y .

A *bipartite correlation box* (or simply a *box*) is a table of probabilities of the form $(p_{a,b|x,y})_{a,b,x,y}$, viewed as an element of ℓ_{16}^∞ .

- $p_{a,b|x,y} \geq 0$, $a, b, x, y \in \{0, 1\}$,
- $\sum_{a,b=0}^1 p_{a,b|x,y} = 1$, $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$.
- $p_{a,0|x,0} + p_{a,1|x,0} = p_{a,0|x,1} + p_{a,1|x,1} = p_{a|x}^1$, for all $a, x \in \{0, 1\}$,
- $p_{0,b|0,y} + p_{1,b|0,y} = p_{0,b|1,y} + p_{1,b|1,y} = p_{b|y}^2$, for all $b, y \in \{0, 1\}$.

Local boxes

A box $(p_{a,b|x,y})_{a,b,x,y}$ is *local* if there exist $(r(\lambda))_\lambda$ with $\sum_\lambda r(\lambda) = 1$ and, for each λ , elements

$$p^k(\lambda) = (p_{0|0}^k(\lambda), p_{1|0}^k(\lambda), p_{0|1}^k(\lambda), p_{1|1}^k(\lambda)) \in \mathcal{V}^+,$$

$p_{0|0}^k(\lambda) + p_{1|0}^k(\lambda) = 1$, $p_{0|1}^k(\lambda) + p_{1|1}^k(\lambda) = 1$ $k = 1, 2$, such that

$$p_{a,b|x,y} = \sum_\lambda r(\lambda) p_{a|x}^1(\lambda) p_{b|y}^2(\lambda), \quad a, b, x, y \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Quantum correlation boxes

Tsirelson in 1980 introduced *quantum* correlation boxes. These are the probability distributions $(p_{a,b|x,y})$ given by

$$p_{a,b|x,y} = \text{Tr}(\rho(A_x^a \otimes A_y^b)),$$

where A_x^a and A_x^b are positive operators acting on corresponding Hilbert spaces H_x and H_y such that $A_x^0 + A_x^1 = I$ and $A_y^0 + A_y^1 = I$ for all $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$, and ρ is a positive trace-class operator of unit trace.

Quantum correlation boxes

Tsirelson in 1980 introduced *quantum* correlation boxes. These are the probability distributions $(p_{a,b|x,y})$ given by

$$p_{a,b|x,y} = \text{Tr}(\rho(A_x^a \otimes A_y^b)),$$

where A_x^a and A_x^b are positive operators acting on corresponding Hilbert spaces H_x and H_y such that $A_x^0 + A_x^1 = I$ and $A_y^0 + A_y^1 = I$ for all $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$, and ρ is a positive trace-class operator of unit trace.

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all correlation boxes, \mathcal{L} be the closure of the set of all local correlation boxes, and \mathcal{Q} be the closure of the set of all quantum correlation boxes. Clearly, $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ and each of these sets is convex.

Conexión con cubos no conmutativos

Un estado s de $NC(2)$ está determinado por sus valores $s(e_{v,i})$, $i = 1, 2$, $v = 1, 2$.

Conection with non-commutative cubes

A state s of $NC(2)$ is determined by its values $s(e_{v,i})$, $i = 1, 2$, $v = 1, 2$.

Note that

- $s(e_{v,i}) \geq 0$, for all i, v .
- $\sum_{i=1}^2 s(e_{v,i}) = 1$, $v = 1, 2$.

Conection with non-commutative cubes

A state s of $NC(2)$ is determined by its values $s(e_{v,i})$, $i = 1, 2$, $v = 1, 2$.

Note that

- $s(e_{v,i}) \geq 0$, for all i, v .
- $\sum_{i=1}^2 s(e_{v,i}) = 1$, $v = 1, 2$.

Thus, $(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j}))_{v,i,w,j}$ is a box.

Connection with tensor products

Theorem

We have the following identities:

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j})) : s \text{ is a state on } NC(2) \otimes_{\max} NC(2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j})) : s \text{ is a state on } NC(2) \otimes_{\min} NC(2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j})) : s \text{ is a state on } C(2) \otimes_{\min} C(2)\}.$$

Connection with tensor products

Theorem

We have the following identities:

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j})) : s \text{ is a state on } NC(2) \otimes_{\max} NC(2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j})) : s \text{ is a state on } NC(2) \otimes_{\min} NC(2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j})) : s \text{ is a state on } C(2) \otimes_{\min} C(2)\}.$$

It follows that these three sets are pairwise distinct.

Connection with tensor products

Theorem

We have the following identities:

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j})) : s \text{ is a state on } NC(2) \otimes_{\max} NC(2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j})) : s \text{ is a state on } NC(2) \otimes_{\min} NC(2)\}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{(s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,j})) : s \text{ is a state on } C(2) \otimes_{\min} C(2)\}.$$

It follows that these three sets are pairwise distinct.

Quantum correlation boxes are studied for larger than 2 number of experiments and a larger than 2 number of players: in this case one needs to involve the operator systems $\mathcal{S}(n, c)$, $c \geq 2$. There is hence a direct link with Kirchberg's Conjecture.

Chromatic numbers of graphs

Recall that a c -colouring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a map $r : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, c\}$ such that if $(v, w) \in E$ then $r(v) \neq r(w)$.
Smallest such c : the chromatic number $\chi(G)$.

Chromatic numbers of graphs

Recall that a c -colouring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a map $r : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, c\}$ such that if $(v, w) \in E$ then $r(v) \neq r(w)$.
Smallest such c : the chromatic number $\chi(G)$.

Let $D(n, c) = \mathbb{Z}_c \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_c$ (n copies).

$C^*(D(n, c)) \cong \ell_c^\infty \otimes \dots \otimes \ell_c^\infty \cong \ell^\infty(\Delta_{n,c})$, where
 $\Delta_{n,c} = \{1, \dots, c\}^n$.

Chromatic numbers of graphs

Recall that a c -colouring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a map $r : V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, c\}$ such that if $(v, w) \in E$ then $r(v) \neq r(w)$.
Smallest such c : the chromatic number $\chi(G)$.

Let $D(n, c) = \mathbb{Z}_c \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_c$ (n copies).

$C^*(D(n, c)) \cong \ell_c^\infty \otimes \dots \otimes \ell_c^\infty \cong \ell^\infty(\Delta_{n,c})$, where $\Delta_{n,c} = \{1, \dots, c\}^n$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\min}(n, c)$ be the operator subsystem of $C^*(D(n, c))$ spanned by

$$(\delta_{i_1}, 0, \dots, 0), (0, \delta_{i_2}, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, 0, \dots, \delta_{i_n}),$$

for $i_k = 1, \dots, c$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ (where $\mathbb{Z}_c = \{\delta_i : i = 1, \dots, c\}$).

Then $\mathcal{S}_{\min}(n, c) = \text{span}\{e'_{v,i} : v \in V, 1 \leq i \leq c\}$, where $e'_{v,i}$ is the elementary tensor from $\ell_c^\infty \otimes \dots \otimes \ell_c^\infty$ having all ones except for the v -th position, where it has the i -th element of the canonical basis of ℓ_c^∞ .

The classical chromatic number via operator systems

Proposition

The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of G is equal to the smallest $c \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists a state $s : \mathcal{S}_{\min}(n, c) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}_{\min}(n, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v, \forall i \neq j, s(e'_{v,i} \otimes e'_{v,j}) &= 0, \\ \forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, s(e'_{v,i} \otimes e'_{w,i}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The quantum chromatic number $\chi_q(G)$

Cameron, Montanaro, Newman, Severini, Winter, 2007

The quantum chromatic number $\chi_q(G)$

Cameron, Montanaro, Newman, Severini, Winter, 2007

A quantum c -colouring of G are two POVM's $(E_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_p$, $(F_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_q$ and a vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{v,j})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{w,i})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0.$$

The quantum chromatic number $\chi_q(G)$

Cameron, Montanaro, Newman, Severini, Winter, 2007

A quantum c -colouring of G are two POVM's $(E_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_p$, $(F_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_q$ and a vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{v,j})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{w,i})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0.$$

(A POVM: $\sum_{i=1}^c E_i = I$, $E_i \geq 0$.)

The quantum chromatic number $\chi_q(G)$

Cameron, Montanaro, Newman, Severini, Winter, 2007

A quantum c -colouring of G are two POVM's $(E_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_p$, $(F_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_q$ and a vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{v,j})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{w,i})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0.$$

(A POVM: $\sum_{i=1}^c E_i = I$, $E_i \geq 0$.)

The smallest such c is denoted by $\chi_q(G)$.

The quantum chromatic number $\chi_q(G)$

Cameron, Montanaro, Newman, Severini, Winter, 2007

A quantum c -colouring of G are two POVM's $(E_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_p$, $(F_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_q$ and a vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{v,j})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{w,i})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0.$$

(A POVM: $\sum_{i=1}^c E_i = I$, $E_i \geq 0$.)

The smallest such c is denoted by $\chi_q(G)$.

$\chi_q(G) \leq \chi(G)$ and the inequality may be strict:

The quantum chromatic number $\chi_q(G)$

Cameron, Montanaro, Newman, Severini, Winter, 2007

A quantum c -colouring of G are two POVM's $(E_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_p$, $(F_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_q$ and a vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{v,j})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{w,i})\xi, \xi \rangle = 0.$$

(A POVM: $\sum_{i=1}^c E_i = I$, $E_i \geq 0$.)

The smallest such c is denoted by $\chi_q(G)$.

$\chi_q(G) \leq \chi(G)$ and the inequality may be strict:

The Hadamard graph Ω_N is the graph with vertex set $V = \{-1, 1\}^N$ and edge set $E = \{(u, v) \in V \times V : \langle u, v \rangle = 0\}$.

The quantum chromatic number $\chi_q(G)$

Cameron, Montanaro, Newman, Severini, Winter, 2007

A quantum c -colouring of G are two POVM's $(E_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_p$, $(F_{v,i})_{i=1}^c \subseteq M_q$ and a vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^q$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{v,j}) \xi, \xi \rangle = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, \langle (E_{v,i} \otimes F_{w,i}) \xi, \xi \rangle = 0.$$

(A POVM: $\sum_{i=1}^c E_i = I$, $E_i \geq 0$.)

The smallest such c is denoted by $\chi_q(G)$.

$\chi_q(G) \leq \chi(G)$ and the inequality may be strict:

The Hadamard graph Ω_N is the graph with vertex set $V = \{-1, 1\}^N$ and edge set $E = \{(u, v) \in V \times V : \langle u, v \rangle = 0\}$.

We have $\chi(G) \sim 2^N$, while $\chi_q(G) = N$.

Further quantum versions

We can play the same game but allowing

- two infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces and tensors $E_{v,i} \otimes F_{w,j}$: $\chi_{\text{qs}}(G)$;
- a single infinite dimensional Hilbert space and mutually commuting POVM's: $E_{v,i}F_{w,j} = F_{w,j}E_{v,i}$: $\chi_{\text{qc}}(G)$.
- approximate colourings: $\chi_{\text{qmin}}(G)$.

Further quantum versions

We can play the same game but allowing

- two infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces and tensors $E_{v,i} \otimes F_{w,j}$: $\chi_{\text{qs}}(G)$;
- a single infinite dimensional Hilbert space and mutually commuting POVM's: $E_{v,i}F_{w,j} = F_{w,j}E_{v,i}$: $\chi_{\text{qc}}(G)$.
- approximate colourings: $\chi_{\text{qmin}}(G)$.

$$\chi_{\text{qc}}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{qmin}}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{qs}}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{q}}(G) \leq \chi(G).$$

Further quantum versions

We can play the same game but allowing

- two infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces and tensors $E_{v,i} \otimes F_{w,j}$: $\chi_{\text{qs}}(G)$;
- a single infinite dimensional Hilbert space and mutually commuting POVM's: $E_{v,i}F_{w,j} = F_{w,j}E_{v,i}$: $\chi_{\text{qc}}(G)$.
- approximate colourings: $\chi_{\text{qmin}}(G)$.

$$\chi_{\text{qc}}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{qmin}}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{qs}}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{q}}(G) \leq \chi(G).$$

These quantum chromatic numbers can be expressed in terms of operator system tensor products...

Expression via tensor products

Theorem

- $\chi_{\text{qc}}(G)$ is the smallest $c \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists a state $s : \mathcal{S}(n, c) \otimes_c \mathcal{S}(n, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{v,j}) = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,i}) = 0.$$

- $\chi_{\text{qmin}}(G)$ is obtained in a similar way, but taking $s : \mathcal{S}(n, c) \otimes_{\text{min}} \mathcal{S}(n, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Expression via tensor products

Theorem

- $\chi_{\text{qc}}(G)$ is the smallest $c \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists a state $s : \mathcal{S}(n, c) \otimes_c \mathcal{S}(n, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{v,j}) = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,i}) = 0.$$

- $\chi_{\text{qmin}}(G)$ is obtained in a similar way, but taking $s : \mathcal{S}(n, c) \otimes_{\text{min}} \mathcal{S}(n, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We see that quantum colourings are in fact correlation boxes with certain constraints on the probability distributions.

Expression via tensor products

Theorem

- $\chi_{\text{qc}}(G)$ is the smallest $c \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists a state $s : \mathcal{S}(n, c) \otimes_c \mathcal{S}(n, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{v,j}) = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,i}) = 0.$$

- $\chi_{\text{qmin}}(G)$ is obtained in a similar way, but taking $s : \mathcal{S}(n, c) \otimes_{\text{min}} \mathcal{S}(n, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We see that quantum colourings are in fact correlation boxes with certain constraints on the probability distributions.

$$\chi_{\text{qmax}}(G) = 2 \text{ if } |V| \geq 2.$$

Expression via tensor products

Theorem

- $\chi_{\text{qc}}(G)$ is the smallest $c \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there exists a state $s : \mathcal{S}(n, c) \otimes_c \mathcal{S}(n, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\forall v, \forall i \neq j, s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{v,j}) = 0,$$

$$\forall (v, w) \in E, \forall i, s(e_{v,i} \otimes e_{w,i}) = 0.$$

- $\chi_{\text{qmin}}(G)$ is obtained in a similar way, but taking $s : \mathcal{S}(n, c) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{S}(n, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We see that quantum colourings are in fact correlation boxes with certain constraints on the probability distributions.

$$\chi_{\text{qmax}}(G) = 2 \text{ if } |V| \geq 2.$$

The other chromatic numbers seem to be more promising. For example, to disprove Connes Embedding Problem, it suffices to exhibit a graph G with $\chi_{\text{qc}}(G) < \chi_{\text{qmin}}(G)$.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH!