

Homological invariants of the Stanley-Reisner ring of a k -decomposable simplicial complex

Somayeh Moradi

Ilam University

The 12th Seminar on Commutative Algebra and Related Topics
11-12 November, IPM

k -decomposable simplicial complex

Definition[Woodroffe (2009)]. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set V . A face σ is called a **shedding face** if every face τ containing σ satisfies the following exchange property: for every $v \in \sigma$ there is $w \in V \setminus \tau$ such that $(\tau \cup \{w\}) \setminus \{v\}$ is a face of Δ .

Definition[Woodroffe]. A simplicial complex Δ is recursively defined to be **k -decomposable** if either Δ is a simplex or else has a shedding face σ with $\dim(\sigma) \leq k$ such that both $\Delta \setminus \sigma$ and $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ are k -decomposable.

The complexes $\{\}$ and $\{\emptyset\}$ are considered to be k -decomposable for all $k \geq -1$.

0-decomposable simplicial complexes are precisely vertex decomposable simplicial complexes.

k -decomposable simplicial complex

Definition[Woodroffe (2009)]. Let Δ be a simplicial complex on the vertex set V . A face σ is called a **shedding face** if every face τ containing σ satisfies the following exchange property: for every $v \in \sigma$ there is $w \in V \setminus \tau$ such that $(\tau \cup \{w\}) \setminus \{v\}$ is a face of Δ .

Definition[Woodroffe]. A simplicial complex Δ is recursively defined to be **k -decomposable** if either Δ is a simplex or else has a shedding face σ with $\dim(\sigma) \leq k$ such that both $\Delta \setminus \sigma$ and $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ are k -decomposable.

The complexes $\{\}$ and $\{\emptyset\}$ are considered to be k -decomposable for all $k \geq -1$.

0-decomposable simplicial complexes are precisely vertex decomposable simplicial complexes.

Let K be a field, $R = K[V(\Delta)]$ and I_Δ be the Stanley-Reisner ideal of Δ .

Theorem [Khosh-Ahang, Moradi], [Ha, Woodroffe] Let Δ be a vertex decomposable simplicial complex, x a shedding vertex of Δ and $\Delta_1 = \text{del}_\Delta(x)$ and $\Delta_2 = \text{lk}_\Delta(x)$. Then

$$\text{pd}(R/I_\Delta) = \max\{\text{pd}(R/I_{\Delta_1}) + 1, \text{pd}(R/I_{\Delta_2})\},$$

$$\text{reg}(R/I_\Delta) = \max\{\text{reg}(R/I_{\Delta_1}), \text{reg}(R/I_{\Delta_2}) + 1\}.$$

Theorem [Ha]. Let Δ be a simplicial complex and let σ be a face of dimension $d - 1$ in Δ . Then

$$\operatorname{reg}(R/I_{\Delta}) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}(R/I_{\Delta \setminus \sigma}), \operatorname{reg}(R/I_{\operatorname{lk}(\sigma)}) + d\}.$$

For the monomial $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ in R , the support of u denoted by $\text{supp}(u)$ is the set $\{x_i : a_i \neq 0\}$.

For the monomial u and the monomial ideal I , set

$$I_u = (M \in \mathcal{G}(I) : x_i^{a_i} \nmid M \quad \forall x_i \in \text{supp}(u))$$

and

$$I^u = (M \in \mathcal{G}(I) : M \notin \mathcal{G}(I_u))$$

For a monomial ideal I with $\mathcal{G}(I) = \{M_1, \dots, M_r\}$, the monomial $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ is called a **shedding monomial** for I if $I_u \neq 0$ and for each $M_i \in \mathcal{G}(I_u)$ and each $x_\ell \in \text{supp}(u)$ there exists $M_j \in \mathcal{G}(I^u)$ such that $M_j : M_i = x_\ell$.

Definition[Rahmati-Asghar, Yassemi]. A monomial ideal I with $\mathcal{G}(I) = \{M_1, \dots, M_r\}$ is called **k -decomposable** if $r = 1$ or else has a shedding monomial u with $|\text{supp}(u)| \leq k + 1$ such that the ideals I_u and I^u are k -decomposable.

For a monomial ideal I with $\mathcal{G}(I) = \{M_1, \dots, M_r\}$, the monomial $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ is called a **shedding monomial** for I if $I_u \neq 0$ and for each $M_i \in \mathcal{G}(I_u)$ and each $x_\ell \in \text{supp}(u)$ there exists $M_j \in \mathcal{G}(I^u)$ such that $M_j : M_i = x_\ell$.

Definition[Rahmati-Asghar, Yassemi]. A monomial ideal I with $\mathcal{G}(I) = \{M_1, \dots, M_r\}$ is called **k -decomposable** if $r = 1$ or else has a shedding monomial u with $|\text{supp}(u)| \leq k + 1$ such that the ideals I_u and I^u are k -decomposable.

Theorem[Rahmati-Asghar, Yassemi]. A d -dimensional simplicial complex Δ is k -decomposable if and only if I_{Δ^\vee} is a squarefree k -decomposable ideal for any $k \leq d$.

Theorem. Let I be a k -decomposable ideal with the shedding monomial u . Then

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j}(I^u) + \sum_{\ell=0}^m \binom{m}{\ell} \beta_{i-\ell, j-\ell}(I_u)$$

where $m = |\text{supp}(u)|$.

Outline of proof. If $f_1 < \cdots < f_t$ is an order of linear quotients on the minimal generators of I^u and $g_{t+1} < \cdots < g_r$ is an order of linear quotients on the minimal generators of I_u , then

$$f_1 < \cdots < f_t < g_{t+1} < \cdots < g_r$$

is an order of linear quotients on the minimal generators of I .

$$\text{set}_I(f_i) = \text{set}_{I^u}(f_i), \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq t$$

and

$$\text{set}_I(g_i) = \text{supp}(u) \cup \text{set}_{I_u}(g_i) \quad \forall t+1 \leq i \leq r$$

Also for any $t + 1 \leq i \leq r$, $\text{supp}(u) \cap \text{set}_{I_u}(g_i) = \emptyset$. Thus

$$|\text{set}_I(g_i)| = |\text{set}_{I_u}(g_i)| + m$$

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \sum_{\deg(f_k)=j-i} \binom{|\text{set}_I(f_k)|}{i} + \sum_{\deg(g_k)=j-i} \binom{|\text{set}_I(g_k)|}{i}$$

Applying the equality

$$\binom{|\text{set}_{I_u}(g_k)| + m}{i} = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \binom{m}{\ell} \binom{|\text{set}_{I_u}(g_k)|}{i-\ell}$$

we have

$$\beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j}(I^u) + \sum_{\ell=0}^m \binom{m}{\ell} \beta_{i-\ell,j-\ell}(I_u)$$

Corollary. Let I be a k -decomposable ideal with the shedding monomial u and $m = |\text{supp}(u)|$. Then

- $\text{pd}(I) = \max\{\text{pd}(I^u), \text{pd}(I_u) + m\}$, and
- $\text{reg}(I) = \max\{\text{reg}(I^u), \text{reg}(I_u)\}$.

Theorem. Let Δ be a k -decomposable simplicial complex on the vertex set X with the shedding face σ . Then

- $\text{reg}(R/I_{\Delta}) = \max\{\text{reg}(R/I_{\Delta \setminus \sigma}), \text{reg}(R/I_{\text{lk}(\sigma)}) + |\sigma|\},$
- $\text{pd}(R/I_{\Delta}) = \max\{\text{pd}(R/I_{\Delta \setminus \sigma}), \text{pd}(R/I_{\text{lk}(\sigma)})\},$

where $I_{\Delta \setminus \sigma}$ and $I_{\text{lk}(\sigma)}$ are Stanley-Reisner ideals of $\Delta \setminus \sigma$ and $\text{lk}(\sigma)$ on the vertex sets X and $X \setminus \sigma$, respectively.

Corollary. Let Δ be a shellable simplicial complex with the shelling order $F_1 < \cdots < F_k$ and $\dim(\Delta) = d$. For any $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $\Delta_i = \langle F_1, \dots, F_i \rangle$ and $\mathcal{R}(F_i) = \{x \in F_i : F_i \setminus \{x\} \in \Delta_{i-1}\}$. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}(R/I_\Delta) = \max\{|\mathcal{R}(F_1)|, \dots, |\mathcal{R}(F_k)|\}.$$

Definition. Let \mathcal{H} be a clutter. A vertex v of \mathcal{H} is **simplicial** if for every two edges e_1 and e_2 of \mathcal{H} that contain v , there is a third edge e_3 such that $e_3 \subseteq (e_1 \cup e_2) \setminus \{v\}$.

Definition. A clutter \mathcal{H} is **chordal** if every minor of \mathcal{H} has a simplicial vertex.

Corollary. Let \mathcal{H} be a chordal clutter, $x \in V(\mathcal{H})$ be a simplicial vertex for \mathcal{H} and $e = \{x, x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ be an edge of \mathcal{H} containing x . Then

- $\text{reg}(R/I(\mathcal{H})) =$

$$\max\{\text{reg}(R/I(\mathcal{H}')), \text{reg}(R/I(\mathcal{H}/\{x_1, \dots, x_d\})) + d\}$$

where

$$E(\mathcal{H}') = \{e \in E(\mathcal{H}) : \{x_1, \dots, x_d\} \not\subseteq e\} \cup \{\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}\}.$$

- $\text{reg}(R/I(\mathcal{H})) \leq$

$$\max\left\{\sum_{i=1}^d \text{reg}(R/I(\mathcal{H} \setminus x_i)) + (d-1), \text{reg}(R/I(\mathcal{H}/\{x_1, \dots, x_d\})) + d\right\}$$

For a graph G , let $J_m(G)$ be the ideal generated by all squarefree monomials u of degree m , such that $\text{supp}(u)$ is an independent set of G .

Theorem. Let G be a chordal graph and x be a simplicial vertex of G . Set $I = J_m(G)$, $J = J_m(G \setminus x)$ and $K = J_{m-1}(G \setminus N_G[x])$. Then $I = J + xK$ is a **0-decomposable ideal**. Moreover, if $I \neq 0$, then

- (i) $\beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j}(J) + \beta_{i-1,j-1}(J) + \beta_{i,j-1}(K)$
- (ii) If $J \neq 0$, then $\text{pd}(I) = \max\{\text{pd}(J) + 1, \text{pd}(K)\}$
- (iii) I has a m -linear resolution.

For a graph G , let $J_m(G)$ be the ideal generated by all squarefree monomials u of degree m , such that $\text{supp}(u)$ is an independent set of G .

Theorem. Let G be a chordal graph and x be a simplicial vertex of G . Set $I = J_m(G)$, $J = J_m(G \setminus x)$ and $K = J_{m-1}(G \setminus N_G[x])$. Then $I = J + xK$ is a **0-decomposable ideal**. Moreover, if $I \neq 0$, then

- (i) $\beta_{i,j}(I) = \beta_{i,j}(J) + \beta_{i-1,j-1}(J) + \beta_{i,j-1}(K)$
- (ii) If $J \neq 0$, then $\text{pd}(I) = \max\{\text{pd}(J) + 1, \text{pd}(K)\}$
- (iii) I has a m -linear resolution.

k -decomposability and expansion

Definition. [Khosh-Ahang, Moradi] Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_m \rangle$ be a simplicial complex with the vertex set $V(\Delta) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary integers. We define the (s_1, \dots, s_n) -**expansion** of Δ to be a simplicial complex with the vertex set $\{x_{11}, \dots, x_{1s_1}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2s_2}, \dots, x_{n1}, \dots, x_{ns_n}\}$ and the facets

$$\{\{x_{i_1 r_1}, \dots, x_{i_{k_i} r_{k_i}}\} : \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{k_i}}\} \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), (r_1, \dots, r_{k_i}) \in [s_{i_1}] \times \dots \times [s_{i_{k_i}}]\}$$

We denote this simplicial complex by $\Delta^{(s_1, \dots, s_n)}$

Theorem. [Moradi, Rahmati-Asghar] Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

$$\Delta \text{ is } k\text{-decomposable} \iff \Delta^\alpha \text{ is } k\text{-decomposable}$$

Definition. [Khosh-Ahang, Moradi] Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_m \rangle$ be a simplicial complex with the vertex set $V(\Delta) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary integers. We define the (s_1, \dots, s_n) -**expansion** of Δ to be a simplicial complex with the vertex set $\{x_{11}, \dots, x_{1s_1}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2s_2}, \dots, x_{n1}, \dots, x_{ns_n}\}$ and the facets

$$\{\{x_{i_1 r_1}, \dots, x_{i_{k_i} r_{k_i}}\} : \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{k_i}}\} \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), (r_1, \dots, r_{k_i}) \in [s_{i_1}] \times \dots \times [s_{i_{k_i}}]\}$$

We denote this simplicial complex by $\Delta^{(s_1, \dots, s_n)}$

Theorem. [Moradi, Rahmati-Asghar] Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

$$\Delta \text{ is } k\text{-decomposable} \iff \Delta^\alpha \text{ is } k\text{-decomposable}$$

Outline of proof: \Rightarrow Let Δ be k -decomposable, X be the vertex set of Δ , $x_i \in X$ and

$$\Delta' = \Delta \cup \langle (F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x'_i\} : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F \rangle$$

$$I_{\Delta'^\vee} = x'_i I_{\Delta^\vee} + x_i I_{(\text{lk}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}$$

Also $(I_{\Delta'^\vee})_{x'_i} = x_i I_{(\text{lk}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}$ and $(I_{\Delta'^\vee})^{x'_i} = x'_i I_{\Delta^\vee}$.

$\text{lk}_\Delta(x_i)$ is k -decomposable

\Downarrow

$x'_i I_{\Delta^\vee}$ and $x_i I_{(\text{lk}_\Delta(x_i))^\vee}$ are k -decomposable ideals

Also for any minimal generator $x_i x^{X \setminus F} \in (I_{\Delta'^\vee})_{x'_i}$,

$$(x'_i x^{X \setminus F} : x_i x^{X \setminus F}) = (x'_i)$$

\Leftarrow Let $\Delta' = \Delta \cup \langle (F \setminus \{x_i\}) \cup \{x'_i\} : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta), x_i \in F \rangle$ be k -decomposable.

If $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{F\}$, then clearly it is k -decomposable.

Suppose that Δ has more than one facet and σ be a shedding face of Δ' and let $\text{lk}_{\Delta'}\sigma$ and $\Delta' \setminus \sigma$ are k -decomposable. We have two cases:

Case 1. $x'_i \in \sigma$ or $x_i \in \sigma$. Then

$$\Delta = \Delta' \setminus \sigma \text{ and so } \Delta \text{ is } k\text{-decomposable}$$

Case 2. $x_i \notin \sigma$ and $x'_i \notin \sigma$.

$\text{lk}_{\Delta'}\sigma$ and $\Delta' \setminus \sigma$ are, respectively, some expansions of $\text{lk}_{\Delta}\sigma$ and $\Delta \setminus \sigma$

So by induction $\text{lk}_{\Delta}\sigma$ and $\Delta \setminus \sigma$ are k -decomposable.

Theorem. [Moradi,Rahmati-Asghar] Let Δ be a k -decomposable simplicial complex on $[n]$ and $\alpha = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Then

- $\text{pd}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = \text{pd}(S/I_\Delta) + s_1 + \dots + s_n - n$
- $\text{depth}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = \text{depth}(S/I_\Delta)$

Theorem. [Moradi,Rahmati-Asghar] Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $[n]$ and $\alpha = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Then

$$\text{reg}(I_{\Delta^\alpha}) \leq \text{reg}(I_\Delta) + r$$

where $r = |\{i : s_i > 1\}|$.

Theorem. [Moradi,Rahmati-Asghar] Let Δ be a k -decomposable simplicial complex on $[n]$ and $\alpha = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Then

- $\text{pd}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = \text{pd}(S/I_\Delta) + s_1 + \dots + s_n - n$
- $\text{depth}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = \text{depth}(S/I_\Delta)$

Theorem. [Moradi,Rahmati-Asghar] Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $[n]$ and $\alpha = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Then

$$\text{reg}(I_{\Delta^\alpha}) \leq \text{reg}(I_\Delta) + r$$

where $r = |\{i : s_i > 1\}|$.

Outline of proof. First by induction on s_i we show that

$$\text{reg}(I_{\Delta(1, \dots, 1, s_i, 1, \dots, 1)}) \leq \text{reg}(I_\Delta) + 1$$

Theorem. [Moradi,Rahmati-Asghar] Let Δ be a k -decomposable simplicial complex on $[n]$ and $\alpha = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Then

- $\text{pd}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = \text{pd}(S/I_\Delta) + s_1 + \dots + s_n - n$
- $\text{depth}(S^\alpha/I_{\Delta^\alpha}) = \text{depth}(S/I_\Delta)$

Theorem. [Moradi,Rahmati-Asghar] Let Δ be a simplicial complex on $[n]$ and $\alpha = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Then

$$\text{reg}(I_{\Delta^\alpha}) \leq \text{reg}(I_\Delta) + r$$

where $r = |\{i : s_i > 1\}|$.

Outline of proof. First by induction on s_i we show that

$$\text{reg}(I_{\Delta^{(1, \dots, 1, s_i, 1, \dots, 1)}}) \leq \text{reg}(I_\Delta) + 1$$

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta(1,\dots,1,s_i,1,\dots,1)}) \leq \max\{\operatorname{reg}(I_{\operatorname{lk}_{\Delta}(x_i)})+1, \operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta(1,\dots,1,s_i-1,1,\dots,1)})\}.$$

Then from the equality

$$\Delta^{(s_1,\dots,s_n)} = (\Delta^{(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1},1)})(1,\dots,1,s_n)$$

we have

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta^{(s_1,\dots,s_n)}}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(I_{\Delta^{(s_1,\dots,s_{n-1},1)}}) + 1$$

and one can get the result by induction on n .

Thanks!