Explicit Description of a Class of Indecomposable Injective Modules*

M. R. Pournaki, **M. Tousi**

Abstract

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of R such that the ideal $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is principal and $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. In this note, we describe the explicit structure of the injective envelope of the R-module $R/\mathfrak{p}$.

Keywords: Noetherian ring, Injective module, Indecomposable injective module, Injective envelope, Weakly locally principal prime ideal.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13C11, 13B30; Secondary 13E05.

1 Introduction

According to classic results of E. Matlis [5, Theorems 2.5 and 2.7] every injective module over a Noetherian ring R can be expressed uniquely as the direct sum of indecomposable injective modules; the indecomposables have the form $\mathrm{E_R(R/J)}$ where J is an irreducible left ideal of R [5, Theorem 2.4]; and if in addition R is commutative the indecomposables are exactly the envelopes $\mathrm{E_R}(\mathrm{R}/\mathfrak{p})$, $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of R [5, Proposition 3.1]. Thus if we wish to understand the structure of the injective modules in detail, it suffices to know the structure of the indecomposables. Finding a precise description of a class of indecomposable injective modules has been the main object of [7], [2], [4], [10], [9], and [1], although even over commutative Noetherian ring their structure can still be quite complicated.

In this note we give the explicit structure of the injective envelope of the R-module $R/\mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of R with $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$ and is the *weakly locally principal*, i.e., a prime ideal of R such that there exists an element p of R for which $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} = pR_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that the prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ in regular rings, Krull rings and Noetherian normal rings are weakly locally principal. In particulary, each prime ideal of Dedekind domains is weakly locally principal too.

*This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM.

2 Main Results

Throughout this section, let R denote a commutative ring with identity, M be a unitary left R-module and $\mathrm{E_R}(-)$ denote the injective envelope of R-module $-$. Also, if $\mathfrak{p}$ denotes the weakly locally principal prime ideal of R, then p denotes the element of R for which $\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p} = pR_\mathfrak{p}$. For such $\mathfrak{p}$ and p, define $S = \{p^i s : \ s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}, \ i \geq 0\}$. Clearly S is a multiplicative closed subset of R and we have $R \setminus \mathfrak{p} \subseteq S$. In this case, for such S, the function $\Theta : \ R_\mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow S^{-1}R$ defined by $\Theta(r/s) = r/s$ is an R-homomorphism.

In the following theorem, the explicit structure of a class of indecomposable injective modules will be given.

Main Theorem *Let R be a Noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p}$ be a weakly locally principal prime ideal of R. If $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$, then $\mathrm{E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})} \cong S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$ as R-modules.*

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R. For each R-module M, set $\Gamma_\mathfrak{a}(M) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(0 :_M \mathfrak{a}^n)$, the set of elements of M which are annihilated by some power of $\mathfrak{a}$.

For the proof of the Main Theorem we need to following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 *Let M and E be R-modules and E be injective. If a is an element of R such that $aM = M$, $\Gamma_{aR}(M) = M$, $\Gamma_{aR}(E) = E$ and $(0 :_M a) \cong (0 :_E a)$, then $M \cong E$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, there is an R-isomorphism $\varphi : \ (0 :_M a) \longrightarrow (0 :_E a)$ and therefore we obtain the induced R-monomorphism $\hat{\varphi} : \ (0 :_M a) \longrightarrow E$. Now, injectivity of E implies that there is an R-homomorphism $\psi : \ M \longrightarrow E$, such that $\psi|_{(0:_M a)} = \hat{\varphi}$. We claim that ψ is an R-isomorphism.

If K is an R-module such that $\Gamma_{aR}(K) = K$, then for $x \in K \setminus \{0\}$ we define $\exp(x) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \ a^n x = 0\}$ and we set $\exp(0) = 0$.

ψ is injective: We show that $x \in \mathrm{Ker}\ \psi$ implies $x = 0$. We use induction on $\exp(x)$. If $x \in \mathrm{Ker}\ \psi$ and $\exp(x) = 1$, then $ax = 0$, so $x \in (0 :_M a)$. Therefore $0 = \psi(x) = \hat{\varphi}(x)$ and so $x = 0$. Now suppose, inductively, $x \in \mathrm{Ker}\ \psi, \ \exp(x) = n > 1$ and suppose for each $y \in \mathrm{Ker}\ \psi$ with $\exp(y) = n - 1$, we have shown that $y = 0$. The condition $\exp(x) = n$ implies that $\exp(ax) = n - 1$. But $ax \in \mathrm{Ker}\ \psi$, so, by the inductive hypothesis $ax = 0$. Since $n > 1$, we have $x = 0$. This completes the inductive step.

ψ is surjective: Again we use induction. Suppose $y \in E$ and $\exp(y) = 1$. Then

$ay = 0$ and we have $y \in (0 :_E a)$. Now surjectivity of φ implies that there is $x \in (0 :_M a) \subseteq M$, such that $y = \varphi(x) = \hat{\varphi}(x) = \psi(x)$, so $y \in \text{Im } \psi$. Now suppose, inductively, $y \in E$, $\exp(y) = n > 1$ and suppose for each $z \in E$ with $\exp(z) = n - 1$, we have shown that $z \in \text{Im } \psi$. Since $y \in E$ and $\exp(y) = n$ implies that $\exp(ay) = n - 1$, by the inductive hypothesis there is $x' \in M$ such that $\psi(x') = ay$. Since $aM = M$, $x' = ax'_a$, where $x'_a \in M$. Now we have $\psi(x'_a) = y$ or $\exp\big(\psi(x'_a) - y\big) = 1$. In each case we have $y \in \text{Im } \psi$. This completes the inductive step.

Therefore we established the claim and so $M \cong E$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *Let R be a Noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p}$ be a weakly locally principal prime ideal of R for which* $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. *Then* $R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p} \cong (0 :_{S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})} p)$ *as R-modules.*

Proof. Define $\phi : R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow (0 :_{S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})} p)$ by $\phi(r/s + \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}) = r/sp + \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$. Clearly ϕ is an R-homomorphism. Firstly, we prove that ϕ is surjective. For showing this, suppose $\alpha/p^i t + \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p}) \in (0 :_{S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})} p)$. Therefore, there exist $t' \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ and $\beta \in R$ for which $p\alpha/p^i t = \beta/t'$ or $\alpha/p^i t = \beta/pt'$ in $S^{-1}R$. Now, $\phi(\beta/t' + \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}) = \beta/pt' + \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p}) = \alpha/p^i t + \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$ implies that ϕ is surjective. Secondly, we claim that ϕ is injective. Suppose the contrary, i.e., there is a non-zero element in Ker ϕ, say $r/s + \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$. So there exists $r'/s' \in \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$ such that $r/sp = r'/s'$ in $S^{-1}R$ and $r/s \notin \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore there exists $l \geq 0$ and $t \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ for which $p^l trs' = p^{l+1} tr's$. Consequently $(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p})^{l+1} = (\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p})^l$. Nakayama Lemma now implies that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}) = 0$, a contradiction. So the claim proved and ϕ is an R-isomorphism and the lemma holds. $\square$

Proof of the Main Theorem.

By using [8, Lemma 4.24], we get $(0 :_{\mathrm{E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})}} p) \cong (0 :_{E_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}) \cong R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$. On the other hand, Lemma 2.2 implies that $R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p} \cong (0 :_{S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})} p)$. Therefore we have

$$(0 :_{\mathrm{E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})}} p) \cong (0 :_{S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})} p).$$

It is easy to see that $p\big(S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})\big) = S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$. Now, suppose $r/p^i s + \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p}) \in S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$. Therefore, $p^i\big(r/p^i s + \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})\big) = \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$ and so $r/p^i s + \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p}) \in \Gamma_{pR}\big(S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})\big)$. This shows that $\Gamma_{pR}\big(S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})\big) = S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$. Since $\Gamma_{pR}\big(\mathrm{E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})}\big) = \mathrm{E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})}$ (see [6, Theorem 18.4 (v), (vi)]), Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$\mathrm{E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})} \cong S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p}). \quad \square$$

Let p be an element of R and $\lambda : R \longrightarrow R_p$ be natural R-homomorphism. Then we denote the R-module $R_p/\lambda(R)=\{a/p^n + \lambda(R) : a \in R, n \geq 0\}$ by R_{p^∞}.

Proposition 2.3 *Let p be an element of R such that $\mathfrak{p} = pR$ is a maximal ideal of R. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is the weakly locally principal prime ideal of R and if we consider $S = \{p^i s : s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}, i \geq 0\}$ and $\Theta : R_\mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow S^{-1}R$ as we mentioned earlier we obtain $S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p}) \cong R_{p^\infty}$ as R-modules.*

Proof. For each $r \in R \setminus pR$ and each $l \geq 0$, there exists $\alpha, \beta \in R$ such that $\alpha r + \beta p^l = 1$. By using this fact, it is easy to see that the natural R-homomorphism $\mu : R_{p^\infty} \longrightarrow S^{-1}R/\Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$ given by $\mu\big(r/p^n + \lambda(R)\big) = r/p^n + \Theta(R_\mathfrak{p})$ is an R-isomorphism. □

Now the Main Theorem and Proposition 2.3 imply the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.4 *Let R be a Noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{p} = pR$ be a maximal ideal of R. If $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$, then $\mathrm{E_R}(\mathrm{R}/\mathfrak{p}) \cong R_{p^\infty}$ as R-modules.*

Corollary 2.5 *Let R be a Noetherian integral domain. If pR is a non-zero maximal ideal of R, then $\mathrm{E_R}(\mathrm{R}/pR) \cong R_{p^\infty}$ as R-modules. In particular, if p is a prime integer, then $\mathrm{E}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty}$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.*

We now apply the result of the Corollary 2.5 to find a decomposition for injective modules over one-dimensional unique factorization domains. In the following, $\mu(-, M)$ denotes the 0-th Bass number of M with respect to prime ideal $-$. For an R-module N, $\bigoplus \mu(-, M)N$ denotes the direct sum of $\mu(-, M)$ copies of N and consider $\Pi = \{p \in R \setminus \{0\} : pR \in \mathrm{Ass_R(M)}\}$, where $\mathrm{Ass_R(M)} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec(R)} :$ there exists $x \in M$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = (0 :_R x)\}$.

Corollary 2.6 *Let R be a one-dimensional unique factorization domain, F its field of fractions and let M be an injective R-module. Then*

$$M \cong \big(\bigoplus \mu(0, M)F\big) \oplus \big(\bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} \mu(pR, M)R_{p^\infty}\big)$$

as R-modules.

We need the following lemma to prove this corollary.

Lemma 2.7 *The ring R is a principal ideal domain if and only if R is a one-dimensional unique factorization domain.*

Proof. Clearly any principal ideal domain is a unique factorization domain and one-dimensional, so we prove the converse, which is more interesting. Suppose that R is a one-dimensional unique factorization domain. We note that the proof of Theorem 20.1 in [6] shows that if R is a unique factorization domain and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of R such that $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$, then $\mathfrak{p}$ is a principal ideal. Since R is one-dimensional, any prime ideal of R is principal. So R is Noetherian. Now if R is not a principal ideal domain, there is a non-principal ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. Since R is Noetherian, there is an ideal, $\mathfrak{m}$, that is maximal with respect to being non-principal. A standard result of M. Isaacs (see [3, page 8]) states that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a prime ideal. This contradicts that fact that all prime ideals of R are principal and completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of the Corollary 2.6.

Let $0 \neq \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass_R(M)}$. By the Lemma 2.7, $\mathfrak{p} = pR$ for some $p \in \Pi$. We know that M has a decomposition of the form of

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec(R)}} \mu(\mathfrak{p}, M)\mathrm{E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})}$$

(see [5, Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 3.1]). But it is easy to see that $\mu(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass_R(M)}$. Therefore since $\mathrm{E_R(R)} \cong F$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M &\cong \textstyle\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass_R(M)}} \mu(\mathfrak{p}, M)\mathrm{E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})} \\ &\cong \big(\textstyle\bigoplus \mu(0, M)\mathrm{E_R(R)}\big) \oplus \big(\textstyle\bigoplus_{0 \neq \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass_R(M)}} \mu(\mathfrak{p}, M)\mathrm{E_R(R/\mathfrak{p})}\big) \\ &\cong \big(\textstyle\bigoplus \mu(0, M)F\big) \oplus \big(\textstyle\bigoplus_{p \in \Pi} \mu(pR, M)R_{p^\infty}\big). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgment: This work was done while the first author was a Postdoctoral Research Associate at the School of Mathematics, Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics (IPM). He would like to thank the IPM for the financial support. The authors also thank Irena Swanson and Jim Coykendall for useful comments which led to improvement of the first draft.

References

[1] M. T. Dibaei, M. Tousi, *The Structure of Dualizing Complex for a Ring which is (S_2)*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38** (1998), 503-516.

[2] R. M. Fossum, *The Structure of Indecomposable Injective Modules*, Math. Scand.

36 (1975), 291-312.

[3] I. Kaplansky, "*Commutative Rings*", Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, Mass. 1970.

[4] T. G. Kucera, *Explicit Descriptions of Injective Envelopes: Generalizations of a Result of Northcott*, Comm. Algebra **17** (1989), 2703-2715.

[5] E. Matlis, *Injective Modules Over Noetherian Rings*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 511-528.

[6] H. Matsumura, "*Commutative Ring Theory*", Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

[7] D. G. Northcott, *Injective Envelopments and Inverse Polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. **8** (1974), 290-296.

[8] D. W. Sharpe, P. Vámos, "*Injective Modules*", Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No: 62, Cambridge University Press, London and New York, 1972.

[9] Y. M. Song, *Generalized Fractions, Galois Theory and Injective Envelopes of Simple Modules Over Polynomial Rings*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **32** (1995), 265-277.

[10] H. Zakeri, *Action of Certain Groups on Modules of Generalized Fractions*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **20** (1994), 1-18.

The Authors' Addresses

M. R. Pournaki, School of Mathematics, Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail address: `pournaki@ipm.ir`

M. Tousi, School of Mathematics, Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, P.O. Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran, and Department of Mathematics, Shahid Beheshti University, Evin, Tehran 19839, Iran.

E-mail address: `mtousi@ipm.ir`