# DEFINABLE TREE PROPERTY CAN HOLD AT ALL UNCOUNTABLE REGULAR CARDINALS

MOHAMMAD GOLSHANI

ABSTRACT. Starting from a supercompact cardinal and a measurable above it, we construct a model of ZFC in which the definable tree property holds at all uncountable regular cardinals. This answers a question from [1]

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the definable version of Magidor's question on tree property. Recall that tree property at  $\kappa$  is the assertion "there are no  $\kappa$ -Aronszajn trees". A question of Magidor asks if it is consistent that tree property holds at all regular cardinals greater than  $\aleph_1$ , and despite of many results which are obtained around it, it seems we are very far from solving it. In this paper we consider the definable version of this question, and give a complete solution to it.

For a regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , let definable tree property at  $\kappa$ , denoted DTP( $\kappa$ ), be the assertion "any  $\kappa$ -tree definable in the structure ( $H(\kappa), \in$ ) has a cofinal branch". In [3], it is proved that if  $\kappa$  is regular and  $\lambda > \kappa$  is a  $\Pi_1^1$ -reflecting cardinal, then in the generic extension by the Levy collapse Col( $\kappa, < \lambda$ ), DTP( $\lambda$ ) holds. In [1], this result is extended to get definable tree property at successor of all regular cardinals.

We continue [1] and [3] and prove a global consistency result, by producing a model of ZFC in which definable tree property holds at all uncountable regular cardinals. Our result solves a question of [1] and provides an answer to the definable version of Magidor's question.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume  $\kappa$  is a supercompact cardinal and  $\lambda > \kappa$  is measurable. Then there is a generic extension W of the universe in which the following hold:

- (a)  $\kappa$  remain inaccessible.
- (b) Definable tree property holds at all uncountable regular cardinals less than  $\kappa$ .

The author's research has been supported by a grant from IPM (No. 91030417).

In particular the rank initial segment  $W_{\kappa}$  of W is a model of ZFC in which definable tree property holds at all uncountable regular cardinals.

**Remark 1.2.** We can weaken the large cardinal strength of  $\lambda$  to a  $\Pi_1^1$ -reflecting cardinal.

In Section 2, we present some preliminaries and results that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then in Section 3, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. We assume familiarity with the papers [2] and [4].

## 2. Some preliminaries

We begin with some simple observations and facts that will be used through the paper.

**Definition 2.1.** Assume M is a transitive class. Then HMD is the class of all sets which are hereditarily definable using parameters from M.

In particular, HOD is just HMD, where M is the class of all ordinals. We will use the following well-known fact.

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume  $\mathbb{P}$  is a homogeneous ordinal definable forcing notion and let G be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over V. Then  $\mathrm{HVD}^{V[G]} \subseteq V$ .

In the next lemma we present a simple proof Leshem's result stated above, using a measurable cardinal.

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume  $\kappa$  is regular and  $\lambda > \kappa$  is a measurable cardinal. Then  $\Vdash_{\operatorname{Col}(\kappa,<\lambda)}$  " $\lambda = \kappa^+ + \operatorname{DTP}(\lambda)$ ".

Proof. Let  $\mathbb{P} = \operatorname{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$  and let G be  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over V. Also let U be a normal measure on  $\lambda$  and let  $j: V \to M \simeq \operatorname{Ult}(V, U)$  be the corresponding ultrapower embedding. By standard arguments, we can lift j to some  $j: V[G] \to M[G \times H]$ , where H is  $\operatorname{Col}(\kappa, [\lambda, j(\lambda)))$ -generic over V[G] which is defined in  $V[G \times H]$ .

Now suppose that  $T \in V[G]$  is a  $\lambda$ -tree which is definable in  $H(\lambda)^{V[G]} = H(\lambda)[G]$ . Then in  $M[G \times H], T$  has a branch which is defined in a natural way: take some  $y \in j(T)_{\lambda}$  and let But as the tree is definable and the forcing is homogeneous, we can easily see that b is in fact in V[G]: let the formula  $\phi$  and  $z \in H(\lambda)^{V[G]}$  be such that

$$\alpha <_T \beta \iff H(\lambda)^{V[G]} \models \phi(z, \alpha, \beta).$$

By the chain condition of forcing, z has a name  $\dot{z} \in H(\lambda)^V$ , and so  $j(\dot{z}) = \dot{z}$ . As j is an elementary embedding, we have

$$\alpha <_{j(T)} \beta \iff H(j(\lambda))^{M[G \times H]} \models \phi(z, \alpha, \beta).$$

It follows that  $b \in H(M[G])D^{M[G \times H]}$ , and by the homogeneity of the forcing,  $b \in V[G]$ .  $\Box$ 

The next lemma is proved in [1].

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume  $DTP(\kappa^+)$  holds and let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a homogeneous forcing notion which preserves  $H(\kappa^+)$ . Then  $DTP(\kappa^+)$  holds in the generic extension by  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Proof. Assume G is  $\mathbb{P}$ -generic over V and let  $T \in V[G]$  be a  $\kappa^+$ -tree definable in  $H(\kappa^+)^{V[G]}$ . As  $H(\kappa^+)^{V[G]} = H(\kappa^+)^V$ , so T is defined by parameters from V and since the forcing is homogeneous,  $T \in V$ . By our assumption, T has a branch in V and hence in V[G].

#### 3. Proof of main theorem

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Thus assume  $\kappa$  is a supercompact cardinal and let  $\lambda$  be the least measurable cardinal above  $\kappa$ . Let  $\overline{E} = \langle E_{\xi} | \xi < \lambda \rangle$  be a Mitchell increasing sequence of extenders such that for each  $\xi < \lambda$ ,  $\operatorname{crit}(j_{\xi}) = \kappa$ ,  $^{<\lambda} M \subseteq$ M and  $M_{\xi} \supseteq V_{\kappa+2}$ , where  $j_{\xi} : V \to M_{\xi} \simeq \operatorname{Ult}(V, E_{\xi})$  is the corresponding elementary embedding. Let  $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{E}}$  be the supercompact extender based Radin forcing using  $\overline{E}$  as defined in [4] and let G be  $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{E}}$ -generic over V. Let us recall the basic properties of  $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{E}}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** ([4]) The following hold in V[G]:

- (a) There exists a club  $C = \langle \kappa_{\xi} | \xi < \kappa \rangle$  of  $\kappa$  consisting of V-measurable cardinals.
- (b) For each limit ordinal  $\xi < \kappa$  let  $\lambda_{\xi}$  be the least measurable cardinal above  $\kappa_{\xi}$ . Then  $\lambda_{\xi} = \kappa_{\xi}^{+}$ .
- (c)  $\kappa$  remains inaccessible.

**Lemma 3.2.** In V[G], definable tree property holds at all  $\lambda_{\xi}$ , where  $\xi < \kappa$  is a limit ordinal.

Proof. Assume  $\xi < \kappa$  is a limit ordinal. Let  $p \in G$  be of the form  $p = p_0^{\frown} p_1$ , where  $p_0 \in \mathbb{P}^*_{\bar{e}}$ with  $\kappa(\bar{e}) = \kappa_{\xi}$  and  $p_1 \in \mathbb{P}^*_{\bar{E}}$ . So we can factor  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/p$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/p = \mathbb{P}_{\bar{e}}/p_0 \times \mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/p_1$ , where  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{e}}/p_0$ is essentially the forcing up to level  $\kappa_{\xi}$  below  $p_0$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/p_1$  does not add any new subsets to  $\lambda^+_{\xi}$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\text{DTP}(\lambda_{\xi})$  holds in the generic extension by  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{e}}/p_0$ . This follows by essentially the same ideas as in the proof of Main Theorem 3 from [1] and the homogeneity of the forcing notion  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{e}}/p_0$  as proved in [2].

The forcing  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{e}}/p_0$  is just homogeneous modulo Radin forcing, as we describe it below, hence more work is needed to get the result, and so we sketch the proof for completeness.

Given  $p = (f^p, T^p) \in \mathbb{P}^*_{\bar{E}}$ , set  $s(p) = (f^p \upharpoonright \{\kappa\}, T^p \upharpoonright \{\kappa\})$ , and by recursion, define the projection of an arbitrary condition  $p = p_0^\frown \ldots \frown p_n \in \mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}$ , by  $s(p) = s(p_0^\frown \ldots p_{n-1})^\frown s(p_n)$ . Set  $\mathbb{P}^{\pi}_{\bar{E}} = s^*(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}})$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}^{\pi}_{\bar{E}}$  is the ordinary Radin forcing using the measure sequence  $u = \langle E_{\xi}(\kappa) \mid \xi < \lambda \rangle$ . As shown in [2], the forcing  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/H$  is homogeneous, where  $H = s^*(G)$ is  $\mathbb{P}^{\pi}_{\bar{E}}$ -generic over V.

In V,  $\lambda_{\xi}$  is a measureable cardinal, so let  $k : V \to N$  witness this. It follows that k(s) is a projection from  $k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}})$  onto  $k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}^{\pi})$ .

Now let  $T \in V[G]$  be a  $\lambda_{\xi}$ -tree, which is definable in  $H(\lambda_{\xi})^{V[G]} = H(\lambda_{\xi})^{N[G]}$ . We have a natural projection

$$\sigma: k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}) \to \mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}$$

which induces a projection

$$\sigma^{\pi}: k(\mathbb{P}^{\pi}_{\bar{E}}) \to \mathbb{P}^{\pi}_{\bar{E}}$$

so that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{aligned} &k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}) & \stackrel{\sigma}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}} \\ &k(s) \downarrow & \qquad \downarrow s \\ &k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}^{\pi}) & \stackrel{\sigma^{\pi}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}^{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $\sigma^{\pi} \circ k(s) = s \circ \sigma$ . Let K be  $k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}})$ -generic over V such that  $\sigma^{"}(K) = G$ . Then  $L = k(s)^{"}(K)$  is  $k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}^{\pi})$ -generic over V and  $\sigma^{\pi}^{"}(L) = H$ .

It is easily seen that we can lift k to some elementary embedding  $k : V[G] \to N[K]$ , which is defined in V[K]. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, T has a branch  $b \in N[K]$  of the form

$$b = \{ x \in k(T) \mid x <_{k(T)} y \} = \{ x \in T \mid x <_{k(T)} y \},\$$

where  $y \in k(T)_{\lambda_{\xi}}$  is a node on  $\lambda_{\xi}$ -th level of k(T). Assume  $\phi$  defines T, so that for some  $z \in H(\lambda_{\xi})^{V[G]}$ 

$$\alpha <_T \beta \iff H(\lambda_{\xi})^{V[G]} \models \phi(z, \alpha, \beta).$$

But  $H(\lambda_{\xi})^{V[G]} = H(\lambda_{\xi})[G]$ , so for some  $\dot{z} \in H(\lambda_{\xi}), z = \dot{z}[G]$ . We can assume from the start that each element of  $H(\lambda_{\xi})$  is definable in  $H(\lambda_{\xi})$  using ordinal parameters less that  $\lambda_{\xi}$ , so without loss of generality, assume z is an ordinal less than  $\lambda_{\xi}$ . It follows that k(z) = z, and

$$b = \{x \in T \mid H(k(\lambda_{\xi}))^{N[K]} \models \phi(z, x, y)\} \in \mathrm{HOD}^{N[K]}.$$

Note that k fixes elements of  $H(\lambda_{\xi})$  and  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}^{\pi}$  is essentially the Radin forcing at  $\kappa_{\xi} < \lambda_{\xi}$  using the measure sequence u, so we can easily show that  $k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}^{\pi})/H$  is homogeneous. On the other hand, using the elementarity of k and by [2],  $k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}})/L$  is also homogeneous. It easily follows that  $k(\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}})/H$  is indeed homogeneous, so  $\text{HOD}^{N[K]} \subseteq N[H]$  and hence

$$\operatorname{HOD}^{N[K]} \subseteq N[H] \subseteq V[G].$$

It follows that  $b \in V[G]$ , as required.

From now on, assume that  $\kappa_0 = \aleph_0$  and that each limit point of C is a singular cardinal in V[G].

Now work in V[G], and let

$$\mathbb{Q} = \langle \langle \mathbb{Q}_{\xi} \mid \xi \leq \kappa \rangle, \langle \mathbb{R}_{\xi} \mid \xi < \kappa \rangle \rangle$$

be the reverse Easton iteration of forcing notions where for each  $\xi < \kappa$ ,  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_{\xi}} "\mathbb{R}_{\xi} = \operatorname{Col}(\kappa_{\xi}^*, < \kappa_{\xi+1})"$ , where  $\kappa_{\xi}^* = \kappa_{\xi}^+$  if  $\xi > 0$  is a limit ordinal and  $\kappa_{\xi}^* = \kappa_{\xi}$  otherwise. Let H be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -generic over V[G].

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4,

 $V[G][H] \models$  "DTP $(\nu^+)$  holds for all regular cardinals  $\nu < \kappa$ ".

Note that in V[G][H], there are no inaccessible cardinals below  $\kappa$  (by our assumption on C) and limit cardinals of V[G][H] below  $\kappa$  are of the form  $\kappa_{\xi}$ , for some limit ordinal  $\xi < \lambda$ . By  $3.1, (\kappa_{\xi}^+)^{V[G][H]} = \lambda_{\xi}$ , so the following lemma completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.3.**  $V[G][H] \models \text{`DTP}(\lambda_{\xi})$  holds for all limit ordinals  $\xi < \kappa$ ".

Proof. Let  $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}_{\xi} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\infty}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_{\xi}$  is the iteration up to level  $\xi$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}_{\xi}} "\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\infty}$  is  $\lambda_{\xi}$ -closed and homogeneous". So by 2.4, it suffices to show that  $\text{DTP}(\lambda_{\xi})$  holds in the extension by  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\xi}$ . As before, let  $p \in G$  be of the form  $p = p_0 p_1$ , where  $p_0 \in \mathbb{P}_{\bar{e}}^*$  with  $\kappa(\bar{e}) = \kappa_{\xi}$  and  $p_1 \in \mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}^*$  and factor  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/p$  as  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/p = \mathbb{P}_{\bar{e}}/p_0 \times \mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/p_1$ .

As  $\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/p_1$  does not add new subsets to  $\lambda_{\xi}^+$ , the forcing notion  $\mathbb{Q}_{\xi}$  is computed in both models  $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\bar{E}}/p}$  and  $V^{\mathbb{P}_{\bar{e}}/p_0}$  in the same way, so it suffices to prove the following:

$$V^{\mathbb{P}_{\bar{e}}/p_0 * \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_{\xi}} \models \text{ "DTP}(\lambda_{\xi}) \text{ holds"}$$
.

The proof is essentially the same as before using the homogeneity of the corresponding forcing notions .  $\hfill \Box$ 

#### References

- Daghighi, Ali Sadegh; Pourmahdian, Massoud; The definable tree property for successors of cardinals. Arch. Math. Logic 55 (2016), no. 5–6, 785-798.
- [2] Gitik, Moti; Merimovich, Carmi, Some applications of Supercompact Extender Based Forcings to HOD. Preprint.
- [3] Leshem, Amir, On the consistency of the definable tree property on ℵ<sub>1</sub>. J. Symbolic Logic 65 (2000), no. 3, 1204-1214.
- [4] Merimovich, Carmi, Supercompact Extender Based Magidor-Radin Forcing. Preprint.

School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box: 19395-5746, Tehran-Iran.

E-mail address: golshani.m@gmail.com