

Weakly saturated subgraphs of random graphs¹

O. Kalinichenko², B. Tayfeh-Rezaie³, M. Zhukovskii²

Let G and F be graphs, and let $H \subset G$ be a spanning subgraph of G . The graph H is called *weakly F -saturated in G* , if there exists a sequence of graphs $H = H_0 \subset \dots \subset H_m = G$, where each H_i is obtained from H_{i-1} by adding an edge that belongs to a copy of F in H_i . In other words, all the edges of $G \setminus H$ can be recovered one by one in a way such that each edge creates a new copy of F . The smallest number of edges in a weakly F -saturated subgraph of G is called *the weak F -saturation number of G* and is denoted by $\text{wsat}(G, F)$. This notion was first introduced by Bollobás in 1968 [3].

The exact value of $\text{wsat}(K_n, K_s)$ (here, as usual, K_n is a complete graph on n vertices) was achieved by Lovász [9]. He showed that if $n \geq s \geq 2$, then

$$\text{wsat}(K_n, K_s) = \binom{n}{2} - \binom{n-s+2}{2}.$$

The next natural graph to consider on the role of F is a complete bipartite graph $K_{s,t}$. However, the value of $\text{wsat}(K_n, K_{s,t})$ for an arbitrary choice of parameters is still unknown. The most general result was obtained by Kalai [4] in 1985 and Kronenberg, Martins and Morrison [8] in 2020. They found the exact value only for balanced bipartite graphs: for $t \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3t - 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wsat}(K_n, K_{t,t}) &= (t-1)(n+1-t/2), \\ \text{wsat}(K_n, K_{t,t+1}) &= (t-1)(n+1-t/2) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in [8] general bounds for arbitrary choice of parameters s, t were also obtained:

$$\text{wsat}(K_n, K_{s,t}) \leq (s-1)(n-s) + \binom{t}{2}, \quad t > s \geq 2, \quad n \geq 2(s+t) - 3; \quad (1)$$

$$\text{wsat}(K_n, K_{s,t}) \geq (s-1)(n-t+1) + \binom{t}{2}, \quad t > s \geq 2, \quad n \geq 3t - 3. \quad (2)$$

For $s = 1$, it is straightforward to show that $\text{wsat}(K_n, K_{1,t}) = \binom{t}{2}$. The case $s = 2$ appears to be much more sophisticated, but in [10], it was managed to solve it.

¹This work was carried out with the support of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant No. 20-51-56017 and Iran National Science Foundation under project number 99003814.

²Moscow institute of physics and technology, laboratory of combinatorial and geometric structures

³School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

Theorem 1 For all integers $t \geq 3$ and $n \geq t + 2$, the following hold.

- If t is odd or $n \geq 2t - 1$, then $\text{wsat}(K_n, K_{2,t}) = n - 2 + \binom{t}{2}$;
- If t is even and $n \leq 2t - 2$, then $\text{wsat}(K_n, K_{2,t}) = n - 1 + \binom{t}{2}$.

As usual we denote by $G(n, p)$ the binomial random graph on the vertex set $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, where every pair of distinct $i, j \in [n]$ is adjacent with probability p independently of the others. Hereinafter, we say that some property holds *with high probability*, or *whp* for short, if its probability tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In 2017, Korándi and Sudakov [6] proved that, if $s \geq 3$, then $\text{wsat}(K_n, K_s)$ is *stable*, i.e., for constant $p \in (0, 1)$, whp

$$\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_s) = \text{wsat}(K_n, K_s),$$

and ask about the possible threshold for this *stability property*. We have managed to prove that the threshold exists, and give nontrivial bounds for its value [2].

Theorem 2 There exists c such that, if $p < cn^{-\frac{2}{s+1}}(\ln n)^{\frac{2}{(s-2)(s+1)}}$, then whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_s) \neq \text{wsat}(K_n, K_s)$. If $p > n^{-\frac{1}{2s-3}}(\ln n)^2$, then whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_s) = \text{wsat}(K_n, K_s)$.

It is natural to ask about the existence of a graph F such that the stability property does not hold for some constant p . We conjecture that there is no such F .

Conjecture 1 Let $p \in (0, 1)$ be constant. Then, for every F , whp

$$\text{wsat}(G(n, p), F) = \text{wsat}(K_n, F). \tag{3}$$

In the favor of this conjecture we have found a sufficient condition for the stability property [5]. Below, we denote by $\delta(H)$ the minimum degree of graph H . Without loss of generality, we set $V(K_n) = [n]$.

Theorem 3 Let F be a graph without isolated vertices, and let $p \in (0, 1)$, $C \geq \delta(F) - 1$ be constants. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let H_n^0 be a weakly F -saturated subgraph of K_n containing a set of vertices $S_n^0 \subset [n]$ with $|S_n^0| \leq C$, such that every vertex from $[n] \setminus S_n^0$ is adjacent to at least $\delta(F) - 1$ vertices of S_n^0 . Then whp there exists a subgraph $F_n \subset G(n, p)$ which is weakly F -saturated and F_n has $\min\{|E(G(n, p))|, |E(H_n^0)|\}$ edges.

This theorem implies the following.

Corollary 1 *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ be constant. For an arbitrary graph F without isolated vertices, whp the equality (3) holds if, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a minimum (having $\text{wsat}(K_n, F)$ edges) weakly F -saturated subgraph of K_n with the property described in Theorem 3.*

Indeed, the condition in Theorem 3 immediately implies that whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), F) \leq \text{wsat}(K_n, F)$. Since whp every pair of vertices of $G(n, p)$ has at least $|V(F)|$ pairwise adjacent common neighbors [11], whp $G(n, p)$ is weakly (K_n, F) -saturated implying that the value of the weak saturation in $G(n, p)$ cannot be less than in K_n .

It is easy to show that Corollary 1 implies that whp the stability property (3) holds for $F = K_s$ and $F = K_{s,t}$ for all values of s, t (despite the fact that we do not know the exact values of $\text{wsat}(K_n, K_{s,t})$). Note that due to (1) and (2) whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_{s,t}) = (s - 1)n + C(s, t)$ for some constant $C = C(s, t)$.

Despite the fact that for $F = K_s$ we still do not know the exact threshold for the stability property (even in the simplest case $s = 3$), we have found it for stars $F = K_{1,t}$ [5].

Theorem 4 *Let $t \geq 3$. Denote $p_t = n^{-\frac{1}{t-1}} [\ln n]^{-\frac{t-2}{t-1}}$.*

- *There exists $c > 0$ such that, if $\frac{1}{n^2} \ll p < cp_t$, then whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_{1,t}) \neq \text{wsat}(K_n, K_{1,t})$.*
- *There exists $C > 0$ such that, if $p > Cp_t$, then whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_{1,t}) = \text{wsat}(K_n, K_{1,t})$.*

Note that Theorem 4 does not cover the case $t = 2$ as well as $p = O(1/n^2)$, which are much easier to handle. First, if $t \geq 3$ and $p < \frac{Q}{n^2}$ for some $Q > 0$, then whp there are no copies of $K_{1,t-1}$ in $G(n, p)$, and so whp there is stability only if the number of edges of the entire graph is exactly $\binom{t}{2}$, which does not happen whp when $p \ll \frac{1}{n^2}$ and has probability bounded away both from 0 and 1 when $\frac{q}{n^2} < p < \frac{Q}{n^2}$ for some $0 < q < Q$. The case $t = 2$ is also easy. If $p > (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\ln n}{2n}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_{1,2}) = \text{wsat}(K_n, K_{1,2})$. If $\frac{1}{n^2} \ll p < (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{\ln n}{2n}$, then whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_{1,2}) \neq \text{wsat}(K_n, K_{1,2})$. If $\frac{q}{n^2} < p < \frac{Q}{n^2}$ for some $0 < q < Q$, then

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_{1,2}) = \text{wsat}(K_n, K_{1,2}) \right] =$$

$$\mathbb{P}(G(n, p) \text{ contains exactly one edge}) + o(1) = \binom{n}{2} p(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-1} + o(1)$$

is bounded away both from 0 and 1. Finally, if $p \ll \frac{1}{n^2}$, then whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), K_{1,2}) = 0 \neq \text{wsat}(K_n, K_{1,2})$.

Let us finally note that the asymptotical version of Conjecture 1 is true.

Theorem 5 *For every constant $p \in (0, 1)$ and every graph F , whp*

$$\text{wsat}(G(n, p), F) = \text{wsat}(K_n, F)(1 + o(1)).$$

Let us sketch the proof. Fix a graph F and constant $p \in (0, 1)$. First of all let us recall that (see [1]) there exists a constant c_F such that $\text{wsat}(K_n, F) = (c_F + o(1))n$ and that $c_F > 0$ if and only if F does not contain vertices with degree 1. If F contains a vertex with degree 1, then it is easy to see that there exists a constant w_F such that whp

$$\text{wsat}(G(n, p), F) = \text{wsat}(K_n, F) = w_F.$$

Assume that F has $s \geq 3$ vertices, and none of them has degree 1. It is very well known [7] that $G(n, p)$ admits a clique factor with cliques of size $\log_{1/p} n$. In other words, whp there are disjoint sets $V_1, \dots, V_m \subset [n]$ such that each V_i has size $v_i \in \{\lfloor \log_{1/p} n \rfloor, \lceil \log_{1/p} n \rceil\}$, and V_i induces a clique in $G(n, p)$. Using standard arguments, it can be shown that whp V_i may be chosen in a way such that the bipartite graphs with parts (V_i, V_{i+1}) are pseudorandom in the following sense: for all $i \in [m]$, there exist disjoint $S_i^1, S_i^2 \subset V_i$ satisfying

- $S_i^2 \sqcup S_{i+1}^1$ induce cliques in $G(n, p)$;
- every vertex from $V_{i+1} \setminus S_{i+1}^1$ has at least $s - 2$ neighbors v_1, \dots, v_{s-2} in V_i such that each v_i is adjacent to all vertices of S_{i+1}^1 .

Each induced subgraph $G(n, p)[V_i]$ contains a subgraph H_i with $\text{wsat}(K_{v_i}, F)$ edges. Consider the graph H obtained by the union of H_i and $m - 1$ complete bipartite graphs with parts (S_i^2, S_{i+1}^1) , $i \in [m - 1]$. Note that H has at most

$$m(c_F + o(1)) \log_{1/p} n + (m - 1)(s - 2)^2 = (c_F + o(1))n$$

edges, and that it is weakly F -saturated in $G(n, p)$. It remains to recall that whp $G(n, p)$ is weakly F -saturated in K_n , and so whp $\text{wsat}(G(n, p), F) \geq \text{wsat}(K_n, F)$.

References

- [1] N. Alon, *An extremal problem for sets with applications to graph theory*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A (1985) **40**(1): 82–89.
- [2] M.R. Bidgoli, A. Mohammadian, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie, M. Zhukovskii, *Threshold for weak saturation stability* (2020) arXiv:2006.06855.
- [3] B. Bollobás, *Weakly k -saturated graphs*, Beiträge zur Graphen–theorie (1968) 25–31.
- [4] G. Kalai, *Hyperconnectivity of graphs*, Graphs Combin. (1985) **1**: 65–79.
- [5] O. Kalinichenko, M. Zhukovskii, *Weak saturation stability*, (2022) arXiv:2107.11138.
- [6] D. Korándi, B. Sudakov, *Saturation in random graphs*, Random Structures Algorithms (2017) **51**(1): 169–181.
- [7] M. Krivelevich, B. Patkós, *Equitable coloring of random graphs*, Random Structures Algorithms (2009) **35**(1): 83–99.
- [8] G. Kronenberg, T. Martins, N. Morrison, *Weak saturation numbers of complete bipartite graphs in the clique*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A (2021) **178**: 105357.
- [9] L. Lovász, *Flats in matroids and geometric graphs*, Combinatorial Surveys (1977) 45–86.
- [10] M. Miralaei, A. Mohammadian, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie, *The weak saturation number of $K_{2,t}$* , preprint.
- [11] J. Spencer, *Threshold Functions for Extension Statements*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A (1990) **53**: 286–305.