

# The independence numbers and the chromatic numbers of random subgraphs

Andrei Raigorodskii

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology  
Moscow, Russia

# Main question

# Main question

## Erdős–Rényi random graph

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ .  $G(n, p)$  is obtained by drawing independently edges on  $n$  vertices, each with probability  $p$ .

# Main question

## Erdős–Rényi random graph

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ .  $G(n, p)$  is obtained by drawing independently edges on  $n$  vertices, each with probability  $p$ .

## Theorem

Let  $p$  be a constant or a function tending to zero and bounded from below by a value  $\frac{c}{n}$ , where  $c > 1$ . Let  $d = \frac{1}{1-p}$ . Then w.h.p.

$$\alpha(G(n, p)) \sim 2 \log_d(np), \quad \chi(G(n, p)) \sim \frac{n}{2 \log_d(np)}.$$

# Main question

## Erdős–Rényi random graph

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ .  $G(n, p)$  is obtained by drawing independently edges on  $n$  vertices, each with probability  $p$ .

## Theorem

Let  $p$  be a constant or a function tending to zero and bounded from below by a value  $\frac{c}{n}$ , where  $c > 1$ . Let  $d = \frac{1}{1-p}$ . Then w.h.p.

$$\alpha(G(n, p)) \sim 2 \log_d(np), \quad \chi(G(n, p)) \sim \frac{n}{2 \log_d(np)}.$$

## A general random subgraph

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ ,  $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$  — an arbitrary sequence of graphs.  $G_{n,p}$  is obtained from  $G_n$  by keeping independently edges of  $G_n$ , each with probability  $p$ .

# Main question

## Erdős–Rényi random graph

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ .  $G(n, p)$  is obtained by drawing independently edges on  $n$  vertices, each with probability  $p$ .

## Theorem

Let  $p$  be a constant or a function tending to zero and bounded from below by a value  $\frac{c}{n}$ , where  $c > 1$ . Let  $d = \frac{1}{1-p}$ . Then w.h.p.

$$\alpha(G(n, p)) \sim 2 \log_d(np), \quad \chi(G(n, p)) \sim \frac{n}{2 \log_d(np)}.$$

## A general random subgraph

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in [0, 1]$ ,  $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$  — an arbitrary sequence of graphs.  $G_{n,p}$  is obtained from  $G_n$  by keeping independently edges of  $G_n$ , each with probability  $p$ .

What can be said about  $\alpha(G_{n,p})$  and  $\chi(G_{n,p})$ ?

# A special case

## Main definition

Let  $r, s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s < r < n$ , and let  $G(n, r, s) = (V, E)$ , where

$$V = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \{0, 1\}, x_1 + \dots + x_n = r\},$$

$$E = \{\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\} : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = s\}.$$

# A special case

## Main definition

Let  $r, s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s < r < n$ , and let  $G(n, r, s) = (V, E)$ , where

$$V = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \{0, 1\}, x_1 + \dots + x_n = r\},$$

$$E = \{\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\} : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = s\}.$$

## Equivalent definition

Let  $r, s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s < r < n$ . Let  $[n]$  be an  $n$ -element set, and let  $G(n, r, s) = (V, E)$ , where

$$V = \binom{[n]}{r}, \quad E = \{A, B \in V : |A \cap B| = s\}.$$



# A special case

## Main definition

Let  $r, s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s < r < n$ , and let  $G(n, r, s) = (V, E)$ , where

$$V = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \{0, 1\}, x_1 + \dots + x_n = r\},$$

$$E = \{\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\} : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = s\}.$$

## Equivalent definition

Let  $r, s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s < r < n$ . Let  $[n]$  be an  $n$ -element set, and let  $G(n, r, s) = (V, E)$ , where

$$V = \binom{[n]}{r}, \quad E = \{A, B \in V : |A \cap B| = s\}.$$

Again, what can be said about  $\alpha(G_p(n, r, s))$  and  $\chi(G_p(n, r, s))$ ?

# Some motivation

Why studying  $G(n, r, s)$ ?

## Why studying $G(n, r, s)$ ?

- Coding theory (“Johnson’s graphs”):

## Why studying $G(n, r, s)$ ?

- Coding theory (“Johnson’s graphs”): the independence number  $\alpha(G(n, r, s))$  stands for the maximum size of a code with one forbidden distance;

## Why studying $G(n, r, s)$ ?

- Coding theory (“Johnson’s graphs”): the independence number  $\alpha(G(n, r, s))$  stands for the maximum size of a code with one forbidden distance; the clique number  $\omega(G(4k, 2k, k))$  is responsible for the existence of an Hadamard matrix; etc.

# Some motivation

## Why studying $G(n, r, s)$ ?

- Coding theory (“Johnson’s graphs”): the independence number  $\alpha(G(n, r, s))$  stands for the maximum size of a code with one forbidden distance; the clique number  $\omega(G(4k, 2k, k))$  is responsible for the existence of an Hadamard matrix; etc.
- **Combinatorial geometry:**  $G(n, r, s)$  is a “distance” graph, i.e., its edges are of the same length  $\sqrt{2(r-s)}$ . The chromatic number  $\chi(G(n, r, s))$  provides important bounds in the Nelson–Hadwiger problems of space coloring as well as in the Borsuk problem of partitioning sets in spaces into parts of smaller diameter.

# Some motivation

## Why studying $G(n, r, s)$ ?

- Coding theory (“Johnson’s graphs”): the independence number  $\alpha(G(n, r, s))$  stands for the maximum size of a code with one forbidden distance; the clique number  $\omega(G(4k, 2k, k))$  is responsible for the existence of an Hadamard matrix; etc.
- **Combinatorial geometry:**  $G(n, r, s)$  is a “**distance**” graph, i.e., its edges are of the same length  $\sqrt{2(r-s)}$ . The chromatic number  $\chi(G(n, r, s))$  provides important bounds in the Nelson–Hadwiger problems of space coloring as well as in the Borsuk problem of partitioning sets in spaces into parts of smaller diameter.
- $G(n, r, 0)$  is the classical Kneser graph;  $G(n, 1, 0)$  is just a complete graph.

# Some motivation

## Why studying $G(n, r, s)$ ?

- Coding theory (“Johnson’s graphs”): the independence number  $\alpha(G(n, r, s))$  stands for the maximum size of a code with one forbidden distance; the clique number  $\omega(G(4k, 2k, k))$  is responsible for the existence of an Hadamard matrix; etc.
- **Combinatorial geometry:**  $G(n, r, s)$  is a “**distance**” graph, i.e., its edges are of the same length  $\sqrt{2(r-s)}$ . The chromatic number  $\chi(G(n, r, s))$  provides important bounds in the Nelson–Hadwiger problems of space coloring as well as in the Borsuk problem of partitioning sets in spaces into parts of smaller diameter.
- $G(n, r, 0)$  is the classical Kneser graph;  $G(n, 1, 0)$  is just a complete graph.
- Constructive bounds for Ramsey numbers.



# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers

## Theorem (Frankl, Füredi, 1985)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then  $\alpha(G(n, r, s)) = \Theta(n^s)$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers

## Theorem (Frankl, Füredi, 1985)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then  $\alpha(G(n, r, s)) = \Theta(n^s)$ .
- If  $r > 2s + 1$ , then  $\alpha(G(n, r, s)) = \Theta(n^{r-s-1})$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers

## Theorem (Frankl, Füredi, 1985)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then  $\alpha(G(n, r, s)) = \Theta(n^s)$ .
- If  $r > 2s + 1$ , then  $\alpha(G(n, r, s)) = \Theta(n^{r-s-1})$ .

## Theorem (Bogoliubskiy, Gusev, Pyaderkin, A.M., 2013–2016)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then w.h.p.  $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \Theta(\alpha(G(n, r, s)) \log n)$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers

## Theorem (Frankl, Füredi, 1985)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then  $\alpha(G(n, r, s)) = \Theta(n^s)$ .
- If  $r > 2s + 1$ , then  $\alpha(G(n, r, s)) = \Theta(n^{r-s-1})$ .

## Theorem (Bogoliubskiy, Gusev, Pyaderkin, A.M., 2013–2016)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then w.h.p.  $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \Theta(\alpha(G(n, r, s)) \log n)$ .
- If  $r > 2s + 1$ , then w.h.p.  $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) \sim \alpha(G(n, r, s))$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r > 2s + 1$

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $s = 0$ . Then  $G(n, r, s)$  is Kneser's graph.

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r > 2s + 1$

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $s = 0$ . Then  $G(n, r, s)$  is Kneser's graph.

**Bollobás, Narayanan, A.M., 2016**

Fix a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $r = r(n)$  be a natural number such that  $2 \leq r(n) = o(n^{1/3})$ . Let  $p_c(n, r) = ((r + 1) \log n - r \log r) / \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \alpha(G_p(n, r, 0)) = \alpha(G(n, r, 0)) = \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \geq (1 + \varepsilon)p_c(n, r) \\ 0 & \text{if } p \leq (1 - \varepsilon)p_c(n, r). \end{cases}$$

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r > 2s + 1$

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $s = 0$ . Then  $G(n, r, s)$  is Kneser's graph.

**Bollobás, Narayanan, A.M., 2016**

Fix a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $r = r(n)$  be a natural number such that  $2 \leq r(n) = o(n^{1/3})$ . Let  $p_c(n, r) = ((r + 1) \log n - r \log r) / \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \alpha(G_p(n, r, 0)) = \alpha(G(n, r, 0)) = \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \geq (1 + \varepsilon)p_c(n, r) \\ 0 & \text{if } p \leq (1 - \varepsilon)p_c(n, r). \end{cases}$$

Successively improved by Das, Tran, Balogh, and others.

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r > 2s + 1$

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $s = 0$ . Then  $G(n, r, s)$  is Kneser's graph.

**Bollobás, Narayanan, A.M., 2016**

Fix a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $r = r(n)$  be a natural number such that  $2 \leq r(n) = o(n^{1/3})$ . Let  $p_c(n, r) = ((r + 1) \log n - r \log r) / \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \alpha(G_p(n, r, 0)) = \alpha(G(n, r, 0)) = \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \geq (1 + \varepsilon)p_c(n, r) \\ 0 & \text{if } p \leq (1 - \varepsilon)p_c(n, r). \end{cases}$$

Successively improved by Das, Tran, Balogh, and others.

Let  $r \geq 4$ ,  $s = 1$ .



# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r > 2s + 1$

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $s = 0$ . Then  $G(n, r, s)$  is Kneser's graph.

**Bollobás, Narayanan, A.M., 2016**

Fix a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $r = r(n)$  be a natural number such that  $2 \leq r(n) = o(n^{1/3})$ . Let  $p_c(n, r) = ((r + 1) \log n - r \log r) / \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \alpha(G_p(n, r, 0)) = \alpha(G(n, r, 0)) = \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \geq (1 + \varepsilon)p_c(n, r) \\ 0 & \text{if } p \leq (1 - \varepsilon)p_c(n, r). \end{cases}$$

Successively improved by Das, Tran, Balogh, and others.

Let  $r \geq 4$ ,  $s = 1$ .

**Pyaderkin, A.M., 2017**

W.h.p.  $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \alpha(G(n, r, s))$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r > 2s + 1$

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $s = 0$ . Then  $G(n, r, s)$  is Kneser's graph.

**Bollobás, Narayanan, A.M., 2016**

Fix a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $r = r(n)$  be a natural number such that  $2 \leq r(n) = o(n^{1/3})$ . Let  $p_c(n, r) = ((r + 1) \log n - r \log r) / \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \alpha(G_p(n, r, 0)) = \alpha(G(n, r, 0)) = \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \geq (1 + \varepsilon)p_c(n, r) \\ 0 & \text{if } p \leq (1 - \varepsilon)p_c(n, r). \end{cases}$$

Successively improved by Das, Tran, Balogh, and others.

Let  $r \geq 4$ ,  $s = 1$ .

**Pyaderkin, A.M., 2017**

W.h.p.  $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \alpha(G(n, r, s))$ .

Of course  $1/2$  can be replaced by another function. However, the threshold is unknown.

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r > 2s + 1$

Let  $r \geq 2$ ,  $s = 0$ . Then  $G(n, r, s)$  is Kneser's graph.

**Bollobás, Narayanan, A.M., 2016**

Fix a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $r = r(n)$  be a natural number such that  $2 \leq r(n) = o(n^{1/3})$ . Let  $p_c(n, r) = ((r + 1) \log n - r \log r) / \binom{n-1}{r-1}$ . As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \alpha(G_p(n, r, 0)) = \alpha(G(n, r, 0)) = \binom{n-1}{r-1} \right) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \geq (1 + \varepsilon)p_c(n, r) \\ 0 & \text{if } p \leq (1 - \varepsilon)p_c(n, r). \end{cases}$$

Successively improved by Das, Tran, Balogh, and others.

Let  $r \geq 4$ ,  $s = 1$ .

**Pyaderkin, A.M., 2017**

W.h.p.  $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \alpha(G(n, r, s))$ .

Of course  $1/2$  can be replaced by another function. However, the threshold is unknown.

No other cases of strong stability are known.

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r \leq 2s + 1$

Remind that

**Theorem (Bogoliubskiy, Gusev, Pyaderkin, A.M., 2013–2016)**

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then w.h.p.  
 $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \Theta(\alpha(G(n, r, s)) \log n)$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r \leq 2s + 1$

Remind that

**Theorem (Bogoliubskiy, Gusev, Pyaderkin, A.M., 2013–2016)**

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then w.h.p.  
 $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \Theta(\alpha(G(n, r, s)) \log n)$ .

If  $r = 1, s = 0$ , then we have already cited the much subtler classical result.

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r \leq 2s + 1$

Remind that

## Theorem (Bogoliubskiy, Gusev, Pyaderkin, A.M., 2013–2016)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then w.h.p.  
 $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \Theta(\alpha(G(n, r, s)) \log n)$ .

If  $r = 1, s = 0$ , then we have already cited the much subtler classical result.

## Theorem

Let  $p$  be a constant or a function tending to zero and bounded from below by a value  $\frac{c}{n}$ , where  $c > 1$ . Let  $d = \frac{1}{1-p}$ . Then w.h.p.  $\alpha(G_p(n, 1, 0)) \sim 2 \log_d(np)$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r \leq 2s + 1$

Remind that

## Theorem (Bogoliubskiy, Gusev, Pyaderkin, A.M., 2013–2016)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then w.h.p.  
 $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \Theta(\alpha(G(n, r, s)) \log n)$ .

If  $r = 1, s = 0$ , then we have already cited the much subtler classical result.

## Theorem

Let  $p$  be a constant or a function tending to zero and bounded from below by a value  $\frac{c}{n}$ , where  $c > 1$ . Let  $d = \frac{1}{1-p}$ . Then w.h.p.  $\alpha(G_p(n, 1, 0)) \sim 2 \log_d(np)$ .

There are only two more cases where the  $\Theta$  notation is replaced by the  $\sim$  one.

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r \leq 2s + 1$

Remind that

## Theorem (Bogoliubskiy, Gusev, Pyaderkin, A.M., 2013–2016)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then w.h.p.  
 $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \Theta(\alpha(G(n, r, s)) \log n)$ .

If  $r = 1, s = 0$ , then we have already cited the much subtler classical result.

## Theorem

Let  $p$  be a constant or a function tending to zero and bounded from below by a value  $\frac{c}{n}$ , where  $c > 1$ . Let  $d = \frac{1}{1-p}$ . Then w.h.p.  $\alpha(G_p(n, 1, 0)) \sim 2 \log_d(np)$ .

There are only two more cases where the  $\Theta$  notation is replaced by the  $\sim$  one.

## Theorem

(Pyaderkin, 2016) W.h.p.  $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, 3, 1)) \sim 2\alpha(G(n, 3, 1)) \log_2 n$ .



# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : independence numbers for $r \leq 2s + 1$

Remind that

## Theorem (Bogoliubskiy, Gusev, Pyaderkin, A.M., 2013–2016)

Let  $r, s$  be fixed as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $r \leq 2s + 1$ , then w.h.p.  
 $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, r, s)) = \Theta(\alpha(G(n, r, s)) \log n)$ .

If  $r = 1, s = 0$ , then we have already cited the much subtler classical result.

## Theorem

Let  $p$  be a constant or a function tending to zero and bounded from below by a value  $\frac{c}{n}$ , where  $c > 1$ . Let  $d = \frac{1}{1-p}$ . Then w.h.p.  $\alpha(G_p(n, 1, 0)) \sim 2 \log_d(np)$ .

There are only two more cases where the  $\Theta$  notation is replaced by the  $\sim$  one.

## Theorem

(Pyaderkin, 2016) W.h.p.  $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, 3, 1)) \sim 2\alpha(G(n, 3, 1)) \log_2 n$ .

(Kiselev, Derevyanko, 2017) W.h.p.  $\alpha(G_{1/2}(n, 2, 1)) \sim \alpha(G(n, 2, 1)) \log_2 n$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : chromatic numbers

Let us skip rather cumbersome cases of arbitrary  $r, s$  and concentrate on Kneser's graphs ( $r > 1, s = 0$ ).

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : chromatic numbers

Let us skip rather cumbersome cases of arbitrary  $r, s$  and concentrate on Kneser's graphs ( $r > 1, s = 0$ ).

Lovász, 1978: if  $r \leq n/2$ , then  $\chi(G(n, r, 0)) = n - 2r + 2$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : chromatic numbers

Let us skip rather cumbersome cases of arbitrary  $r, s$  and concentrate on Kneser's graphs ( $r > 1, s = 0$ ).

Lovász, 1978: if  $r \leq n/2$ , then  $\chi(G(n, r, 0)) = n - 2r + 2$ .

Very simply the chromatic number of  $G(n, r, 0)$  is not so stable as the independence number: w.h.p. even  $\chi(G_{1/2}(n, r, 0)) < n - 2r + 2$ . However

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : chromatic numbers

Let us skip rather cumbersome cases of arbitrary  $r, s$  and concentrate on Kneser's graphs ( $r > 1, s = 0$ ).

Lovász, 1978: if  $r \leq n/2$ , then  $\chi(G(n, r, 0)) = n - 2r + 2$ .

Very simply the chromatic number of  $G(n, r, 0)$  is not so stable as the independence number: w.h.p. even  $\chi(G_{1/2}(n, r, 0)) < n - 2r + 2$ . However

## Theorem (Kupavskii, 2016)

For many different  $n, r, p$ , w.h.p.  $\chi(G_p(n, r, 0)) \sim n - 2r + 2$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : chromatic numbers

Let us skip rather cumbersome cases of arbitrary  $r, s$  and concentrate on Kneser's graphs ( $r > 1, s = 0$ ).

Lovász, 1978: if  $r \leq n/2$ , then  $\chi(G(n, r, 0)) = n - 2r + 2$ .

Very simply the chromatic number of  $G(n, r, 0)$  is not so stable as the independence number: w.h.p. even  $\chi(G_{1/2}(n, r, 0)) < n - 2r + 2$ . However

## Theorem (Kupavskii, 2016)

For many different  $n, r, p$ , w.h.p.  $\chi(G_p(n, r, 0)) \sim n - 2r + 2$ .

For example, if  $g(n)$  is any growing function and  $r$  is arbitrary in the range between 2 and  $\frac{n}{2} - g(n)$ , then for any fixed  $p$ ,  $\chi(G_p(n, r, 0)) \sim n - 2r + 2$ .

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : chromatic numbers

Let us skip rather cumbersome cases of arbitrary  $r, s$  and concentrate on Kneser's graphs ( $r > 1, s = 0$ ).

Lovász, 1978: if  $r \leq n/2$ , then  $\chi(G(n, r, 0)) = n - 2r + 2$ .

Very simply the chromatic number of  $G(n, r, 0)$  is not so stable as the independence number: w.h.p. even  $\chi(G_{1/2}(n, r, 0)) < n - 2r + 2$ . However

## Theorem (Kupavskii, 2016)

For many different  $n, r, p$ , w.h.p.  $\chi(G_p(n, r, 0)) \sim n - 2r + 2$ .

For example, if  $g(n)$  is any growing function and  $r$  is arbitrary in the range between 2 and  $\frac{n}{2} - g(n)$ , then for any fixed  $p$ ,  $\chi(G_p(n, r, 0)) \sim n - 2r + 2$ .

Many improvements by Kupavskii and by Alishahi and Hajiabolhassan.

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : chromatic numbers



# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : chromatic numbers

## Theorem (Kiselev, Kupavskii, 2019+)

If  $r \geq 3$ , then w.h.p.

$$n - c_1 \sqrt[2r-2]{\log_2 n} \leq \chi(G_{1/2}(n, r, 0)) \leq n - c_2 \sqrt[2r-2]{\log_2 n}.$$

# Random subgraphs of $G(n, r, s)$ : chromatic numbers

## Theorem (Kiselev, Kupavskii, 2019+)

If  $r \geq 3$ , then w.h.p.

$$n - c_1 \sqrt[2r-2]{\log_2 n} \leq \chi(G_{1/2}(n, r, 0)) \leq n - c_2 \sqrt[2r-2]{\log_2 n}.$$

If  $r = 2$ , then w.h.p.

$$n - c_1 \sqrt{\log_2 n \cdot \log_2 \log_2 n} \leq \chi(G_{1/2}(n, r, 0)) \leq n - c_2 \sqrt{\log_2 n \cdot \log_2 \log_2 n}.$$

# A general result

# A general result

## Theorem (A.M., 2017)

Let  $G_n = (V_n, E_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of graphs. Let  $N_n = |V_n|$ ,  $\alpha_n = \alpha(G_n)$ . Let  $\gamma_n$  be the maximum number of vertices of  $G_n$  that are non-adjacent to both vertices of a given edge. Assume that the quantities  $N_n, \alpha_n, \gamma_n$  are monotone increasing to infinity and there exists a function  $\beta_n$  such that

- 1  $\beta_n > \gamma_n$  and  $\beta_n = o(\alpha_n)$ ;
- 2  $\log_2 N_n = o\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}\right)$ ;
- 3  $\log_2 N_n = o(\beta_n - \gamma_n)$ .

Then w.h.p.  $\alpha(G_n, 1/2) \sim \alpha(G_n)$ .